

Cambridge Community Safety Partnership

- 6 October 2020
- 10:00-11:50 for the Members of the Community Safety Partnership
- The public can submit pre-advised questions before 10am on Monday 5 October to email address: Community.safety@cambridge.gov.uk
- Minutes of the meeting will be available to the public afterwards

AGENDA

No.	Item	Lead Officer(s)	Time (Mins)
1	Welcome, housekeeping / introductions and apologies	Chair	5
2	Pre-advised questions from the general public	Chair	5
3	Minutes of 11 February 2020 meeting: Agreement	Board / members	5
4	Action points: Review	Board / members	5
5	Update on CCSP Transformation Topic Serious Violence: Young People and Knife Crime Update on Transforming Lives Verbal update on Safer Streets Fund Bid	Lynda Kilkelly Paul Rogerson Carol Aston Alasdair Baker	10 10 10
6	Updates from Priority Leads: Priority: Safeguarding young people against violence and exploitation Priority: Listening to community needs and responding together to reduce harm	Paul Rogerson Paul Rogerson	10 10
7	Cambridgeshire Domestic Abuse and Sexual Violence Report - To be noted	Board / members	5
8	Domestic Homicide Reviews Verbal Update	Louise Walker	5
9	Annual Review 2020: Agreement	Louise Walker	5
10	Cambridge CSP Timeline: To be noted	Louise Walker	5
11	Countywide Community Safety Strategic Board meetings	Chair	5
12	Any other business	Board / members	10
13	Key messages from the meeting today to share with our organisations and the public	Chair / Board / members	5
14	Date of next Cambridge CSP meeting	9 February	110

Cambridge Community Safety Partnership

- 11 February 2020
- 10:00-11:30
- Committee Rooms 1 and 2, The Guildhall Cambridge

Draft Minutes

Board

Debbie Kaye (Chair)	Cambridge City Council (Community Services)
Mark Freeman	Cambridge Council For Voluntary Service
Nicky Massey	Cambridge City Council
Lisa Riddle (Vice Chair)	Cambridgeshire County Council
Nick Skipworth	Cambridgeshire Constabulary

Members

Lynda Kilkelly	Cambridge City Council (Community Services)
James Morgan	Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
Michelle Reynolds	University of Cambridge
Leigh Roberts	Cambridgeshire County Council Research Group
Susie Talbot	Cambridgeshire County Council Public Health Commissioning
Louise Walker (Minutes)	Cambridge City Council (Community Safety Team)

1. Welcome, housekeeping, introductions and apologies

- 1.1 The Chair, Debbie Kaye, welcomed everyone to the meeting. There were no attending members of the public.
- 1.2 Apologies were received from Board Members; Jessica Bawden (Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Clinical Commissioning Group), Clare Cook (Bedfordshire, Northamptonshire, Cambridgeshire and Hertfordshire Community Rehabilitation Company Limited (BeNCH CRC Ltd)), Edward Miller (Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service), Maggie Page (Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust) and Claire Richards (Cambridgeshire County Council). There were apologies from Members; Matt Quinn (British Transport Police), Adam Ratcliffe (Cambridge Business Against Crime (CAMBAC)) and David Walmsley (Anglia Ruskin University), and also the Acting Police and Crime Commissioner, Ray Bisby.

2. Pre-advised questions from the general public

- 2.1 There were no pre-advised questions.

3. Minutes of 17 October 2019: Agreement

- 3.1 The Minutes of 17 October 2019 were agreed and would go forward for publication.

4. Action Points: Review

- 4.1 All action points from the previous meeting were discussed and closed.
- 4.2 The Chair asked Louise Walker to advise Rob Hill of the next Cambridge Community Safety Partnership (CSP) meeting date. Action point 02/01

