## Cambridge City Council

## Equality in Employment Workforce Report

April 2022 - March 2023



## Introduction

## Background

This report provides a profile of the Council's workforce as at 31 March 2023. The report focuses on the City Council as an employer, and provides a 'snap shot' of what we look like as a council, data trend analysis for the key protected characteristics and a breakdown of the City Council's staffing for key equalities reporting areas. We have provided data in relation to aspects of the employment lifecycle; recruitment, development, employee relations and retention.

Cambridge City Council is committed to a policy of equality of opportunity in employment and aim to ensure that no job applicant or employee receives less favourable treatment on the grounds of:

- Age
- Disability
- Gender reassignment
- Marriage and civil partnership
- Pregnancy and maternity
- Race
- Religion or belief
"We believe in the dignity of all people and their right to respect and equality of opportunity. We value the strength that comes with difference and the positive contribution that diversity brings to our community. Our aspiration is for Cambridge and the wider region to be safe, welcoming and inclusive."
- Sex
- Sexual orientation

Statutory duties are governed by the Equality Act 2010 and it is a requirement that public-sector organisations with over 150 employees report at least annually on how their policies and practices affect staff with different protected characteristics.

Our Single Equality Scheme for 2021 to 2024 was approved at Environment and Scrutiny Committee on 7 October 2021 and sets out the Council's priority areas for action and how the Council will meet these.

This Equality in Employment Report provides information on progress related to employment for one of five objectives set:
"To ensure that the City Council's employment and procurement policies and practices are non-discriminatory and to work towards a more representative workforce within the City Council."

The following information sets out the Council's workforce profile in relation to age, disability, ethnicity, religion or belief, sex and sexual orientation.

All the data shown is for the headcount (not full time equivalents). We encourage employees (and applicants) to declare their personal diversity information for statistical monitoring purposes, however, it is at their discretion whether they do so. They are informed that the data provided will only be used in an anonymised way for these purposes. Employees can amend their information at any time.

For those not wishing to disclose their data they have an option to record 'prefer not to say'.

Our workforce includes a wide range of management, professional, specialist, administrative and operational roles. We aim to be an employer of choice and we want to continue to develop our workforce to reflect the diversity of the communities we serve. This diversity will enable us to understand needs and deliver high quality, appropriate services as well as supporting our employees.

## Equality, Diversity and Inclusion Achievements \& Activity 2022/23



FLEXITIME SCHEME UPDATES


Increased Flexi Leave Hours


Removal of Core Working Hours


DIVERSITY AND INCLUSION
CHANGES


More Staff from Ethnic Minorities


Increase in Asian Background in Training


INITIATIVES AND OUTREACH


Participation in Partner Events

CONTINUE ACCREDITATIONS

INVESTORS
IN PEOPLE

옹N disability
Gs confident

## Workforce Summary Headlines

## (as at 31st March 2023)



Number of staff at Cambridge City Council (up 31 from previous year)

Percentage of staff declaring themselves as from an Ethnic Minority
(up from $8.32 \%$ the previous year - target was 9.5\%)


Percentage of staff declaring themselves as disabled
(down from $8.15 \%$ the previous year
(5 individuals) - target was 8.5\%)

47.88\% (407) of our staff are female and 52.12\% (443) are male
(no change from last year)


The highest percentage of staff are in the 55 to 64 age group (this has changed from being 45-54 since 2010)

## Percentage of the workforce

 with 10 or more years' service(a decrease from $41.3 \%$ from the previous year. Of the $10+$ years service, $19.5 \%$ have $20+$ years' service)


The median gender pay gap for 2023 is $6.81 \%$
(an improved change from $8.37 \%$ in 2022)

Percentage of staff working part time (less than 37 hours a week)
(an increase from $23.56 \%$ from the previous
year with $70.35 \%$ working full time and
7.52\% working on zero or annualised hours)

Pay Grade by Age


The following table represents the Pay Grade information for all staff from all age groups:

|  | Band 1 | Band 2 | Band 3 | Band 4 | Band 5 | Band 6 | Band 7 | Band 8 | Band 9 | Senior <br> Management | Apprentice \& Other | Total | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 and under | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0.1\% |
| 19-24 | 9 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 | 2.9\% |
| 25-34 | 15 | 10 | 27 | 28 | 22 | 22 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 137 | 16.1\% |
| 35-44 | 7 | 2 | 26 | 34 | 46 | 32 | 21 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 189 | 22.2\% |
| 45-54 | 15 | 6 | 34 | 36 | 53 | 41 | 15 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 0 | 226 | 26.6\% |
| 55-64 | 6 | 3 | 59 | 37 | 54 | 28 | 15 | 11 | 10 | 11 | 0 | 234 | 27.6\% |
| 65 and over | 9 | 1 | 10 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 39 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 38 | 4.5\% |
| Total | 62 | 26 | 157 | 150 | 181 | 127 | 56 | 39 | 27 | 21 | 4 | 850 |  |
| \% of Workforce | 7.29\% | 3.05\% | 18.47\% | 17.64\% | 22.07\% | 14.21\% | 6.58\% | 4.58\% | 3.17\% | 2.47\% | 0.47\% |  |  |

## Recruitment (1)

## APPLICATION TRENDS



## INCREASE IN ADVERTISED ROLES

| Total Advertised <br> Roles | Highest <br> in 6 Years | Attributed <br> to Multiple <br> Roles |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 317 |  |  |

Re-advertisements if Unsuccessful


RECRUITMENT SNAPSHOT


Offers Less than Roles due to:


Unsuitable Candidates for Some Roles 31 Roles in Progres (Data Pending)

## AGE DIVERSITY IN RECRUITMENT



> Increase in Under Age 18 (Apprenticeships)


Candidates Withdrew in Pre-Employment


Non-Disclosure
Non-Disclosure

## AGE DATA COLLECTION

High $\quad \curvearrowright$ Application Form
Non-Declaration
 with Equalities Monitoring not completed


Incomplete Data in CV Applications
AGE DISCLOSURE COMPARISON
This Year


Last Year



The table overleaf details the age profiles for all applicants, those which were shortlisted and those which were offered a role. For comparison there is data for the previous two years:

Recruitment (2)

| Year | No of Roles <br> Advertised |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | 317 |
| $2021-22$ | 294 |
| $2020-21$ | 83 |
| $2019-20$ | 140 |
| $2018-19$ | 168 |
| $2017-18$ | 163 |
| $2016-17$ | 142 |


|  | 2022/23 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Applicant | Shortlisted | Successful |
| 18 and under | 14 | 4 | 2 |
| $19-24$ | 146 | 49 | 23 |
| $25-34$ | 407 | 159 | 66 |
| $35-44$ | 330 | 138 | 58 |
| $45-54$ | 248 | 100 | 42 |
| $55-64$ | 93 | 37 | 15 |
| 65 and over | 18 | 19 | 1 |
| Prefer not <br> to say* | 43 | 3 | 6 |
| Not <br> disclosed* | 243 | 51 | 0 |
| Total | 1542 | 560 | 213 |



| Applicant | Shortlisted | Successful |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 | 3 |

Applicant Shortlisted Successful

| 5 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 109 | 65 | 39 | 57 | 10 | 3 |


| 245 | 102 | 51 | 164 | 54 | 17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 191 | 85 | 43 | 145 | 55 | 11 |


| 109 | 47 | 14 | 92 | 38 | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 |
| 50 | 9 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 0 |


| 949 | 436 | 202 | 682 | 224 | 56 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

*Please see previous slide.

