

# S106 COMMUNITY FACILITIES

## FUNDING: UPDATE AND WAY FORWARD



**To:**

Community Services Scrutiny Committee – 18 January 2018  
Councillor Richard Johnson, Executive Councillor for Communities

**Report by:**

Tim Wetherfield, Urban Growth Project Manager  
Tel: 01223 – 457313. Email: [tim.wetherfield@cambridge.gov.uk](mailto:tim.wetherfield@cambridge.gov.uk)

**Wards affected:** All

### Key Decision

#### 1. Executive Summary

- 1.1 The Council secures S106 contributions to mitigate the impact of new development. Projects funded by 'community facilities' S106 funds have included improvements to community halls/meeting rooms in Cambridge (which are open to all and benefit local residents) and/or upgrades to their kitchens, toilets, storage space and furniture/equipment. Every ward has benefitted from new/improved community facilities as a result.
- 1.2 This report has two purposes. Firstly, it takes stock of several complex community facility improvement projects, already allocated/earmarked S106 funding, and sets out the next steps to move them forward. It then proposes the approach to the next 'community facilities' S106 funding round in the context of the remaining availability of S106 funding and the new Building Stronger Communities Strategy (BSCS).
- 1.3 Following changes to the national regulations in 2015, the finite pot of available S106 funding, which is unevenly spread across the city, is shrinking. This underlines the need to manage the expectations of prospective grant applicants and to change decision-making arrangements (bringing them into line with the way that indoor and outdoor sports S106 contributions are allocated) in order to maximise spending power.

## **2. Recommendations**

The Executive Councillor is recommended to:

- 2.1 Combine all 'community facilities' S106 funding available to enable the Executive Councillor to make all future decisions on the use of these funds in the context of the official S106 regulations and any comments from local councillors on eligible local proposals (see section 4);
- 2.2 Confirm the existing community facilities S106 allocations for grants to Cambridgeshire County Council (see paragraphs 5.1 – 5.4), which are
  - (a) £100,000 for additional community meeting space within the new Milton Road Library, subject to community use agreement, and
  - (a) £255,000 for additional community meeting space within the new East Barnwell Community Centre, subject to planning permission, business case approval and community use agreement;
- 2.3 Allocate to the Cherry Hinton Community Hub improvement project (see paragraphs 5.5–5.9), subject to planning and business case approvals
  - (a) all available generic 'community facilities' S106 contributions from Cherry Hinton ward and/or from developments in other wards within a 15 minute walking distance (around £163,700).
  - (b) all available specific S106 contributions (around £37,600) for the Cherry Hinton Community Hub from nearby developments;
- 2.4 Agree the arrangements for the 2018 'community facilities' S106 funding round (see section 6), including the timetable for seeking proposals and grant applications between late January and mid-March 2018, with a priority-setting report back to Community Services Scrutiny Committee in June 2018.

## **3. Background**

- 3.1. The [www.cambridge.gov.uk/s106](http://www.cambridge.gov.uk/s106) web pages explain what S106 contributions are and how S106 priority-setting rounds since 2012/13 have helped executive councillors and area committees decide how to spend this funding on projects in Cambridge to mitigate the impact of development.
- 3.2 Over the years, the Council has secured S106 funding under a range of different contribution types, of which 'community facilities' is one. This

category is, basically, for the provision or improvement of buildings in which local people/groups can meet for social or community activities.

- (a) This can include the Council awarding S106 grants for improvements to buildings owned by local organisations or community groups, which they make available to the wider community (say, during the evenings and weekends).
- (b) Grant awards are under-pinned by community use agreements to ensure that the wider community benefit is realized.

Appendix A lists community facility improvements funded by generic 'community facilities' S106 contributions since 2010.

- 3.3 The use of generic<sup>1</sup>, off-site 'community facilities' S106 funding is governed by the official regulations, which require a clear link between where the S106 contributions comes from (where the developments are) and the projects which they helps to fund (where they are spent).
- (a) As a general rule, the council applies this approach by funding local community facility improvements from 'community facilities' S106 contributions from developments in the same ward or nearby ones in neighbouring wards in the same area of the city.
  - (b) Improvements to community facilities close to the border of wards in different areas of the city (often referred to as 'strategic projects') have normally been funded from half the 'community facilities' S106 contributions from major developments in those wards.
- 3.4 In October 2016, the Executive Councillor agreed to defer the next 'community facilities' S106 grant-funding round until after the strategic review of community provision. This Committee considered the final report for this strategic review last June. The BSCS highlights some key gaps and the need to increase access to community facilities provision:
- (a) in areas of Queen Edith's and East Chesterton wards;
  - (b) in Abbey ward, through S106 investment in the county council's East Barnwell redevelopment (see paragraphs 5.3 – 5.4); and
  - (c) by supporting the development of a community hub at Cherry Hinton Library (see paragraphs 5.5 – 5.9).