5. Update on Transformation Topic Serious Violence: Young People and Knife Crime, including on PCC project funding

- 5.1 The Chair reported that a second funding bid had been submitted to the Office of the Police and Crime Commissioner (OPCC), explaining that the delay with the first submission had been due to awaiting the finalised Countywide Child Exploitation Plan to avoid duplication. The OPCC has responded that they would like further detailed clarification, for example how the CSP will work with partners such as the Youth Offending Service.
- 5.2 Vice Chair, Lisa Riddle, provided the background to the CSP county lines bid and explained that the CSP wish to take a universal approach, rather than duplicating targeted work such as by the County Council and Police, and that this would link to the work of Think Communities. The potential funding would be £24k a year for two years until March 2021. The project would start in Cambridge and could be scaled up to be shared across the county. Public consultation – online and face to face – with young people, parents, businesses and the wider community would identify need.
- 5.3 Mark Freeman reported that the first submitted funding bid included creating a separate website, which was essential to be able to engage with a wider audience. He provided the example of Southend [See The Signs](#) Campaign's website, which had removed all cookies to enable anonymous reporting, and said that using social media is effective in wider engagement. Susie Talbot reported that the Southend Campaign is led by their Council's Children's Services so is integrated with other established channels and monitored. The Chair said that the OPCC could not currently consider a separate website due to the cost and that links could be provided such as to [Fearless](#), a website for young people operated by Crimestoppers, where information can be shared 100% anonymously. Nicky Massey asked how the CSP will gain data to be able to evidence success if it provides a webpage with links to other websites and Leigh Roberts responded that this is an ongoing issue in prevention work.

- 5.4 The Chair summarised that the CSP would need to address the points raised by the OPCC to be able to resubmit a bid to receive any funding.

6. Verbal Updates from Priority Lead Officers:

6.1 CSP Priority: Safeguarding people against violence and exploitation

- 6.1.1 Nick Skipworth, Priority Lead Officer, provided a verbal update on successful organised crime disruption. He reported that linked to county lines, there had been 122 arrests since January 2019, £55,405 worth of Class A drugs, £61,982 seized in cash and 42 visits in Section 8 of the Police and Criminal Evidence Act, which gives the police statutory protection to enter and search premises for evidence. He explained that Operation Carmel continues and that issues are taken to the City Council led Problem Solving Group. He explained that there has been some scoping work around cuckooing in hostels to understand issues to be able to raise awareness and prevent crime.
- 6.1.2 Susie Talbot asked about a joined-up approach to work in hostels, which often house vulnerable people with mental health, alcohol and drug issues, and the support available for them to be able to retain their housing. Lynda Kilkelly explained that the Problem Solving Group identifies many vulnerable people and connects with other housing providers to support people being exploited.

6.2 CSP Priority: Identifying and responding to vulnerable locations

- 6.2.1 Nick Skipworth, Priority Lead Officer, provided a verbal update. He said that the Police are working with the community and partners such as Cambridge City Council on environmental visual audits to address issues such as with lighting and graffiti. The Police are still using the Cambridge Harm Index, which looks at indices to define harm for example with crime types and impact. The Police look at an index of people to provide insight into individuals for offender management and victims of harm.
- 6.2.2 Lisa Riddle asked if there were any issues with Airbnbs. Nick Skipworth responded that the most frequent issues were around organised prostitution.

6.3 Priority: Domestic abuse

- 6.3.1 Lisa Riddle provided a verbal update on Cambridgeshire Domestic Abuse and Sexual Violence (DASV) Partnership's Q3 Report and highlighted trial changes in the MARAC (Multi-Agency Risk Assessment Conference) process such as the threshold being increased, which will be reviewed at the end of March 2020.

6.3.2 Nicky Massey asked about East Cambridgeshire domestic abuse repeat rate of incidence as it was higher than other districts. Action point 02/02

6.3.3 Louise Walker reported on the successful Cambridge City Council Domestic Abuse Conference held at the Guildhall on Monday 25 November to mark White Ribbon Day and the United Nations International day for Eliminating Violence Against Women, which starts 16 Days of Action to 10 December – Human Rights Day. She explained that the Cambridge Community Forum on Domestic and Sexual Violence / Abuse, chaired by Executive Councillor for Community Safety Nicky Massey, held a meeting with a guest speaker from Cambridge Rape Crisis Centre during Sexual Violence Awareness Week 3-9 February. She reported that Cambridge City Council has been successfully accredited by the Domestic Abuse Housing Alliance (DAHA) and that some of the work will be used as examples of good practice for other housing providers across the UK.

7. CSP Priorities 2020-2021: Agreement

7.1 The Chair, Debbie Kaye, spoke to the report. The Board agreed for the CSP Chair or Vice Chair to lead the Multi-Agency Steering Group with oversight of the Business As Usual Operational Groups and the Transformation Topic. Action point 02/03

7.2 The Board agreed that the two CSP Priorities for 2020-2021 would be

- Safeguarding young people against violence and exploitation
- Listening to community needs and responding together to reduce harm

7.3 Mark Freeman asked about the Lead Officer for the Priority: Listening to community needs and responding together to reduce harm, being the Police rather than the County Council who lead the Think Communities work. Nick Skipworth replied that the Police aim to prevent crime and use public consultation to take issues to the Problem Solving Group (PSG) so are already at the heart of this work. Lynda clarified that historically the PSG is a case management group with professionals using their expertise to focus on individual cases and hot spot areas. She explained that the day to day case work is huge and not yet broadening out to what the community can do and if it did, that work would need to be re-managed as well as public expectation. Leigh Roberts reported that the PSG in South Cambridgeshire is trialling their meetings with different parts to discuss individual cases and more generally about communities. Lynda Kilkelly said that she would discuss the Cambridge PSG with Inspector Paul Rogerson. Action point 02/04

8. Community Safety Plan 2020-2021

- 8.1 The Chair spoke to the Plan, which was agreed by the Board and would include information about the Business As Usual Operational Groups.

