

FOI Ref
7551

Response sent
30 July 2020

(CCC) S. 202 Statutory Reviews

1. Number of S. 202 Statutory Reviews requested by Housing Applicants in the financial years (April - March) 2016 - 2017; 2017 - 2018; 2018 - 2019 and 2019 - 2020.
2. A breakdown of the categories of reviews requested for each of the above years i.e. Priority Need, Intentionally Homeless; Not eligible; Not Homeless; Discharge of S. 193 Duty; Discharge of Relief Duty; Suitability of Accommodation.
3. How many reviews in the above categories overturned the original decision?
4. How many review decisions by categories above led to a County Court appeal?
5. How many County Court appeals by the categories above were successful on the part of the applicant?
6. Whether the reviews are currently carried out by an internal member of staff or are contracted out?

Response:

Please see attached files.

Further queries on this matter should be directed to foi@cambridge.gov.uk

Case Id : 7551

Received : 25/07/2020

Deadline : 21/08/2020

Summary : (CCC) S. 202 Statutory Reviews

1. Number of S. 202 Statutory Reviews requested by Housing Applicants in the financial years (April - March) 2016 - 2017; 2017 - 2018; 2018 - 2019 and 2019 - 2020.

Please see accompanying spread sheet

2. A breakdown of the categories of reviews requested for each of the above years i.e. Priority Need, Intentionally Homeless; Not eligible; Not Homeless; Discharge of S. 193 Duty; Discharge of Relief Duty; Suitability of Accommodation.

Please see accompanying spread sheet

3. How many reviews in the above categories overturned the original decision?

Please see accompanying spread sheet

4. How many review decisions by categories above led to a County Court appeal?

Priority Need		Intentional		Not eligible		Not homeless		Discharge of S193 duty		Discharge of relief duty		Suitability of accommodation	
No	Successful	No	Successful	No	Successful	No.	Successful	No.	Successful	No.	Successful	No	Successful
1	0	3	3	0	N/A	1	0	0	N/A	0	N/A	0	N/A

'Successful' refers to the appellant's perspective (see Q5)

5. How many County Court appeals by the categories above were successful on the part of the applicant?

Please see table above

6. Whether the reviews are currently carried out by an internal member of staff or are contracted out?

Reviews are contracted out.

Year	Priority Need		Intentionally Homeless		Not eligible		Not I	
	Upheld	Overtured	Upheld	Overtured	Upheld	Overtured		
2016-7		6	1	11	0	1	0	4
2017-8		4	0	6	4	0	0	3
2018-9		1	0	8	2	1	0	4
2019-20		4	2	5	1	3	1	1

'Upheld' includes decisions varied, but still adverse (e.g. decision of non priority reviewed, and reviewed)

Homeless Overturned	Discharge of S193 Duty		Discharge of Relief Duty		Suitability of accom.		No local c
	Upheld	Overturned	Upheld	Overturned	Upheld	Overturned	Upheld
0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
0	0	0	N/A	N/A	0	0	0
2	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
0	0	0	0	1	0	0	2

r decides 'not homeless')

onnection

Overtuned

0

0

0

0