---

1. Generic 'community facilities' contributions tended to be for 'the provision of, improvement of or better access to community facilities within the city of Cambridge'. Decisions over how and where these contributions were spent were made after the S106 funding was received, typically through the S106 priority-setting/funding rounds.

- 3.5 **S106 funding availability:** Changes in 2015 to national regulations over S106 funding usage mean that the Council can no longer secure generic contributions for broad categories (like ‘community facilities’)<sup>2</sup>. As anticipated in previous S106 reports to this Committee, new receipts of generic S106 funding (agreed before the regulation changes) are tapering off. As a result, overall generic S106 funding availability is running down as remaining contributions are spent on new projects.
- 3.6 The latest provisional analysis of S106 funding availability by ward is set out in Table 1. The details are subject to checking and may change and an updated version will be tabled at the meeting. This is based on an on-going review of previous S106 allocations to projects in order to strengthen the links between where developer contributions are from (which ward) and where they are spent. Even so, S106 funding availability is distributed unevenly across the city. This is a reflection of:
- (a) the varying levels of development from ward to ward (as set out in Appendix B – for example, developments in Trumpington ward have generated almost thirteen times the amount of ‘community facilities’ S106 contributions as development in neighbouring Newnham ward); and
  - (b) the value of completed or current S106-funded projects across the city<sup>3</sup> (as already mentioned in Appendix A), including significant investment in community facility improvement projects in Castle, Market, Newnham and West Chesterton wards.
- 3.7 There are four key issues to highlight from this table.
- (a) In wards where there is no ‘community facilities’ S106 funding available<sup>4</sup>, it will be difficult to support grant applications. If recommendation 2.3 is approved, this will include Cherry Hinton.
  - (b) The ability of projects to mitigate the impact of developments is not necessarily confined by ward boundaries. It may, therefore, be possible to draw on funding from a number of neighbouring wards, particularly, in more densely populated parts of the city, where the

---

2. The Council can now only secure no more than five specific contributions (only from major developments) for particular projects which cannot be used for other purposes.

3. The analysis of ‘community facilities’ S106 funds by ward (Appendix B) is not directly comparable with the Appendix A list of S106-funded community facility projects since 2010 (eg, given projects funded before 2010 and contributions not yet allocated). Even so, it helps to indicate the scale of differences in S106 income between wards.

4. ...particularly in cases where there is no funding either in neighbouring wards.

**Table 1:** Latest provisional analysis of generic ‘community facilities’ S106 funding availability by ward<sup>5</sup> (subject to further checks / changes)

<b>NORTH</b>		<b>EAST</b>	
Arbury	£0	Abbey	£50,000
East Chesterton	£50,000	Coleridge	£100,000
King’s Hedges	£50,000	Petersfield	£75,000
West Chesterton	£0	Romsey	£50,000
<b>SOUTH</b>		<b>WEST/CENTRAL AREA</b>	
Cherry Hinton	£150,000 <sup>6</sup>	Castle	£0
Queen Edith’s	£200,000 <sup>7</sup>	Market	£0
Trumpington	£100,000	Newnham	£0

*Paragraph 3.7(b) continued:*

wards are close to one another. At the same time, it is more difficult to justify the use of S106 funds from one ward for a project more than a mile or so away in another ward, even in the same area<sup>8</sup>.

- (c) As many ‘community facilities’ S106 grants are often around £100k-£150k<sup>9</sup>, future opportunities for grants of a similar size are limited.
- (d) This analysis represents a point-in-time snapshot of current S106 funding availability. Depending on the priority-setting outcomes of the 2018 grant round, officers will continue to review how remaining unallocated contributions are allocated to projects. As a result, the profile of funding availability from ward to ward may change.

---

5. These figures are based on funding availability prior to the 2018 funding round and the new funding recommendation in paragraph 2.3. The figures are rounded down to nearest £25,000.

6. This does not include specific contributions for Cherry Hinton Community Hub (see Table 2 under paragraph 5.9).

7. This includes over £125,000 for new/improved community facilities in the vicinity of the Bell School site (which might, in part, be used to fund additional furnishings and equipment for community facilities on Cambridge’s Southern Fringe).