9. Partnership Terms of Reference – Agreement

- 9.1 Louise Walker spoke to the revised Terms of Reference, which lay out the expectations of the Board and Members as a strategic, not an operational, Partnership. The Terms of Reference were agreed by the Board.

10. Domestic Homicide Review Action Plan Verbal Update

- 10.1 Lynda Kilkelly reported that the County Domestic Homicide Review (DHR) Action Plan had been completed, shared with partners and approved by the Home Office. She explained that the Home Office is changing its guidelines, which will take time before publishing. Currently they are giving verbal guidance on whether a DHR should be carried out, which includes avoiding duplication of previous findings. The two DHRs that the Cambridge CSP were about to carry out have now been re-considered for investigation by Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust (CPFT) and the Safeguarding Board and are therefore on hold for now in line with Home Office guidance.
- 10.2 A county wide procedure for funding and carrying out DHRs has been developed and will be presented by Rob Hill to the Countywide Community Safety Strategic Board for approval.
- 10.3 Leigh Roberts asked about a potential challenge with the new county approach. Lynda Kilkelly explained that the individual CSP Chairs have responsibility to decide whether the CSP undertakes a DHR and have to write to the Home Office providing reasons if a DHR is not carried out.

11. Countywide Strategic Safety Board Verbal Update

- 11.1 Lynda Kilkelly and Leigh Roberts provided updates about the meetings held on 23 October 2019 and 23 January 2020.

12. Any Other Business

- 12.1 The Chair asked the CSP members to share with their networks the public consultation: Police facilities in Cambridge and on the outskirts of Cambridge. She explained that the response would be from individual CSP members rather than a collective CSP response. Action point 02/05

13. Key messages from the meeting to share with our organisation and the public

- 13.1 The Chair summarised the key message to be shared from the meeting:

Think Communities can be viewed in different contexts such as

- The need for design to be meaningful and accessible
- To work together with communities using an asset-based approach

13.2 The Chair thanked the Board and Members for their contributions and the meeting was closed at 11:30.

Cambridge Community Safety Partnership

- 6 October 2020

ACTION POINTS

MONTH / NUMBER	ACTION POINT	ACTION
02/01	Louise Walker to advise Rob Hill of the next CSP meeting date.	Closed.
02/02	Leigh Roberts to ask the County Domestic Abuse and Sexual Violence (DASV) Partnership why the domestic abuse repeat incidence in the Q3 report is a higher percentage for East Cambridgeshire than other districts.	Closed: The County DASV Partnership responded that repeat rates into the IDVA Service can vary greatly from quarter to quarter, and a variation in rates is often seen between districts and across time. A certain amount of repeats are actually be a positive indication that victims are following the safety planning advice they have been given by their IDVA and are reporting new incidents to the police. We can also see repeat incidents where victims have chosen not to engage with the service, as engagement is voluntary, but incidents are still being reported either by the victim or third parties. Generally East Cambridgeshire district has fewer referrals than others and therefore any increase in repeat referrals can be magnified. In quarter 3 there were only 15 referrals, so a fewer number of repeats is needed to show as a larger increase in the repeat rate. East Cambridgeshire also consistently has high levels of engagement with the service – in

		<p>quarter 3 this was 87% which was higher than any other district. The high engagement rate indicates that the majority of the repeats that are being seen are 'positive' repeats, as described above where victims are following safety advice to call the police. Over time if repeat rates remain high the IDVA Service will always routinely investigate to identify any other underlying reasons for this.</p>
02/03	<p>Louise Walker to amend the CSP structure chart with the Chair / Vice Chair leading the Multi-Agency Steering Group and to share this with the CSP.</p>	<p>Closed: This was shared with the CSP on 13 February.</p>
02/04	<p>Lynda Kilkelly to discuss the Cambridge Problem Solving Group (PSG) with Paul Rogerson.</p>	<p>Closed.</p>
02/05	<p>Louise Walker to circulate information to the CSP about the public consultation: Police facilities in Cambridge and on the outskirts of Cambridge.</p>	<p>Closed: This was shared with the CSP on 13 February.</p>