## Learning \& Development



## 154 Corporate Training courses were held during 2022/23 with an overall attendance of 1139 (some staff attended multiple courses)

Training arranged and delivered locally at service level is not accounted for within this report.

- The age profile for training shows that staff aged 25-34 accounted for the highest attendance levels with $\mathbf{2 4 \%}$ of overall course attendance ( $16.1 \%$ of overall staff are aged $25-34$ ).
- The 35-44 age category accounted for $\mathbf{2 3 . 8} \%$ of overall course attendance (overall $22.2 \%$ of staff are aged $35-44$ ). During 2021/22 the 35-44 age group accounted for the highest attendance with $\mathbf{2 8 . 8 1 \%}$.
- The 45-54 age category accounted for $\mathbf{2 3 . 6 \%}$ of overall course attendance (overall $26.6 \%$ of staff are aged 45-55).
- The 55-64 age category accounted for $\mathbf{2 1 \%}$ of attendees (this age category accounts for $\mathbf{2 7 . 6 \%}$ of all staff).
- $3 \%$ of employees are under the age of 25 , the 18 and under and the 19-24 age categories accounted for the fewest course attendees, with just $2.8 \%$ of all course attendees.
accounted for this highest attendance at Corporate training courses with
$24 \%$ of overall course attendance

|  | No. of <br> attendees | \% of overall <br> course <br> attendance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 18 | 4 | $0.3 \%$ |
| $19-24$ | 29 | $2.5 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | 278 | $24 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | 272 | $23.8 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | 269 | $23.6 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | 247 | $21 \%$ |
| 65 and over | 40 | $3.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | 1139 |  |

$23.8 \%$ of overall course attendance

## Pay Grade By Disability

- We have retained our Disability Confident Employer status, which continues until November 2023 when we will undertake and submit another Self-Assessment.
- We continue to monitor and review our Self-Assessment tool as good practice and to keep updated on our related activity and achievements which further support the organisation and our staff and to help increase representation across the workforce but recognise that there is more to be done in this area.
- We have continued to build links and maintain connections with external organisations who work with individuals who are looking to get and/or remain in work. This includes: The DWP, Individual Placement and Support Service, Reed in Partnership Restart Programme and Pinpoint.

| Year | \% of workforce <br> declaring themselves <br> as disabled |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | $7.37 \%$ |
| $2021-22$ | $8.15 \%$ |
| $2020-21$ | $7.11 \%$ |
| $2019-20$ | $7.13 \%$ |
| $2018-19$ | $6.37 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $6.97 \%$ |



Local Census Data of the Cambridge Population (age 16-64) who are economically active (excluding full time students) shows that $28.6 \%$ of individuals declare themselves as disabled.

Pay Grade By Disability
The following table represents the Pay Grade information for staff whether they declared themselves as having a disability, not having a disability or preferred not to say:

|  | Band 1 | Band 2 | Band 3 | Band 4 | Band 5 | Band 6 | Band 7 | Band 8 | Band 9 | Senior <br> Management | Apprentice \& Other | Total | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff who declare themselves as having a disability. | 2 | 2 | 15 | 14 | 11 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 61 | 7.37\% |
| Percentage of pay band declaring a disability. | 3.22\% | 7.69\% | 9.55\% | 9.33\% | 6.07\% | 8.66\% | 5.35\% | 0\% | 7.40\% | 4.76\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Staff who declare themselves as not having a disability | 55 | 22 | 139 | 131 | 168 | 112 | 52 | 39 | 25 | 19 | 4 | 766 | 92.62\% |
| TOTAL DECLARATIONS | 57 | 24 | 154 | 145 | 179 | 123 | 55 | 39 | 27 | 20 | 4 | 827 |  |


| Unknown/ <br> Unrecorded/ <br> Prefer not to say | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of <br> workforce declaring <br> a disability | $0.23 \%$ | $0.23 \%$ | $1.76 \%$ | $1.64 \%$ | $1.29 \%$ | $1.29 \%$ | $0.35 \%$ | $0 \%$ | $0.23 \%$ | $0.11 \%$ | $0 \%$ |  | $7.37 \%$ |
| Total | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 8 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7}$ | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 0}$ |  |

## Recruitment

- The number of applications received in 2022/23 from candidates declaring themselves as having a disability, as a percentage of all applications received, was $5.77 \%$ ( 89 individuals). An increase from 38 individuals from the previous year.
- The number of individuals who have elected not to declare their disability status or have just not disclosed it is high (at application and shortlisting stage). We accept applications via an application form which includes an equalities monitoring form, often this is completed by the applicant. More often though, we accept applications via

CV and supporting statement; signposting applicants to complete the equalities monitoring form or re-sending it once an application has been received. In many cases this is not completed or even not acknowledged therefore we do not have the data.

- Shortlisted applicants has increased slightly (as the number of individuals) (26).
- Successful applicants (as the number of individuals) has increased (13) but is low when considered as part of the total number of offers made.

| Year | Total Number of <br> Applications | Number of Applications received <br> from Candidates declaring <br> themselves as disabled | $\%$ of all <br> applications <br> received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | 1542 | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | $5.77 \%$ |
| $2021-22$ | 949 | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | $4.00 \%$ |
| $2020-21$ | 682 | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | $5.42 \%$ |
| $2019-20$ | 681 | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | $10.72 \%$ |
| $2018-19$ | 1330 | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $6.47 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | 1180 | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | $5.51 \%$ |



> APPLICATIONS RECEIVED from Candidates who did not provide/respond (15.8\% of all applications received)

## Recruitment

## APPLICATIONS

SHORTLISTED
from Candidates who did not wish to declare
(0.5\% of all
applications received)

| Year | Total Number <br> of Applications <br> Shortlisted | Number of Applications Short <br> listed from Candidates declaring <br> themselves as disabled | \% of all <br> applications <br> Shortlisted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | 560 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $4.64 \%$ |
| $2021-22$ | 436 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $3.44 \%$ |
| $2020-21$ | 224 | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $6.69 \%$ |
| $2019-20$ | 339 | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | $11.50 \%$ |
| $2018-19$ | 592 | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | $8.61 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | 421 | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | $6.17 \%$ |



APPLICATIONS SHORTLISTED
from Candidates who did not provide/respond
(9.10\% of all applications received)


APPLICATIONS SUCCESSFUL
from Candidates who did not wish to declare (1.8\% of all applications received)

## Learning \& Development

## CORPORATE TRAINING SESSIONS

| Year | Total <br> Number of <br> Attendees | Total Number of <br> Attendees declaring <br> themselves as disabled | Number of <br> Attendees declaring <br> themselves as <br> not disabled | Number of <br> Attendees who <br> did not declare |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | 1139 | $\mathbf{8 8} \mathbf{( 7 . 7 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0 8}$ | 43 |
| $2021-22$ | 840 | $\mathbf{7 1 ( 8 . 4 5 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{6 9 2}$ | 77 |
| $2020-21$ | 1889 | $\mathbf{1 8 9 ( 1 0 \% )}$ | $\mathbf{1 6 9 1}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |


| Year | No of <br> Courses Held | Overall Corporate L\&D <br> Attendance | Variance from 21-22 <br> to 22-23 disabled |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | 154 | $\mathbf{1 1 3 9}$ | $\mathbf{+ 3 5 . 6 \%}$ |
| $2021-22$ | 110 | 840 | $\mathbf{- 4 6 \%}$ |
| $2020-21$ | 204 | 1889 | $\mathbf{- 5 5 . 5 \%}$ |


| Year | No of e-learning <br> courses completed | Variance from <br> previous year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | $\mathbf{2 6 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{+ 5 7 . 8 \%}$ |
| $2021-22$ | $\mathbf{1 6 6 2}$ | $\mathbf{+ 2 7 \%}$ |
| $2020-21$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 0}$ | NA |


| Year | IT Training Attendance | Variance from <br> previous year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | 166 | $\mathbf{+ 5 2 \%}$ |
| $2021-22$ | 109 | $\mathbf{- 7 3 \%}$ |
| $2020-21$ | 889 | NA |