8. For example, this applies to contributions from developments in Trumpington and parts of Queen Edith’s ward not in close proximity to projects in Cherry Hinton.

9. Alternatively, grant applicants may wish to seek funding for smaller projects (for example, to improve furniture and equipment within their existing community facilities) in order to make them more accessible and fit-for-purpose to meet the needs of increasing local populations. If this were to happen, it may be possible to fund a larger number of smaller projects.

## 4. Review of decision-making arrangements

4.1 **Current position:** In line with the principles set out in paragraph 3.3, for S106 priority-setting rounds since 2012/13, available 'community facilities' S106 contributions have been split into 'strategic funds' for Executive Councillor decision and 'devolved funds' for decision by the relevant area committee<sup>10</sup>.

- (a) 'Strategic funds' for strategic projects benefitting more than one area of the city have drawn on half the contributions from major developments (of ten or more homes) in Cambridge.
- (b) 'Devolved' funds for local projects have comprised the other half of contributions from major developments and all contributions from minor developments in the wards covered by the area committee.

4.2 In the context of dwindling S106 funding availability, the October 2016 S106 (Communities) report anticipated the need to review before the next round the current 'strategic' / 'devolved' funding split and sharing of decision-making for the use of these contributions between the Executive Councillor and the area committees.

4.3 **Proposed way forward:** Paragraph 2.1 recommends combining all 'community facilities' S106 funding available into a single, central fund, with the Executive Councillor making all future decisions on the use of these funds in line with agreed strategic priorities. This would still allow key features of the current system to continue, namely:

- (a) there will still be opportunities for local organisations and community groups to apply for grants for improvements to their community facilities, which enable wider community use;
- (b) S106 contributions from local developments will still be used on projects that mitigate the impact of those developments, as now;
- (c) local councillors will still be able to comment on community facility proposals that benefit their wards and that are eligible for S106 funding, albeit no longer at area committee meetings. Officers will seek written comments from local councillors, which can then be included in S106 priority-setting reports to this committee.

---

10. Whilst 'community facilities' S106 contributions have been assigned to 'strategic' or 'devolved' funds, the focus has been on allocating them to projects that mitigate the impact of those developments. Local projects tend to be funded from developments in the same ward and neighbouring ones and strategic projects from major developments in the same area and nearby ones in other areas.

- 4.4 Moving to a single, central fund for 'community facilities' S106 contributions would also bring the following advantages. It would:
- (a) maximise the spending power of remaining 'community facilities' contributions, rather than diluting them into separate funds;
  - (b) place decision-making on the use of remaining contributions more in the context of the BSCS;
  - (c) make it easier to fund improvement projects at facilities whose catchment areas straddle area committee boundaries; and
  - (d) make the priority-setting report process more efficient (with just one scrutiny committee report, rather than four area committee reports too), enabling more effective use of officer resources.
- 4.5 Of the seven contribution types for which strategic and devolved funds were introduced in 2012/13, 'community facilities' is the only one which still shares decision-making between the Executive Councillor and area committees.
- (a) Four have already reverted to single, central funds ('indoor sports', 'outdoor sports'<sup>11</sup>, 'public art' and 'public realm').
  - (b) Whilst the 'informal open space' and 'play provision' categories were fully devolved to area committees for the 2016/17 S106 funding round, this was because there was more scope for local choice. Such projects tend to be less expensive than constructing, refurbishing or extending community and sports centres.
  - (c) Beyond the 2016/17 round for play area and open space projects, these 'all devolved' arrangements will probably need to be reviewed again, given the low levels of generic S106 funds now remaining.

## **5. Taking stock of projects allocated/earmarked S106 funding**

- 5.1 In June 2016, this Committee was updated on delays to the progress of two S106 grant to the county council for providing additional community meeting space at Milton Road Library and East Barnwell Community Centre<sup>12</sup>. The latest position is as follows.

---

11. The Executive Councillor for Communities agreed to bring 'outdoor sports' contributions back into a single, central fund in October 2016.

12. In 2013/14, North Area Committee allocated £100,000 of devolved 'community facilities' S106 contributions for 60m<sup>2</sup> of additional community meeting space at Milton Road Library and East Area Committee allocated £255,000 for 100m<sup>2</sup> more as part of the East Barnwell Community Centre redevelopment.