To: Cambridge Community Safety Partnership Board
From: Marianne Crozier , Senior Community Safety Officer
Subject: Transformation Topic – County Lines Project

1. Purpose of the report

- 1.1 To update the Board and member of the progress of the County Lines Project
- 1.2 To make recommendations to the Board on next steps

2. Background

- 2.1 The OPCC agreed to fund a County Lines Project in Cambridge which when evaluated could be rolled out across the County. The project was funded for £32k for 20/21 with the option to apply for a further £24k from September depending on the progress of the project.
- 2.2 Part of the funding was to be used to create a part time project officer post to develop and deliver a campaign offering advice and information to parents and carers to help them prevent young people becoming involved in County Lines. The project officer's role would also be to co-ordinate the project with work already being done across the county, including with the County Lines Pathfinder, the Safeguarding Boards and Early Help.

3. Subject information

- 3.1 The introduction of restrictions around COVID -19 caused delays to the recruitment of the project officer. To ensure that the delivery of the project was not delayed, an interim project manager started in June 2020 and immediately set up a multi-agency task and finish group to progress this work. Membership of the group comprised representatives of the Cambridge Community Safety Partnership and colleagues from agencies including Cambridgeshire Constabulary, Youth Offending Service, Cambridgeshire Research Group, Cambridgeshire & Peterborough Safeguarding Children and Adults Partnership Board, Cambridge Council for Voluntary Services, Cambridge City Council, Cambridgeshire County Council.
- 3.2 The first job of the task group was to consider the best approach for consultation for parents, carers and young people in order to understand the concerns of the community in relation to county lines, what gaps there are in information available if someone had concerns and, what advice is available or should be available in the local context.
- 3.3 The group decided a survey would be the best approach, given the restrictions and limitations for usual forms of consultation because of Covid-19. The survey needed to be able to reach as wide an audience as possible, be accessible to all and appropriate. The group decided that although paper copies would need to be made available, an online based survey would be the best approach because we were not able to go into the usual settings to conduct face to face focus groups as we would have done before Covid-19. Some detached youth work was ongoing virtually and surveys could be completed during those sessions, but overall we would be more reliant on surveys to be completed outside of sessional work.

- 3.4 The survey had to be short, snappy and easy to understand to make it as attractive as possible for respondents to be able to complete. The task group developed two versions of the survey, one for young people and one for adults.
- 3.5 The surveys were trialled before they went live, with adults and with groups of young people participating in the national citizen service (NCS). Changes were made following feedback. The survey was circulated to schools in the City, through organisational social media feeds, through Cambridge Virtual Community centre and shared widely through the CSP and local networks. The survey was launched during the summer, the task group recognised the challenges that would be faced getting the same level of feedback that we might ordinarily expect. The survey closed on the 28th September, primarily to allow school students to have the opportunity to respond when they were settled in school.
- 3.6 More detailed analysis of the data needs to be undertaken but headlines from the survey responses will be shared verbally at the meeting of the CSP on 6th October when the initial analysis has taken place.
- 3.7 Next steps for the Project Officer, will be firstly to work with the Cambridgeshire Research Group to analyse the data from the survey to understand gaps in Cambridge City initially in terms of information available for parents, carers and young people. The task group will be instrumental in developing an information campaign for use across the city to support and inform residents who may have concerns about County Lines.
- 3.8 Once a gap analysis has been undertaken, the task group will also evaluate the survey, and use the learning from this project to develop it for use countywide, starting with Peterborough. There will be a need to tailor it within the local context, but the hope is that the work started in the city will be a solid foundation from which to do similar work across the county.
- 3.9 The results of the survey and the expert input from the task and finish group will be used to develop the future plan for the campaign and the ongoing work of the Project Officer post.
- 3.10 There is an option for the CSP to bid for a further £24k which would support the campaign and the co-ordination of work on County Lines across the many services involved in addressing County Lines. However, this funding would presently have to be spent by the end of March 2021. Realistically the work on the project needs to continue into 2021 for at least six months if we are to capitalise on the work done so far.

4. Recommendations

- 4.1 That the Board note and comments on the progress of the project to date
- 4.2 That the Board agrees to bid for the remaining £24k and includes a request to the Police and Crime Commissioner to allow the use the funding, if approved, for the continuation of the project up to September 2021.