Continuing upward trend of staff accessing flexible learning via e-learning



## Pay Grade By Ethnicity

## ETHNICITY DISCLOSURE

| Year | \% of workforce <br> declaring <br> themselves as <br> from an ethnic <br> minority |
| :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | $9.09 \%$ |
| $2021-22$ | $8.32 \%$ |
| $2020-21$ | $7.71 \%$ |
| $2019-20$ | $7.54 \%$ |
| $2018-19$ | $7.59 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | $7.18 \%$ |



Cambridge Population
(Age 16-64), Economically Active (Excluding Full-Time Students): 23.7\% Ethnic Minority

72\%
City Pay Bands
1, 2, 5, 6, 7, 8
have the highest representation of ethnic minority staff

## Pay Grade By Ethnicity

The following table represents the Pay Grade information for staff whether they declared themselves as being from an ethnic minority or not, or, if they preferred not to say:

|  | Band 1 | Band 2 | Band 3 | Band 4 | Band 5 | Band 6 | Band 7 | Band 8 | Band 9 | Senior Management | Apprentice \& Other | Total | Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Staff who declare themselves as being from an Ethnic Minority | 9 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 9.09\% |
| Percentage of pay band from an ethnic minority | 14.51\% | 11.53\% | 5.73\% | 6.66\% | 9.39\% | 11.02\% | 8.92\% | 15.38\% | 3.70\% | 4.76\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Staff who declare themselves as not being from an Ethnic Minority | 49 | 22 | 144 | 135 | 159 | 110 | 50 | 32 | 26 | 19 | 4 | 750 | 90.9\% |
| TOTAL DECLARATIONS | 58 | 25 | 153 | 145 | 176 | 124 | 55 | 38 | 27 | 20 | 4 | 825 |  |
| Percentage (staff from Ethnic Minority) | 15.5\% | 12\% | 5.88\% | 6.89\% | 9.65\% | 11.29\% | 9.09\% | 15.78\% | 3.70\% | 5\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Prefer not to say | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 25 |  |
| Percentage of workforce from an ethnic minority | 1.05\% | 0.35\% | 1.05\% | 1.17\% | 2.00\% | 1.64\% | 0.58\% | 0.70\% | 0.11\% | 0.11\% | 0\% |  | 9.09\% |
| Total | 62 | 26 | 157 | 150 | 181 | 127 | 56 | 39 | 27 | 21 | 4 | 850 |  |

## Recruitment

- We're attracting applications from a diverse pool of ethnic minority candidates.
- The number of ethnic minority applicants has increased significantly from last year (339 compared to 211).
- Many applicants do not disclose their ethnicity, making data collection challenging.
- The percentage of shortlisted applicants from ethnic minorities has slightly decreased, despite an overall increase in their numbers.
- The total number of successful ethnic minority candidates is the highest in six years, although the percentage remains low.

|  | Year | Total Number of Applications | Number of Applications received from Candidates declaring themselves as from an ethnic minority | \% of all applications received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2022-23 | 1542 | 339 | 21.98\% |
|  | 2021-22 | 949 | 211 | 22.23\% |
|  | 2020-21 | 682 | 167 | 24.48\% |
|  | 2019-20 | 681 | 199 | 29.22\% |
|  | 2018-19 | 1330 | 273 | 20.52\% |
|  | 2017-18 | 1180 | 261 | 22.11\% |

## Recruitment



| Year | Total Number of <br> Applications <br> Shortlisted | Number of Applications Shortlisted <br> from Candidates declaring themselves <br> as from an ethnic minority | $\%$ of all <br> applications <br> shortlisted |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022-23 | 560 | $\mathbf{1 1 1}$ | $19.82 \%$ |
| 2021-22 | 436 | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | $20.64 \%$ |
| 2020-21 | 224 | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | $18.75 \%$ |
| $2019-20$ | 339 | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | $21.23 \%$ |
| $2018-19$ | 592 | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $15.87 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | 421 | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | $15.67 \%$ |


| Year | Total Number <br> of Successful <br> Applications | Number of Successful Applications <br> from Candidates declaring themselves <br> as from an ethnic minority | \% of all <br> applications <br> received |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | 213 | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | $15.49 \%$ |
| $2021-22$ | 202 | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $12.37 \%$ |
| $2020-21$ | 56 | $\mathbf{8}$ | $14.28 \%$ |
| $2019-20$ | 87 | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $12.64 \%$ |
| $2018-19$ | 140 | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | $16.42 \%$ |
| $2017-18$ | 135 | $\mathbf{6}$ | $4.44 \%$ |



## Learning \& Development



|  | Number of Attendees | \% of overall Attendees |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 975 | $\mathbf{8 5 \%}$ |
| Asian or Asian British | 57 | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ |
| Black, African, Caribbean or Black British | 33 | $\mathbf{2 . 8 \%}$ |
| Mixed or Multiple Ethnic Background | 11 | $\mathbf{0 . 9 \%}$ |
| Other Ethnic Group | 28 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 \%}$ |
| TOTAL DISCLOSED | 1104 |  |
| Not disclosed | 35 |  |

## Workforce Information



|  | Number of Staff | \% of Workforce (Declared) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Buddhist | 5 | 0.7\% |
| Christian | 327 | 44.6\% |
| Hindu | 8 | 1.1\% |
| Jewish | 0 | 0 |
| Muslim | 18 | 2.5\% |
| None | 343 | 46.7\% |
| Other | 33 | 4.5\% |
| TOTAL DECLARED | 734 |  |
| Not declared | 116 |  |
| TOTAL | 850 |  |

## Workforce Information



Pay Grade Senior Management

City Pay Band 4
City Pay Band 3
66\%


|  | Band 1 | Band 2 | Band 3 | Band 4 | Band 5 | Band 6 | Band 7 | Band 8 | Band 9 | Senior Management | TUPE \& Other | Total | Percentage of workforce |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FEMALE | 32 | 11 | 61 | 100 | 89 | 60 | 24 | 15 | 13 | 10 | 1 | 407 | 47.88\% |
| Full Time | 0 | 2 | 27 | 59 | 60 | 42 | 17 | 13 | 11 | 10 | 1 | 242 | 28.47\% |
| Part Time | 1 | 5 | 33 | 32 | 29 | 18 | 7 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 15.18\% |
| Zero Hours | 31 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 4.24\% |
| MALE | 30 | 15 | 96 | 59 | 92 | 67 | 32 | 24 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 443 | 52.12\% |
| Full Time | 0 | 7 | 58 | 51 | 83 | 62 | 31 | 23 | 10 | 11 | 3 | 339 | 76.52\% |
| Part Time | 2 | 6 | 38 | 7 | 9 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 16.49\% |
| Zero Hours | 28 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 31 | 6.99\% |
| TOTAL STAFF | 62 | 26 | 157 | 150 | 181 | 127 | 56 | 39 | 27 | 21 | 4 | 850 |  |