- 5.2 ***Milton Road Library redevelopment:*** This project received planning permission in summer 2017. The City Council's business case for awarding the grant to the County Council for the community meeting space was passed in the autumn. The community use agreement is being finalised, which will allow the use of meeting space during weekdays, evenings and weekends. The County Council expects the project to be completed by the end of 2018.
- 5.3 ***East Barnwell Community Centre redevelopment:*** The project designs have gone through a number of iterations, involving changes to the nature and scale of the proposed development. Once the outcome of on-going discussions with stakeholders and partners is known, the County Council intends to submit a planning application, hopefully in the first half of 2018.
- 5.4 City Council officers are engaged in regular dialogue with County Council colleagues and the project steering group in order to help achieve the best possible outcomes as the need for the East Barnwell Community Centre redevelopment has been recognised in the BSCS.
- (a) The grant applicant has been advised of the need to seek pre-application planning advice, to ensure that the planning application can take account of City Council planning policies.
  - (b) The project continues to be monitored closely and officers will keep Members informed. It is envisaged that, once the outcome of the planning application is known, the business case can be reported to the Council's officer-level Capital Programme Board for sign-off.
  - (c) Paragraph 2.2 recommends that the current allocation levels for these projects should remain in place. These contributions are already allocated, so this has no impact on the latest S106 funding availability.
- 5.5 ***Proposed new allocations for Cherry Hinton Community Hub:*** Over recent years, local groups in Cherry Hinton have been advocating the development of a community hub at Cherry Hinton Library. The first phase was based on the creation of community meeting space, which was provided over £10,000 of S106 funding in 2012/13. Since then options for further phases have been discussed in conjunction with the local community and ward councillors, the County Council (as leaseholder and manager of the library), the City Council (as landowner) and potential other sources of funding (including the Royal British Legion).

- 5.6 Whilst a S106 ‘community facilities’ grant of £121,000 for improvements to the family centre at Cherry Hinton Baptist Church has helped to meet some need, the BSCS has highlighted that there is still a gap in local community provision<sup>13</sup>. In June 2016, the Executive Councillor agreed to place the community hub on the target list being used as a starting point for negotiating specific S106 contributions.
- 5.7 In the last ‘community facilities’ S106 funding round (in 2015/16), officers advised Cherry Hinton ward councillors that it would be premature to allocate S106 funding to the community hub project until clear proposals and designs have been developed. In the interim, the available devolved contributions have been earmarked for the project.
- 5.8 Although the scale and design of the project are still being scoped with the local community and the County Council, a funding allocation would now be appropriate as a signal to potential other funding sources of the Council’s commitment to the project. It is timely to make allocate the available S106 funding now, rather than defer this until the next S106 priority-setting report to this Committee in June. This may help to lever in further external funding in the meantime<sup>14</sup>.
- 5.9 Recommendation 2.3 proposes a ‘community facilities’ S106 allocation to this project of over £201,000, as set in Table 2.

**Table 2:** Proposed S106 allocation for Cherry Hinton Community Hub

(i)	Available generic contributions from Cherry Hinton, previously assigned to ‘devolved’ funds	£71,800
(ii)	Available generic contributions from Cherry Hinton, previously assigned to ‘strategic’ funds <sup>15</sup>	£90,000
(iii)	Available generic contributions from other wards within a 15-minute walk.	£1,900
(iv)	....plus specific contributions secured so far, which can only be used for this purpose <sup>16</sup>	£37,600

- 
13. The BSCS shows that the Cherry Hinton Community Hub would help to mitigate the impact of development within a 15-minute walking distance, which includes parts of Coleridge and Queen Edith’s wards.
14. There is still some way to go in finalising the project design details and securing all the necessary funding, as well as planning permission and business case sign-off.
15. The availability of devolved ‘community facilities’ S106 contributions for this project has been maximised by making use of contributions from nearby parts of Coleridge and Queen Edith’s wards to help fund the improvements at the Cherry Hinton Baptist Church family centre.

## 6. Arrangements for the 2018 S106 funding round

- 6.1 The next 'community facilities' S106 funding round will follow much the same approach as has been taken to previous rounds
- (a) Grant applications for improvements to community facilities in Cambridge will be invited from local groups and organisations<sup>17</sup>.
  - (b) Applications will be invited between late January and mid-March 2018 (at the same time as the small-scale public art S106 application round (before the official run-up period to the City Council elections). The overall timetable is set out in Table 3.