Further Information:

Name: Marianne Crozier

Role: Senior Community Safety Officer (ASB)

Organisation: Cambridge City Council

(Public) Telephone number: 01223 457644

To: Cambridge Community Safety Partnership Board
From: Chief Inspector Paul Rogerson
Subject: CSP Update

Purpose of report – to update the CSP in regards current progress against the action plan.

The following areas require updating.

Agenda Item 5.2 - Update on Transforming Lives

In regard to the Cambridge Scheme, the Summer “virtual cohort” have successfully concluded their eight-week programme. The scheme will now pause whilst an evaluation is completed both in regard to the young persons progress and the virtual delivery method.

Looking ahead Transforming Lives will be governed by a County Wide Board which is being formed. Membership will include all partners and report to the Early Help Strategic board. Lynda Kilkelly will be invited to attend this as the City Representative. Paul Rogerson will take a County governance role and step back from local delivery. The governance role will include overseeing longer term funding, continuous review and improvement in delivery and curriculum.

Funding is available for further virtual and face to face cohorts within the city. The focus remains on engaging with those in the emerging harm category, specifically those at risk of becoming involved in Serious Street Based Violence (SSBV) (both potential victims and offenders).

As part of the County Governance group full accounts and financial projections will be made available as well as a County delivery plan.

Agenda Item 6

Priority: Safeguarding young people against violence and exploitation

Work with the Youth Consultation panel – this line of work has taken an unexpected turn during the pandemic which has meant that our work could not proceed as planned. The group has however been able to focus on supporting young people at greatest risk of harm during Covid 19. Nearly £14,000 has been raised in grant funding including a national lottery grant for £10,000. With the help of this funding and kind donations from business in Cambridge over 700 digital devices have been provided to young people to help them keep online and attending education or diversionary sessions whilst at home (in lock down). Paul Rogerson is a trustee with the Youth Panel and continues to work with the lead professional Phil Priestly to find a way for panel members to help us understand in greater detail issues facing young people in our city in regards to SSBV.

Organised Crime Group (OCG) tasking and co-ordination – Following a very basic review of need it has been decided that our OCG process will follow two defined partnership engagement routes:

1. Engaging partners via existing risk management processes, namely, our Problem Solving Groups (PSGs), offender and victim management processes.
2. Via bespoke commissioned partnerships “cases” around a specific OCG

The rationale behind this is that each OCG has a specific set of needs which call for very specific partners to be present. Following careful consideration, it was decided that there would be little benefit in a standing meeting, but instead focused case work around OCGs would be more useful and efficient.

Inspector McNeil will chair a monthly OCG police meeting, where an assessment will be made as to which of the two above pathways are taken.

The performance measures will be

- Tracking of referrals to partners
- Formation of specific partner “cases” (the number of)
- OCG disruption (as per the National Police Chiefs' Council (NPCC) manual)

Priority: Listening to community needs and responding together to reduce harm

Operation Carmel is running it's first round of interventions since Covid in Hilda Street, Garden Walk and Victoria Avenue. In the current situation the plan can not include the level of house to house / face to face engagement as previously, but instead focuses more on the targeted activity (see attached briefing). The medium-term plan is to develop a pilot area with a focus on “Think communities” led problem solving. This means working with local interest groups and Ward Councillors to commission community projects to tackle the underlying problems which are identified through phases 1-3 of the Operation. Councillor Massey is sponsoring this idea and will be working with Inspector McNeil and team to identify a trial area.



Partner briefing-
Op Carmel.docx

Name: Paul Rogerson

Role: Chief Inspector

Organisation: Cambs Police

(Public) Telephone number: 101

Operation Carmel

Partnership briefing

#safercambs



Dear Councillor

The local Police team wish to provide you with a briefing in regards to our work to tackle an increase in reports of street based drug dealing. Operation Carmel will begin on Monday 4th March 2019, you will be provided with an update every two weeks via email from your local team when the operation is active in your ward area.

Operation Carmel:

Op Carmel is a Police led focus on street based drug dealing in Cambridge City. This work will be undertaken alongside the already high level of Police activity to disrupt identified suspects from county line gangs.

Op Carmel will respond to the communities concerns about County Lines. That is, the visible affect they can see on their streets in their communities.

Key aims of Op Carmel will be to:

1. Take visible action against drug dealers operating in residential areas and public spaces.
2. Increase community confidence and intelligence gathering opportunities.
3. Work with partners to target harden communities.