## Workforce Information



PART TIME EMPLOYEES



43.6\% Band 8 and above is female

## Learning and Development



GENDER SPLIT


Average courses attended

|  | 2022/23 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Council <br> Workforce | Overall Courses <br> Attended | Individual <br> Attendance |
| Female | $(407) 47.88 \%$ | 710 <br> $(62.3 \%)$ | 228 <br> $(55.7 \%)$ |
| Male | $(443) 52.12 \%$ | 429 <br> $(37.6 \%)$ | 181 <br> $(44.3 \%)$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{8 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 3 9}$ | 409 |


|  | $\|c\|$ <br> Council <br> Workforce | Overall Courses <br> Attended |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Female | $393(47.9 \%)$ | $56.5 \%$ |
| Male | $426(52.1 \%)$ | $43.5 \%$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{8 1 9}$ |  |

## Recruitment

These visual representations help emphasize the key gender-related metrics at each stage of the recruitment process.


| Year | Total Number <br> of Applications | Number of Applications received <br> from Female Candidates | Number of Applications received <br> from Male Candidates | Number of Applications received <br> from Undisclosed Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | $\mathbf{1 5 4 2}$ | 658 | 598 | 286 |
| $2021-22$ | 949 | 459 | 462 | 28 |
| $2020-21$ | 682 | 366 | 314 | 2 |
| $2019-20$ | 681 | 332 | 348 | 1 |
| $2018-19$ | 1330 | 698 | 632 | 0 |
| $2017-18$ | 1180 | 642 | 529 | 9 |

Recruitment

| Year | Total Number of <br> Applications Shortlisted | Number of Applications Shortlisted <br> from Female Candidates | Number of Applications Shortlisted <br> from Male Candidates | Number of Applications Shortlisted <br> from Undisclosed Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | $\mathbf{5 6 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 7 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 4}$ |
| $2021-22$ | 436 | 243 | 188 | 5 |
| $2020-21$ | 224 | 102 | 120 | 2 |
| $2019-20$ | 339 | 177 | 162 | 0 |
| $2018-19$ | 592 | 328 | 264 | 0 |
| $2017-18$ | 421 | 236 | 184 | 1 |


| Year | Total Number of <br> Successful Applications | Number of Successful Applications <br> from Female Candidates | Number of Successful Applications <br> from Male Candidates | Number of Successful Applications <br> from Undisclosed Candidates |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | $\mathbf{2 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{9 4}$ | $\mathbf{0}$ |
| $2021-22$ | 202 | 117 | 85 | 0 |
| $2020-21$ | 56 | 26 | 30 | 0 |
| $2019-20$ | 87 | 46 | 41 | 0 |
| $2018-19$ | 140 | 79 | 61 | 0 |
| $2017-18$ | 135 | 80 | 55 | 0 |

## Workforce Information



|  | Number of Staff | \% of workforce |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Bisexual | 15 | $\mathbf{2 . 1 6 \%}$ |
| Gay | 12 | $\mathbf{1 . 7 2 \%}$ |
| Heterosexual | 648 | $\mathbf{9 3 . 2 3 \%}$ |
| Lesbian | 8 | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 \%}$ |
| Other | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{1 . 1 5 \%}$ |
| Questioning | $\mathbf{4}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 5 8 \%}$ |
| TOTAL DECLARED | 695 | $\mathbf{8 1 . 7 6 \%}$ |
| Prefer not to say | 155 |  |
| TOTAL STAFF | 850 |  |

## Workforce Information

LEAVERS AND TURNOVER


Decrease in retirement and voluntary leavers

We continue to review our recruitment and retention strategies and to monitor the data.

LEAVERS


10.97\%

LEAVERS
DECLARED A DISABILITY

## STAFF TURNOVER



Based on all leavers


| Reason for Leaving | 2022/ 23 Number of Staff Leavers | \% of Leavers | 2021/ 22 Number of Staff Leavers | \% of Leavers |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Career Break | 1 | $1.22 \%$ | 3 | $2.5 \%$ |
| Death in Service | 1 | $1.22 \%$ | 1 | $0.8 \%$ |
| Dismissal | 4 | $4.88 \%$ | 4 | $3.3 \%$ |
| End of Contract | 6 | $7.32 \%$ | 7 | $5.7 \%$ |
| III Health Retirement | 0 | $0.00 \%$ | 4 | $3.3 \%$ |
| Redundancy | 5 | $6.10 \%$ | 8 | $6.6 \%$ |
| Retirement | 11 | $13.41 \%$ | 22 | $18.0 \%$ |
| TUPE Transfer (out) | 2 | $2.44 \%$ |  |  |
| Voluntary | 52 | $63.41 \%$ | 73 | $59.8 \%$ |
| TOTAL STAFF | 82 |  | 122 |  |

## Workforce Information

## LENGTH OF SERVICE



MAKE UP THE LARGEST \% OF WORKFORCE

| Length of Service | Number of Staff | \% of Workforce |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 1 year | 93 | $10.94 \%$ |
| 1 year to 2 years | 112 | $13.18 \%$ |
| 2 years to 5 years | 138 | $16.24 \%$ |
| 5 years to 10 years | 162 | $19.06 \%$ |
| 10 years to 20 years | 179 | $21.06 \%$ |
| $20+$ years | 166 | $19.53 \%$ |
| TOTAL STAFF | $\mathbf{8 5 0}$ |  |

## Workforce Information

## RECRUITMENT

- All new appointments (whether internal or external) are monitored in terms of age, disability, ethnicity and gender.
- There were 213 successful appointments/offers made for a total of 317 roles advertised.
- Some roles received no applications, some roles did not result in having candidates who were suitable for shortlisting and others had candidates who withdrew during the pre-employment stage.
- There were three roles where offers were withdrawn from the candidates. All of these related to satisfying the pre-employment
checks or providing evidence of qualifications. Of all offers made, 67 (31.4\%) were internal appointments with $61.1 \%$ of the internal offers (41) being a promotion.
- Of the 146 external appointments, $15.7 \%$ (23) joined the organisation with Local Government Continuous Service, an increase of $4 \%$ from the previous year.
- A high number of re-advertisements accounting for $19.2 \%$ (61) of the roles advertised. $70 \%$ of the readvertisements were filled at that second round

| Year | Total <br> Number of Roles Advertised | Roles with No Applications Received | Roles with No Applicants Shortlisted | Roles with No Offers Made | No. of Roles Offered | Roles with Candidates who Withdrew after job offer | No. of Roles with the Offer Withdrawn (to the candidate) | No. of Roles that are Re-advertisements (some carried forward from previous year) | No of Roles Withdrawn | No. of Roles still progressing/ under review/ awaiting start date |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022-23 | 317 | 21 | 17 | 29 | 213 | 13 | 3 | 61 | 1 | 31 |
| 2021-22 | 294 | 18 | 7 | 17 | 202 | 13 | Not captured | 15 (only from that year) | 7 | 15 |

## Workforce Information

## RECRUITMENT

|  | Total Number of Roles Re-advertised | \% of total roles advertised |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 2nd Time | 43 | $13.6 \%$ |
| 3rd Time | 1 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 4th Time + | 17 | $5.4 \%$ |
| TOTAL STAFF | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 . 2 \%}$ |

Nif 146

## 67 INTERNAL

*OF WHICH
External appointments joined with Continuous Service

## Workforce Information

## PROMOTIONS




Age range between 25 \& 55
narrower range than previous year

## 4.8\%

were staff
declared from ETHNIC MINORITY


PEOPLE
AGED
under 24
\& over 65
were the least represented age groups with promotions