**Table 3:** Community facilities S106 grant-funding timetable

Invite applications	From late January
Applications deadline	Mid-March 2018
Officer assessment of all proposals received against S106 selection criteria. This will include seeking comments from local councillors on eligible project proposals	From late March to end May
S106 priority-setting report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee	28 June 2018

- (c) The application round will be publicised amongst individuals and groups who have been in contact with the Council and/or have already expressed an interest in putting forward proposals in 2018.
  - (i) Wider publicity about the funding round will also involve Council news releases, social media, the Council's Developer Contributions web pages and links on other relevant websites.
  - (ii) Contact will also be made with a range of equality and diversity groups to ask them to raise awareness of the opportunity to put forward eligible proposals.
  - (iii) Details will also be emailed to all city councillors and county councillors for Cambridge, so that they can pass this on to local groups (in wards where S106 funding is available).

---

16. This is based on two specific contributions for the Cherry Hinton Community Hub project. There is the potential for up to three more specific contributions from major developments in/around Cherry Hinton.

17. Proposals for improving community centre owned and/or managed by the Council will also be invited from the Council's Communities Service.

(d) There will be an application form (based around the S106 selection criteria) with accompanying guidance notes.

6.2 The S106 selection criteria will be much the same as in recent S106 funding rounds, based around seven key factors. To be suitable for 'community facilities' S106 funding, project proposals need to:

- [1] be eligible for 'community facilities' S106 funding;
- [2] be affordable within the 'community facilities' S106 funding available within that part of the city to which the proposal relates;
- [3] demonstrate that it would represent an effective use of resources in line with the city council's strategic objectives;
- [4] provide additional benefit;
- [5] be accessible, in line with the Council's grants and equalities policies;
- [6] be realistic, achievable and ready to be considered; and
- [7] be financially viable with robust management plans.

6.3 Given that decisions will not be made until June 2018, subject to business case approval and grant agreements, the Council is unlikely to be able to provide grant-funding for community facility improvements due to start before September 2018 at the earliest.

## 7. Implications

7.1. **Financial implications:** This report has already highlighted that generic S106 funds are running down and are not evenly spread. The recommendations aim to maximise the spending power of these available resources and ensure that developer contributions are used appropriately, effectively and on time.

7.2 Depending on the projects allocated S106 funding in this grant round (in terms of size and ward location), future rounds may need to be more narrowly focused on certain parts of the city. Consideration may also need to be given to using residual generic contributions to supplement the funding of projects for which specific projects are being collected.

7.3. **Staffing implications:** The priority-setting processes will be co-ordinated within existing staffing resources. Most projects funded from 'community facilities' S106 contributions tend to be based on

grants to community groups and local organisations. Council officers are involved in developing business cases and community use agreements (before grants can be issued) and then monitoring the grant recipients' project delivery progress and compliance with grant agreements.

- 7.4 ***Equality and Poverty implications:*** The proposed arrangements and selection criteria for S106 funding aim to provide a fair and consistent approach for priority-setting decisions. In line with the existing equality impact assessment of the arrangements for S106 priority-setting rounds, officers will, again, raise awareness of the S106 funding round and bidding process amongst groups representing the range of equality strands, whilst managing expectations about the availability of S106 funds. An EQIA has been undertaken on the BSCS.
- 7.5 It is important to remember that the purpose of S106 funding is to mitigate the impact of development, rather than addressing pre-existing need of deprivation. That said, there is a recognition that development in parts of the city with higher deprivation levels can have a greater impact on local communities and their facilities.
- 7.6 All successful S106 grant applicants are required to sign a community use agreement. This confirms that the facilities being improved through an S106-funded project will be made publically accessible to the public.
- 7.7 ***Other implications:*** Environmental, community safety and procurement implications are addressed as part of the business case appraisal for projects that are selected via the S106 priority-setting process.

## **8. Consultation and communication considerations**

- 8.1 This report aims to make sure that the arrangements for the next 'community facilities' S106 grants round are open and transparent. Paragraph 6.1(c) sets out the steps to be taken to publicise the process.

## **9. Background papers**

Background papers used in the preparation of this report:

- (a) 'Building Stronger Communities: Community Centres Strategy' report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 29/6/2017;
- (b) 'S106 priority-setting (Communities)' report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 6/10/2016;

- (c) 'S106 priority-setting (Streets and Open Spaces)' report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 6/10/2016;
- (d) 'Use of generic S106 contributions (Communities)' report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee, 30/6/2016; and
- (e) 'Follow-up on S106 interim approach (Communities)' report to Community Services Scrutiny Committee, 30/6/2016.