Background

For over a year our city has been visited by county lines drug dealers. These organised gangs use people to travel to, and deal drugs within Cambridge City. Most of the people involved are young men who are often groomed or exploited to become part of criminal gangs and sell drugs to our residents. Up until recently gangs preyed on people, normally drug users, offered then cheap or free drugs in exchange for use of their home as a base of operation. This is known as 'Cuckooing' and for some time went unnoticed by the general public. Police and partner agency tactics have become sufficiently effective at closing down these cuckooed addresses that we have seen almost complete displacement, leading to increased dealing in public places on foot or from cars. The activity of county lines dealers in our communities is for this reason now more visible.

Disrupting the cuckooing modus operandi remains the right thing to do, many vulnerable people were at risk out of sight behind a closed door, and together we have stopped this high level of risk to such people.

We must now focus on the next phase of disruption, tackling the dealing on our streets. Whilst we have significantly impaired the dealer from acting with stealth from within people's homes, tackling street based activity remains a challenge and relies on good relationships with the public, police and partners acting quickly, building trust and taking action. An honest appraisal would fairly conclude that police teams find this challenging.

The police must have robust reporting systems in place – utilising 101, online reporting, 999 or Crimestoppers (anonymously) to ensure we correctly record all crime and incident data. Officers can then ensure the right people act on the right information in the correct way.

For the public this can be frustrating as they very rarely get to talk directly to local police teams and feedback can be slow. A specific challenge is experienced when drug dealing is reported, sometimes community information can be used to literally pounce on drug dealers and arrest them ('hot intel'), and we have many success stories like this. The reality however is that in the majority of cases drug dealing activity is over and done in a matter of moments, by the time a report is made the information is only of use to police retrospectively. This information is however very important and in time helps to build a picture to inform our patrols. Frustration rises when the Police do not respond and the community do not see action.

I would like to change this. To do so I need the public to take a leap of faith and trust that we are acting on their reports. Op Carmel will aim to make the unseen, seen. As elected representatives you can act as a critical friend and help us listen more closely, provide greater accountability and in some cases more information than would normally

be released to help you can reassure communities. Once up and running we will provide you with fortnightly updates and use eCops and Social media to keep residents directly updated.

How will Op Carmel work?

We know there has been an increase in concern from the community about street based drug dealing in all four ward areas in Cambridge City. We will:

1. **Take visible action** – Our intelligence team will produce a fortnightly profile which will inform us of emerging and ongoing hotspot areas. Using data gathered from crime and incident reporting, Facebook groups, community intelligence and some other police information systems we will create a red/ amber/ green (RAG) patrol map of the city. The RAG assessment will inform our patrols. This is very close to our current activity, what will change is how we target our team at these locations and how we communicate with the public about what we are doing. As we identify red areas we will undertake long term problem solving to target harden the areas against dealers and give the area high levels of policing (covert and overt) to disrupt dealers. In amber areas we will put in place patrols and seek to talk more to communities, and in green areas we may seek to test with you if we have any gaps in our intelligence picture.
2. **Target harden**- Once we start activity we will complete an E.V.A. (environmental visual audit) of Red and Amber areas, where we will identify specific actions which can be taken to make an area less attractive to dealers. The objective will be to identify:
 - Corrupted spaces used by dealers (such as public facilities, addresses or spaces)
 - Comfort spaces used by dealers and users.
 - Convergent spaces where dealing and using takes place.

The above is with a view to putting in place a public action plan to improve the area. This might include, mobile CCTV, removing visible barriers, increasing lighting or increasing natural surveillance etc. Once this phase of the operation begins we will use social media eCops and direct emails to keep councillors updated.

3. **Increase confidence and intelligence** – Having created a focal point for our activity, each fortnight we will conduct street based community consultation within a defined area as below to ensure we
 - **Understand problems in that area.** We will do this by gathering as much intelligence as possible by conducting house to house with ASB officers/ street wardens or housing officers. We will either speak to people in their homes or ask them to take an online survey by providing them with a QR link on a card to link to a survey. We will use the online survey to collate all engagement activity.
 - **Ensure people know how to report in future.** As we talk with people we will input intelligence directly onto the force website with the person, teaching them how to report online.
 - **Clarify with people what we do with that information.** Help communities understand what we do with the information we provide.

I intend for Op Carmel to be a strategy underpinned by a strong partnership approach with everyone involved and informed. As we move from community to community there are opportunities to tie in with local action groups, volunteer projects and residents association to help building stronger links. As ward Councillors you are key to this and we encourage feedback and views about how the Operation is working.