## Workforce Information

## PROMOTIONS

|  | Total Number of <br> Promotions (41) | \% of Promotions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Female | 23 | $56 \%$ |
| Male | 18 | $44 \%$ |


|  | Total Number of <br> Promotions (41) | \% of Promotions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Staff declaring <br> themselves as from <br> an ethnic minority | 2 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Staff declaring <br> themselves as <br> not from an <br> ethnic minority | 39 | $95.2 \%$ |


|  | Total Number of <br> Promotions (41) | \% of Promotions |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Staff declaring <br> themselves as <br> having a disability | 2 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Staff declaring <br> themselves as not <br> having a disability | 39 | $95.2 \%$ |

## Workforce Information

## PROMOTIONS (Continued)



43.9\%
of the overall promotions total, obtain a promotion within the service




## Workforce Information

## PROMOTIONS (Continued)

| City Pay Band | Total Number of Promotions from the band | \% of all Promotions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Apprentice | 1 | \% |
| 1 | 0 | \% |
| 2 | 1 | \% |
| 3 | 7 | \% |
| 4 | 11 | \% |
| 5 | 9 | \% |
| 6 | 5 | \% |
| 7 | 7 | \% |
| 8 | 0 | \% |
| 9 | 0 | \% |
| Senior <br> Management | 0 | \% |
| TOTAL | 41 |  |


| City Pay Band | Total Number of Promotions from the band | \% of all Promotions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 0\% |
| 2 | 0 | 0\% |
| 3 | 2 | 0.4\% |
| 4 | 6 | 14.6\% |
| 5 | 6 | 14.6\% |
| 6 | 8 | 19.5\% |
| 7 | 10 | 29.1\% |
| 8 | 5 | 12.1\% |
| 9 | 4 | 9.7\% |
| Senior Management | 0 | 0\% |
| TOTAL | 41 |  |

## Workforce Information

## PROMOTIONS (Continued)

| Department | Number of staff that <br> progressed/ were promoted <br> outside the Service | \% of all <br> Promotions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental <br> Services | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Community <br> Services | 3 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Customer <br> Services | 3 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Housing | $\mathbf{4}$ | $9.7 \%$ |
|  <br> Facilities | $\mathbf{1 2}$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |


| Department | Number of staff that <br> progressed/ were promoted <br> within the Service | \% of all <br> Promotions |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Building Control | 3 | $7.3 \%$ |
| Community <br> Services | 8 | $19.5 \%$ |
| Customer <br> Services | 2 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Housing | 1 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Estates \& F <br> acilities | 1 | $4.8 \%$ |
| Housing Dev. <br> Agency | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| HR | 1 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Legal | 4 | $2.4 \%$ |
| Finance | 1 | $9.7 \%$ |
| Transformation | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | $2.4 \%$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |

## Workforce Information

## APPRENTICESHIPS

8 STARTS in 2022/23
500 from the previous year, 0 showing improvement increase post-pandemic.
 their apprenticeships


TWENTY
apprentices on
our Scheme from
31st March 2022


| Year | Total Number of <br> Apprentices 31st <br> March 23 | Number of <br> Enrolments | Male <br> Apprentices | Female <br> Apprentices | Apprentices who declare themselves <br> as from an ethnic minority | Apprentices who identify <br> as having a disability |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022-23$ | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{8}$ | $\mathbf{5 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{4 5 \%}$ | $\mathbf{5 \%}$ | $5 \%$ |
| $2021-22$ | 25 | 4 | $60 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $0 \%$ |
| $2020-21$ | 38 | 4 | $57 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ | $5.4 \%$ |

## Workforce Information

## APPRENTICESHIPS

Apprenticeship Starts: A Positive Trend


| Apprenticeship Standard | Level | Number of Apprentices |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Accountancy or Taxation Professional | 7 | 1 |
| Associate Project Management | 4 | 2 |
| Building Control Surveyor Degree Apprenticeship | 6 | 5 |
| Business Administration | 3 | 1 |
| Carpentry \& Joinery | 2 | 1 |
| CIPS (Procurement \& Supply) | 4 | 1 |
| Payroll Administrator | 3 | 1 |
| Payroll Assistant Manager | 3 | 1 |
| Plumbing \& Domestic Heating Technician | 3 | 2 |
| Team Leader/ Supervisor | 3 | 5 |

## Workforce Information

## PAYSCALE INFORMATION

The Council's pay scales for the period of 2022/ 23 are shown below:

| Pay Band | Salary Range |
| :---: | :---: |
| Band 1 | $£ 20,441$ to $£ 22,369$ |
| Band 2 | $£ 22,777$ to $£ 24,948$ |
| Band 3 | $£ 25,409$ to $£ 27,852$ |
| Band 4 | $£ 28,371$ to $£ 32,020$ |
| Band 5 | $£ 37,261$ to $£ 42,503$ |
| Band 6 to $£ 37,261$ |  |
| Band 7 | $£ 42,503$ to $£ 47,573$ |
| Band 8 | $£ 47,573$ to $£ 51,674$ |
| Band 9 | $£ 51,674$ to $£ 57,405$ |


|  | Pay Band | Salary Range |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Band 10 | $£ 57,405$ to $£ 64,308$ |
|  | Band 11 | $£ 64,308$ to $£ 71,648$ |
|  | Head of Service | $£ 73,931$ to $£ 81,251$ |
|  | Directors | £94,684 to £105,670 |
|  | Chief Executive | $£ 122,172$ to $£ 137,517$ |

*We are an accredited Real Living Wage Employer and pay a living wage supplement to staff on Cambridge City terms and conditions of employment within Band 1 to ensure staff receive the minimum of the RLW currently $£ 10.90$ per hour (October 2022), which equates to a full-time salary of $£ \mathbf{2 1 , 0 2 8}$ per annum.

We also have a Cambridge Weighting supplement to ensure that with effect from 1 April 2023 staff receive a minimum of $£ 11.00$ per hour which equates to a full-time salary of $£ 21,222$ per annum.

## Workforce Information

## DISCIPLINARY

| Year | Total <br> Number <br> of Staff | Female <br> Staff | $\%$ | Male <br> Staff | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022 / 23$ | 6 | 1 | $17 \%$ | 5 | $83 \%$ |
| $2021 / 22$ | 9 | 2 | $22 \%$ | 7 | $78 \%$ |
| $2020 / 21$ | 6 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ |
| $2019 / 20$ | 6 | 2 | $33 \%$ | 4 | $67 \%$ |
| $2018 / 19$ | 5 | 1 | $20 \%$ | 4 | $80 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 8 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 8 | $100 \%$ |

Disciplinary cases are monitored in terms of equality, however, it is difficult to draw conclusions from relatively low numbers, when considered against the overall workforce.
There has been a small decrease in the number of recorded disciplinary cases this year. Of the 6 reported cases, 3 concluded with an outcome of a formal written warning upward.

| Number of staff <br> who declare <br> themselves from <br> an ethnic minority | $\%$ | Number of staff <br> who do not declare <br> themselves from an <br> ethnic minority | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who <br> did not wish <br> to declare | $\%$ <br> 0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $11 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $16 \%$ | 5 | $89 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $16 \%$ | 4 | $83 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $0 \%$ | 5 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | $0 \%$ | 8 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 0 |  |  |  |  | 1 |

3 resulted in further management and/or employee actions with ongoing monitoring, denoting the supportive approach of the Council when taking remedial measures. Of the case that declared a disability, consideration was given to the nature of the condition and the requirement for reasonable adjustments.
There is no apparent rationale for why men accounted for more of the disciplinary cases or that most of cases were in the 45-54 age range