Further information (can be found at the Council's Developer Contributions web page ([www.cambridge.gov.uk/s106](http://www.cambridge.gov.uk/s106))).

## **10. Appendices**

- A. Community facility improvements funded by generic S106 'community facilities' contributions since 2010.
- B. Off-site 'community facilities' S106 contributions received by ward

## **11. Inspection of papers**

To inspect the background papers or if you have a query on the report please contact:

Tim Wetherfield, Urban Growth Project Manager  
(tel: 01223-457313, email: [tim.wetherfield@cambridge.gov.uk](mailto:tim.wetherfield@cambridge.gov.uk)) or

Jackie Hanson, Community Funding Development Manager  
(tel: 01223-457867, email: [jackie.hanson@cambridge.gov.uk](mailto:jackie.hanson@cambridge.gov.uk)).

## Community facility improvements funded by generic S106 'community facilities' contributions since 2010

Notes:

- (a) Funding availability rounded down to nearest £1,000.
- (b) Projects are complete apart from on-going schemes in *italics*.
- (c) '■' denotes S106 grant award.
- (d) '❖' denotes strategic projects which have drawn on S106 funding from neighbouring wards in different areas of the city. This is in addition to the Playboat facility, which received around £11,000 in 2010/11.

<b>AREA / Ward</b>	<b>Community facility improvements at:</b>	<b>S106 funding</b>
<b>NORTH</b>		
Arbury	St Luke's community centre ■ Akeman Street community room	£30,000 £5,000
East Chesterton	St Andrew's Hall extension ❖ ■	£140,000
King's Hedges	Buchan Street Neighbourhood Centre Arbury Community Centre	£100,000 £80,000
West Chesterton	Rowan Centre meeting space ■ <i>Milton Road Library meeting space</i> ■	£71,000 £100,000
<b>EAST</b>		
Abbey	Stanesfield Road Scout Hut ■ Barnwell Baptist Church ■ (kitchen improvements) <i>East Barnwell Community Centre</i> ■	£100,000 £3,000  £255,000
Coleridge	St Martin's Community Centre ■ The Junction ❖ Flamsteed Road scout hut ■ Greek Orthodox Church Hall ❖ ■	£235,000 £128,000 £120,000 £150,000
Petersfield	King's Church Community Centre ■ Cherry Trees Day Centre ❖ ■ Squeaky Gate project ■	£100,000 £80,000 £26,000
Romsey	St Philip's Community Centre ■ Ross Street Neighbourhood Centre Cambridge Community Church for additional equipment/facilities ■	£122,000 £70,000 £53,000

AREA / Ward	Community facility improvements at:	S106 funding
<b>SOUTH</b>		
Cherry Hinton	Cherry Hinton Baptist Church centre ■ Phase 1 of Cherry Hinton Hub ■	£121,000 £10,000
Queen Edith's	Rock Road library ■ Nightingale Avenue Rec Pavilion	£36,000 £33,000 <sup>18</sup>
Trumpington <sup>19</sup>	Hanover Court/Princess Court King George V Pavilion Centre@ St Paul's ❖ ■	£99,000 £299,000 <sup>20</sup> £68,000
<b>WEST/CENTRAL</b>		
Castle <sup>21</sup>	St Augustine's Hall extensions ■ <i>Darwin Green centre furnishings</i>	£187,000 £25,000
Market	St Mary's Church ■ Centre 33 ■ <i>New pavilion on Jesus Green<sup>22</sup> ❖</i>	£50,000 £12,000 £125,000
Newnham	St Mark's hall extension & kitchen ■	£150,000

18. The £33,000 'community facilities' S106 funding allocated to this project is part of a wider £403,000 to provide a new sports pavilion at Nightingale Avenue Rec Ground.

19. The new Clay Farm Centre has also received S106 funding from major growth site developments on the Southern Fringe.

20. The community facility provision at King George V Sports Pavilion in Trumpington was part of a wider project, totalling around £582,000.

21. The new Storey's Field Community Centre has also received S106 funding from major growth site developments in the North West Quadrant.

22. The proposed pavilion on Jesus Green, alongside Jesus Green pool, currently includes £125,000 of 'community facilities' S106 contributions. The current allocations include contributions from Market, Arbury and West Chesterton wards. An update on the development of this project is planned for consideration by the Community Services Scrutiny Committee later this year.

## Off-site ‘community facilities’ S106 contributions received by ward

Based on current records of S106 contributions received since 2002/03. Does not include specific/on-site contributions from major growth sites on Cambridge’s Southern Fringe and North West Quadrant