Creating a safer
Cambridgeshire

Call: 101

Textphone: 18001 101

Visit: www.cambs.police.uk

Follow:      CambsCops

Subscribe: [@Cops.org.uk](https://www.cambs.police.uk)

#safercambs

Domestic Abuse Quarterly Performance Report 2019-20

Quarter 4: January to March 2020

Q4 Total referral data

	Total Referrals	Engagement	Repeats
IDVA Referrals (Cambs & Pboro)	478	73%	37%

Q4 Data all risk level IDVAs

	Total Referrals	Engagement	Repeats
A8 Cambs	35	71%	32%
A8 Pboro	32	59%	32%
YP Cambs	35	80%	43%
YP Pboro	22	82%	28%
Health Cambs & Pboro	36	81%	17%
Stalking & Harassment IDVA	25	88%	45%

*A8 & Health IDVAs are in post for Cambs only but Pboro referrals are being collated to show demand

Q4 Data by District

	Referrals	Engagement Rate	Repeat Rate
Cambridge City	43	72%	35%
East Cambs	18	83%	33%
Fenland	30	60%	50%
Huntingdonshire	66	82%	41%
South Cambs	30	70%	24%
Peterborough	106	65%	43%

*data adjusted throughout the year as database updates so final numbers not confirmed until Q4

2019-20					Total	Ave Engagement	Ave Repeats
	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			
City	67	61	50	43	221	73%	42%
East	22	27	15	18	82	82%	37%
Fenland	49	59	39	30	177	75%	43%
Hunts	82	81	41	66	270	84%	39%
South	38	48	39	30	155	79%	30%
Health all	27	25	28	36	116	88%	13%
CYP all	34	31	33	57	155	81%	32%
A8 all	52	48	63	67	230	71%	33%
Stalking	63	42	31	25	161	81%	29%
Peterborough	112	96	98	106	412	66%	37%
Total	546	518	437	478	1979	76%	36%

Comparison 2018-19 2019/20	Total 2018-19	Total 2019-20	Difference
	City	213	221
East	85	82	-3
Fenland	172	177	+5
Hunts	250	270	+20
South	141	155	+14
Hospitals	127	116	-11
CYP	138	155	+17
A8	235	230	-5
Stalking*	88	161	+73
Peterborough	389	412	+23
Total	1838	1979	+141

*New post during 2018

NB: Figures finalised at year end so may differ from previous quarter reports

Q4 Diversity Data Cambs & Peterborough IDVA Service

Number of male referrals	11
Number declaring disability	20
LGBT	2

Diversity Data Cambs & Peterborough IDVA Service comparison

	2018/19	2019/20
Number of male referrals	98	68
Number declaring disability	78	73
LGBT	12	10

Other relevant Q4 data

Agency	Q4 2019/20	TOTAL 2018-19	TOTAL 2019-20	Difference
Number of Daily MARAC cases Cambs & Pboro	278	1547	1471	-76
Police DA Incidents Cambs	2090	8610	8795	+185
Police DA Incidents Peterborough	1234	5224	5321	+97
Police DA Crimes Cambs average	87%	53%	78%	+25%
Police DA Crimes Peterborough average	79%	47%	70%	+23%

Key issues and information

3 month trial of MARAC threshold increase to 17 ticks on the DASH runs 1st January to 31st March 2020. IDVA Service will continue to triage DASH forms with 14 -16 ticks but client consent is required for these.



Cambridge Community Safety Partnership

Annual Review 2020

“Keeping Cambridge safe for residents and visitors”

Q: What is Cambridge Community Safety Partnership?

A: The Cambridge Community Safety Partnership (“Cambridge CSP”) is made up of representatives from the following agencies, some statutory:

- Cambridge City Council
- Bedfordshire, Northamptonshire, Cambridgeshire and Hertfordshire Community Rehabilitation Company Ltd (BeNCH CRC Ltd)
- Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Clinical Commissioning Group
- Cambridgeshire and Peterborough NHS Foundation Trust
- Cambridgeshire Constabulary
- Cambridgeshire County Council
- Cambridgeshire Fire and Rescue Service

- Anglia Ruskin University
- British Transport Police
- Cambridge Business Against Crime (CAMBAC)
- Cambridge Council for Voluntary Service
- Cambridge University Hospitals NHS Foundation Trust
- University of Cambridge
- Office of the Cambridgeshire Police and Crime Commissioner

The Cambridge CSP works to reduce levels of crime, disorder, antisocial behaviour, substance misuse and behaviour adversely affecting the environment across

Cambridge. Our key role is to understand the kind of community safety issues Cambridge is experiencing; decide which of these are the most important to deal with; and then decide what actions we can take collectively; adding value to the day to day work of our individual agencies and organisations.