## Workforce Information

## DISCIPLINARY

| Year | Number of <br> staff who <br> identify <br> as disabled | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who do <br> not identify <br> as disabled | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who did <br> not wish to <br> declare | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022 / 23$ | 1 | $17 \%$ | 5 | $83 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2021 / 22$ | 3 | $33 \%$ | 6 | $67 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2020 / 21$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2019 / 20$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 6 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2018 / 19$ | 1 | $20 \%$ | 4 | $80 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 8 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |


| Total <br> Number <br> of Staff | Under <br> 55 | $\%$ | Over <br> 55 | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | 6 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $\%$ |
| 9 | 8 | $89 \%$ | 1 | $11 \%$ |
| 6 | 4 | $66 \%$ | 2 | $34 \%$ |
| 6 | 4 | $66 \%$ | 2 | $34 \%$ |
| 5 | 3 | $60 \%$ | 2 | $40 \%$ |
| 8 | 7 | $87.5 \%$ | 1 | $12.5 \%$ |


| 2022/23 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Age | Total Number of Staff |
| 18 and under | 0 |
| $19-24$ | 0 |
| $25-34$ | 1 |
| $35-44$ | 0 |
| $45-54$ | 5 |
| $55-64$ | 0 |
| 65 and over | 0 |
| Prefer not to say/ not disclosed | 0 |
| TOTAL | 6 |

## Workforce Information

## CAPABILITY

| Year | Total <br> Number <br> of Staff | Female <br> Staff | $\%$ | Male <br> Staff | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022 / 23$ | 1 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| $2021 / 22$ | 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2020 / 21$ | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2019 / 20$ | 3 | 1 | $33 \%$ | 2 | $67 \%$ |
| $2018 / 19$ | 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 11 | 4 | $36 \%$ | 7 | $64 \%$ |


| Number of staff <br> who declare <br> themselves from <br> an ethnic minority | $\%$ | Number of staff <br> who do not declare <br> themselves from an <br> ethnic minority | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who <br> did not wish <br> to declare | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $66 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | $0 \%$ | 11 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |  |

Capability cases are monitored in terms of equality; however, it is difficult to draw conclusions in comparison with the overall workforce, from such low numbers.
The number of capability cases remains low.

## Workforce Information

## CAPABILITY

| Year | Number of <br> staff who <br> identify <br> as disabled | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who do <br> not identify <br> as disabled | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who did <br> not wish to <br> declare | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022 / 23$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2021 / 22$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2020 / 21$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2019 / 20$ | 1 | $33 \%$ | 2 | $67 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2018 / 19$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 11 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |


| Total <br> Number <br> of Staff | Under <br> 55 | $\%$ | Over <br> 55 | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | 0 | $100 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 3 | 2 | $67 \%$ | 1 | $33 \%$ |
| 0 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 11 | 9 | $82 \%$ | 2 | $18 \%$ |


| 2022/23 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Age | Total Number of Staff |
| 18 and under | 0 |
| $19-24$ | 0 |
| $25-34$ | 1 |
| $35-44$ | 0 |
| $45-54$ | 0 |
| $55-64$ | 0 |
| 65 and over | 0 |
| Prefer not to say/ not disclosed | 0 |
| TOTAL | 1 |

## Workforce Information

## GRIEVANCES

| Year | Total <br> Number <br> of Staff | Female <br> Staff | $\%$ | Male <br> Staff | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022 / 23$ | 1 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $0 \%$ |
| $2021 / 22$ | 10 | 4 | $40 \%$ | 6 | $60 \%$ |
| $2020 / 21$ | 15 | 5 | $33 \%$ | 10 | $67 \%$ |
| $2019 / 20$ | 9 | 4 | $44 \%$ | 5 | $56 \%$ |
| $2018 / 19$ | 7 | 3 | $43 \%$ | 4 | $57 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 11 | 4 | $36 \%$ | 7 | $64 \%$ |


| Number of staff <br> who declare <br> themselves from <br> an ethnic minority | $\%$ | Number of staff <br> who do not declare <br> themselves from an <br> ethnic minority | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who <br> did not wish <br> to declare | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 2 | $20 \%$ | 6 | $60 \%$ | 2 | $20 \%$ |
| 2 | $13 \%$ | 13 | $87 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $11 \%$ | 8 | $89 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $14 \%$ | 6 | $86 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | $0 \%$ | 11 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |

Grievance cases are monitored in terms of equality, however, it is difficult to draw conclusions from relatively low numbers, when considered against the overall workforce. The Council continues to take every complaint seriously and action appropriately.

Further analysis identified there was no evidence of any allegations of bullying and harassment. Also, there was one collective grievance
The number of grievances is low in comparison to previous years.

## Workforce Information

## CAPABILITY

| Year | Number of <br> staff who <br> identify <br> as disabled | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who do <br> not identify <br> as disabled | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who did <br> not wish to <br> declare | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022 / 23$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2021 / 22$ | 2 | $20 \%$ | 8 | $80 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2020 / 21$ | 3 | $20 \%$ | 12 | $80 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2019 / 20$ | 1 | $11 \%$ | 8 | $89 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2018 / 19$ | 1 | $14 \%$ | 6 | $86 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 11 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |


| Total <br> Number <br> of Staff | Under <br> 55 | $\%$ | Over <br> 55 | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 1 | $100 \%$ |
| 10 | 9 | $90 \%$ | 1 | $10 \%$ |
| 15 | 13 | $87 \%$ | 2 | $13 \%$ |
| 9 | 7 | $64 \%$ | 2 | $36 \%$ |
| 7 | 6 | $86 \%$ | 1 | $14 \%$ |
| 11 | 9 | $82 \%$ | 2 | $18 \%$ |


| 2022/23 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Age | Total Number of Staff |
| 18 and under | 0 |
| $19-24$ | 0 |
| $25-34$ | 0 |
| $35-44$ | 0 |
| $45-54$ | 0 |
| $55-64$ | 0 |
| 65 and over | 1 |
| Prefer not to say/ not disclosed | 0 |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{1}$ |

## Workforce Information

## REDUNDANCY

| Year | Total <br> Number <br> of Staff | Female <br> Staff | $\%$ | Male <br> Staff | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022 / 23$ | 5 | 2 | $40 \%$ | 3 | $60 \%$ |
| $2021 / 22$ | 8 | 7 | $87 \%$ | 1 | $13 \%$ |
| $2020 / 21$ | 9 | 5 | $55 \%$ | 4 | $45 \%$ |
| $2019 / 20$ | 3 | 1 | $33 \%$ | 2 | $67 \%$ |
| $2018 / 19$ | 4 | 2 | $50 \%$ | 2 | $50 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 7 | 4 | $57 \%$ | 3 | $43 \%$ |


| Number of staff <br> who declare <br> themselves from <br> an ethnic minority | $\%$ | Number of staff <br> who do not declare <br> themselves from an <br> ethnic minority | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who <br> did not wish <br> to declare | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $20 \%$ | 4 | $80 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $13 \%$ | 7 | $87 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | $100 \%$ | 9 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 0 | $100 \%$ | 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $25 \%$ | 3 | $75 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| 1 | $14 \%$ | 6 | $86 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |

The number of redundancies for 2022/ 23 represent 0.58\% of the total workforce.

## Workforce Information

## REDUNDANCY

| Year | Number of <br> staff who <br> identify <br> as disabled | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who do <br> not identify <br> as disabled | $\%$ | Number of <br> staff who did <br> not wish to <br> declare | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $2022 / 23$ | 0 | $0 \%$ | 5 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2021 / 22$ | 1 | $13 \%$ | 7 | $87 \%$ | 0 | $0 \% \%$ |
| $2020 / 21$ | 0 | $100 \%$ | 9 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2019 / 20$ | 0 | $100 \%$ | 3 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2018 / 19$ | 2 | $50 \%$ | 2 | $50 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $2017 / 18$ | 0 | $100 \%$ | 7 | $100 \%$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |


| Total <br> Number <br> of Staff | Under <br> 55 | $\%$ | Over <br> 55 | $\%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 | 3 | $60 \%$ | 2 | $40 \%$ |
| 8 | 2 | $25 \%$ | 6 | $75 \%$ |
| 9 | 4 | $45 \%$ | 5 | $55 \%$ |
| 3 | 0 | $100 \%$ | 3 | $100 \%$ |
| 4 | 1 | $25 \%$ | 3 | $75 \%$ |
| 7 | 4 | $57 \%$ | 3 | $43 \%$ |


| 2022/23 |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Age | Total Number of Staff |
| 18 and under | 0 |
| $19-24$ | 0 |
| $25-34$ | 1 |
| $35-44$ | 1 |
| $45-54$ | 1 |
| $55-64$ | 1 |
| 65 and over | 1 |
| Prefer not to say/ not disclosed | 0 |
| TOTAL | 5 |

## Flexible Working

There were no flexible working requests from staff declaring as from an ethnic minority.

This year we continue to monitor and report on the number of flexible working requests made across the Council.

had the least representation
for requests


There were more FLEXIBLE WORKING requests from our female than male staff.


Age range of requests spanned from staff aged 25 to 65+ years

|  | Total Number of Flexible <br> Working Requests (29) | \% of Flexible <br> Working Requests |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 18 or under | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $19-24$ | 0 | $0 \%$ |
| $25-34$ | 4 | $14 \%$ |
| $35-44$ | 7 | $24 \%$ |
| $45-54$ | 8 | $28 \%$ |
| $55-64$ | 7 | $24 \%$ |
| $65+$ | 3 | $10 \%$ |


$7 \%$ from individuals who declared as having a disability

|  | Total Number <br> of Flexible Working <br> Requests (29) | \% of Flexible <br> Working Requests |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Staff declaring <br> themselves as from <br> an ethnic minority | 0 | $\%$ |
| Staff declaring <br> themselves as <br> not from an <br> ethnic minority | 29 | $100 \%$ |


|  | Total Number <br> of Flexible Working <br> Requests (29) | \% of Flexible <br> Working Requests |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Staff declaring <br> themselves as <br> having a disability | 2 | $7 \%$ |
| Staff declaring <br> themselves as not <br> having a disability | 27 | $93 \%$ |

## Gender Pay Reporting

| Reported in | Mean Gender <br> Pay Gap | Median Gender <br> Pay Gap | Mean Bonus <br> Gender Pay Gap | Median Bonus <br> Gender Pay Gap | Proportion of males <br> and females receiving <br> a bonus payment | Proportion of males and <br> females in each quartile <br> pay band |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2023 | $0.95 \%$ | $6.81 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | See Over |
| 2022 | $1.67 \%$ | $8.37 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | See Over |
| 2021 | $1.40 \%$ | $4.75 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | See Over |
| 2020 | $0.26 \%$ | $4.75 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | See Over |
| 2019 | $2.45 \%$ | $5.53 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | See Over |
| 2018 | $3.19 \%$ | $5.91 \%$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | See Over |

Mean Average - adding up all numbers and dividing the result by how many numbers were in the list.
Median Average - listing all numbers in numerical order, if there is an odd number of results, the median average is the middle number.

If there is an even number of results, the median will be the mean of the two central numbers.
Mean and median bonus gender pay gap and proportion of females/makes receiving a bonus payment is not applicable as we do not pay bonuses

## QUARTILES

The Council has around 850 employees in a wide range of roles and pay bands.
Jobs are evaluated to determine the pay band.

Gender balance across the organisation is $48 \%$ female, 52\% male.

Female and male staff are not represented equally in all pay bands.
There are roles with a higher percentage of male employees and others with higher percentage of female employees.

Distribution of female/male staff will impact on mean and median averages. This is not a factor of the pay system, but the distribution of female and male staff in certain types of roles.

Staff are employed at different points within the pay bands, based on appointment salary, when they were appointed and incremental progression.

Our distribution of males across the pay bands has changed very little since 2018 but the distribution of females has been changing more, with more females in Band 5 than previously. This impacts on the median.

## Gender Pay Reporting

|  |  | Female | Male | TOTAL | \% Female | \% Male |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\underset{\sim}{N}$ | Lower Quartile | 92 | 101 | 193 | 52\% | 48\% |
|  | Lower Middle Quartile | 103 | 94 | 197 | 52\% | 48\% |
|  | Upper Middle Quartile | 83 | 99 | 182 | 46\% | 54\% |
|  | Upper Quartile | 89 | 118 | 207 | 43\% | 57\% |
| $\underset{N}{N}$ | Lower Quartile | 91 | 92 | 183 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | Lower Middle Quartile | 96 | 96 | 192 | 50\% | 50\% |
|  | Upper Middle Quartile | 77 | 104 | 181 | 43\% | 57\% |
|  | Upper Quartile | 94 | 106 | 200 | 47\% | 53\% |
| 구N | Lower Quartile | 95 | 93 | 188 | 51\% | 49\% |
|  | Lower Middle Quartile | 101 | 92 | 193 | 52\% | 48\% |
|  | Upper Middle Quartile | 75 | 104 | 179 | 42\% | 58\% |
|  | Upper Quartile | 97 | 107 | 204 | 48\% | 52\% |
| Oi | Lower Quartile | 97 | 100 | 197 | 49\% | 51\% |
|  | Lower Middle Quartile | 106 | 98 | 204 | 52\% | 48\% |
|  | Upper Middle Quartile | 85 | 114 | 199 | 43\% | 57\% |
|  | Upper Quartile | 99 | 110 | 209 | 47\% | 53\% |
| $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\mathrm{N}}}{ }$ | Lower Quartile | 108 | 88 | 196 | 55\% | 45\% |
|  | Lower Middle Quartile | 104 | 92 | 196 | 53\% | 47\% |
|  | Upper Middle Quartile | 83 | 113 | 196 | 42\% | 58\% |
|  | Upper Quartile | 94 | 101 | 195 | 48\% | 52\% |
| $\underset{\sim}{\infty}$ | Lower Quartile | 120 | 82 | 202 | 59\% | 41\% |
|  | Lower Middle Quartile | 102 | 99 | 201 | 51\% | 49\% |
|  | Upper Middle Quartile | 94 | 107 | 201 | 47\% | 53\% |
|  | Upper Quartile | 98 | 103 | 201 | 49\% | 51\% |

## Gender Pay Reporting

| Quartile | Hourly Rates |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2018 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2023 |  | 2022 |  | 2021 |  | 2020 |  | 2019 |  |  |  |
| 1. Lower Quartile | £9.50 | £15.25 | £8.91 | £13.85 | £8.72 | £13.74 | £7.38 | £13.74 | £7.37 | £12.36 | £7.04 | £12.12 |
| 2. Lower Middle Quartile | £15.25 | £18.35 | £13.98 | £17.33 | £13.74 | £16.71 | £13.74 | £16.71 | £12.36 | £15.05 | £12.12 | £15.06 |
| 3.Upper Middle Quartile | £18.36 | £22.03 | £17.35 | £21.03 | £16.71 | £20.67 | £16.71 | £20.67 | £15.05 | £18.72 | £15.19 | £18.77 |
| 4. Upper Quartile | £22.03 | £65.97 | £21.03 | £64.97 | £20.67 | £52.98 | £20.67 | £65.86 | £18.72 | £66.02 | £18.84 | £64.72 |

The tables show the hourly rates for each quartile and the proportions of male and female full-pay relevant employees in the lower, lower middle, upper middle and upper quartile pay bands.