We use documents called Strategic Assessments, compiled from a variety of data sources by the Cambridgeshire County Council's Research Group, to determine which areas to focus resources (both human and financial) upon. These areas are known as "priorities".

The Cambridge CSP agree and publish a Community Safety Plan ("CS Plan"), setting out its objectives, which is reviewed each year.

Q: What priorities did the Cambridge CSP deal with last year (1 April 2019 to 31 March 2020)?

A: Following consideration of Strategic Assessments, the Cambridge CSP decided to tackle three priorities during 2019/20.

The priorities were as follows:

Safeguarding people against violence and exploitation

Identifying and responding to vulnerable locations

Domestic Abuse

Q: How does the Cambridge CSP tackle the priorities it sets?

A: Aside from day to day partnership working between the member organisations some of the priorities have multi-agency task groups in place to target work and commission specific projects. The Cambridge CSP usually receives a grant from the Police and Crime Commissioner called the Community Safety Fund ("CSF"). It uses this grant to commission partner agencies to tackle each priority by means of smaller targeted projects. Due to a change in the system and approach for the CSF, one larger project will be funded during 2020-2021 related to the Cambridge CSP's Transformation Topic: Serious Violence - Young People and County Lines.

Q: How does the Cambridge CSP know whether these priorities are working to reduce crime and ASB?

A: The Cambridge CSP requires the lead officer for each priority to provide a progress report at meetings of the Cambridge CSP. These meetings are open to the general public and details of each meeting, as well as copies of all the papers to be discussed, are made available on the Cambridge CSP's webpage on the City

Council's website: <https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/cambridge-community-safety-partnership>.

Q: Where can I get further information about the Cambridge CSP and the work it does?

A: You can get further information about the Cambridge Community Safety Partnership by visiting the Cambridge City Council's website:

<https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/cambridge-community-safety-partnership>.

Alternatively, you can contact the Community Safety Partnership Support Officer on 01223 457950 or email: community.safety@cambridge.gov.uk.

Useful contacts

Antisocial behaviour team: For information and reporting antisocial behaviour


 01223 457950

 <https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/report-antisocial-behaviour>

 asbsection@cambridge.gov.uk

CAMBAC - Cambridge Business Against Crime

 <http://cambac.co.uk/>

 Twitter: @cambac1

Cambridgeshire Constabulary

 101 (Non-emergency) / 999 (Emergency)

 <http://www.cambs.police.uk/>


Domestic violence directory

 <https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/domestic-violence-directory>

Advice for people affected by domestic abuse

 <https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/advice-for-people-affected-by-domestic-abuse>

Environmental health issues

 01223 457900 (or to report serious noise issues outside of business hours,
telephone 0300 303 8389)

 <https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/report-a-noise-issue>


First Response Service: Puts mental health first

24 hour access, 7 days a week, 365 days a year, to mental health care, advice,
support and treatment

 111, then press option 2

 <http://www.cpft.nhs.uk/about-us/mental-health-crisis.htm>

Hate crime against race, faith, gender, sexuality, disability and age

 <https://www.cambs.police.uk/information-and-services/Hate-crime/Hate-crime-support>

Personal safety advice for young people


 101 (Non-emergency) / 999 (Emergency)

 <https://www.cambs.police.uk/studentsafety>

Public Health Joint Commissioning Unit

 <https://www.cambridgeshire.gov.uk/be-well/>

Racial harassment

 01223 457967 / 07973 883 261

 <https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/racial-harassment>

To: Cambridge Community Safety Partnership Board
From: Louise Walker
Subject: Cambridge Community Safety Partnership Timetable for 2020/21

1. Purpose of the report

1.1 For the Board to note the Cambridge Community Safety Partnership Timetable.

2. Background

2.1 The timetable presented here takes account of the work involved in implementing the Community Safety Partnership (CSP) Plan, including having Strategic Assessments that has current data and allows for consultation for the annual update of the CSP Plan.

2.2 The timetable reflects the Cambridge Community Safety Partnership (CCSP) agreement to hold three meetings a year in February, July and October. In addition, a development session held in November / early December.

2.3 The CCSP Timetable is for meetings to be held on

- Tuesday 6 October 2020 from 10am
- Tuesday 1 December 2020 from 10am – Development session
- Tuesday 9 February 2021 from 10am
- Tuesday 6 July 2021 from 10am

Recommendations

3.1 That the Board notes the timetable as set out.

Further Information:

Louise Walker
Community Safety Section
Cambridge City Council
01223 457808