Over the previous five years of data, the biggest changes have been in the lower and lower middle quartiles. For 2022 and 2023, there are more women in the upper middle quartile and fewer men.
We continue to monitor our pay gap and take action to maintain and improve our performance.

Appendix A: Full Breakdown of workforce by ethnicity

|  | \% of Workforce |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } \\ 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } \\ 2 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } \\ 3 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } \\ 4 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } \\ 5 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } \\ 6 \end{gathered}$ | Band 7 | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } \\ 8 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Band } \\ 9 \end{gathered}$ | Senior Management | TUPE \& Other | Total | \% of Workforce |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | 90.91\% | White British/ English/ Northern Irish/ Scottish/ Welsh | 34 | 18 | 126 | 115 | 145 | 100 | 45 | 29 | 23 | 19 | 2 | 656 | 79.52\% |
|  |  | Irish | 1 | - | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 1.94\% |
|  |  | Gypsy or Irish Traveller | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 0.12\% |
|  |  | Roma | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0.00\% |
|  |  | Any other White Background | 14 | 4 | 16 | 16 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 2 | - | - | 1 | 77 | 9.33\% |
| Asian or Asian British | 4.24\% | Indian | 2 | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | - | - | - | 14 | 1.70\% |
|  |  | Pakistani | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 2 | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.36\% |
|  |  | Bangladeshi | 1 | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 6 | 0.73\% |
|  |  | Chinese | - | - | - | - | 1 | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | 3 | 0.36\% |
|  |  | Any other Asian Background | 1 | - | 3 | - | 3 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1.09\% |
| Black/ African / Caribbean or Black British | 2.05\% | African | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 11 | 1.33\% |
|  |  | Caribbean | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.36\% |
|  |  | Any other Black, Black British or Caribbean background | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.36\% |
| Mixed/ <br> Multiple Ethnic Background | 1.94\% | White \& Black African | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.36\% |
|  |  | White \& Black Caribbean | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.36\% |
|  |  | White \& Asian | 2 | - | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 0.36\% |
|  |  | Any other mixed/ multiple ethnic background | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | 7 | 0.86\% |
| Other Ethnic Group | 0.86\% | Arab | - | 1 | - | - | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 0.61\% |
|  |  | Other ethnic group | - | - | - | 1 | - | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 0.25\% |
| TOTAL DECLARED |  |  | 58 | 25 | 153 | 145 | 176 | 124 | 55 | 38 | 26 | 21 | 4 | 825 | 97.06\% |
| Not disclosed | 2.94\% | Prefer not to say/ not provided | 4 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | 25 | 2.94\% |
| Total employees who declare themselves as from an ethnic minority group in pay band |  |  | 9 | 3 | 9 | 10 | 17 | 14 | 5 | 6 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 75 | 9.09\% |
| \% of ethnic minority staff in pay band |  |  | 15.5\% | 12.0\% | 5.9\% | 6.9\% | 9.7\% | 11.3\% | 9.0\% | 15.8\% | 3.8\% | 4.8\% | 0\% |  |  |
| Total in Pay Band |  |  | 62 | 26 | 157 | 150 | 181 | 127 | 56 | 39 | 27 | 21 | 4 | 850 |  |
| \% of Workforce in Pay Band |  |  | 7.4\% | 3.0\% | 18.5\% | 17.7\% | 21.3\% | 15\% | 6.6\% | 4.5\% | 3.1\% | 2.4\% | 0.5\% |  |  |

Appendix B: Full Breakdown of recruitment by ethnicity

|  |  | Applications | Shortlisted | Offers |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| White | White British/ English/ Northern Irish/ Scottish/ Welsh | 748 | 334 | 159 |
|  | Irish | 9 | 5 | 1 |
|  | Gypsy or Irish Traveller | 1 | 1 | 1 |
|  | Roma | 0 | 0 | 0 |
|  | Any other White Background | 159 | 55 | 15 |
|  |  | 917 | 395 | 176 |
|  | Percentage of all Applications | 59.47\% | 70.53\% | 82.62\% |
| Asian or Asian British | Indian | 22 | 8 | 5 |
|  | Pakistani | 20 | 8 | 3 |
|  | Bangladeshi | 13 | 5 | 0 |
|  | Chinese | 15 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Any other Asian Background | 31 | 4 | 3 |
|  |  | 101 | 29 | 12 |
|  | Percentage of all Applications | 6.54\% | 5.18\% | 5.63\% |
| Black/ African / Caribbean or Black British | African | 30 | 14 | 4 |
|  | Caribbean | 7 | 4 | 1 |
|  | Any other Black, Black British or Caribbean background | 28 | 9 | 3 |
|  |  | 65 | 30 | 8 |
|  | Percentage of all Applications | 4.21\% | 5.36\% | 3.75\% |
| Mixed/ Multiple Ethnic Background | White \& Black African | 27 | 13 | 2 |
|  | White \& Black Caribbean | 14 | 5 | 1 |
|  | White \& Asian | 30 | 8 | 2 |
|  | Any other mixed/multiple ethnic background | 54 | 14 | 2 |
|  |  | 125 | 42 | 7 |
|  | Percentage of all Applications | 8.10\% | 7.50\% | 3.28\% |
| Other Ethnic Group | Arab | 20 | 6 | 2 |
|  | Other ethnic group | 28 | 4 | 4 |
|  |  | 48 | 10 | 6 |
|  | Percentage of all Applications | 3.11\% | 1.79\% | 2.85\% |
| Not Disclosed | Prefer not to say/ not provided | 286 | 54 | 4 |
|  | Percentage of all Applications | 18.5\% | 9.64\% | 1.87\% |
| Total employees who declare themselves as from an ethnic minority |  | 339 | 111 | 33 |
|  | Percentage of all Applications | 21.98\% | 19.82\% | 15.49\% |
|  | TOTAL | 1542 | 560 | 213 |

## Actions for 2023/24

## We will:

- Work with our Equality \& Anti-Poverty Lead to ascertain opportunities to increase Disability representation in the workforce; including applications from people with disabilities.
- Endeavour to reduce the number of applications received with no equality monitoring information by reviewing the guidance that is provided to all applicants.
- Increase target of ethnic minority staff representation in our workforce to $10 \%$ in line with the most recent census data (23.7\% in Cambridge).
- Continue our networking and promotional work with organisations in order to encourage applications and showcase the Council as an employer.
- Continue to deliver on actions identified in the Single Equalities Scheme.
- Review and relaunch of key employment policies to ensure that they remain relevant and accessible to all.
- Continue to review our Health and Wellbeing material and provide supporting tools and guidance for staff and managers, ensuring accessibility for all.
- Continue to monitor internal promotion activity including reviewing career pathways across the organisation.
- Continue to monitor our Gender Pay Gap and extend this to Ethnicity Pay Gap monitoring.
- Continue to monitor the management of our employee relations casework.
- Explore the possibility of a flexible bank holiday policy.
- Review, enhance and relaunch our employee benefits package.
- Ensure that the People \& Culture Strategy addresses key equalities, diversity and inclusivity matters.

