

## Clay Farm Community Garden Group (CFCGG) – Steering Group Meeting

**Project:** Clay Farm Community Garden, Cambridge

**Date/Time:** 27<sup>th</sup> April 2018

**Location:** The Shed, Clay Farm Community Garden

## Attendees:

Liz Cox	LC	Local resident	Standing in for Carol Holloway
Dave Fox	DF	Local resident	
Naveen Sedley	NS	Local resident	
Carol Wright	CW	Local resident	
Lisanne Doran	LD	Lead gardener	
Nils Norman	NN	Artist	
Paul Gibbs	PG	Director (Landscape Architect)	David Jarvis Associates (DJA)
David Malia	DM	Open Spaces Officer	Cambridge City Council (CCC)
Jo Clark	JC	Associate Director (Planning)	Countryside Properties (CP)
Luke Robinson	LR	Graduate Trainee	Countryside Properties (CP)

## **Apologies:**

Cate Gatti CG Local resident
Carol Holloway CH Local resident
Mel Shute MS Head Teacher

el Shute MS Head Teacher Trumpington Park Primary School

(TPPS)

Distribution to all of above

## **Meeting Notes:**

Item	Comment	Action
1.	Introductions	
1.1	Introductions and apologies as listed above	
1.2	LC to stand in for Carol Holloway	
2.	Notes from previous meeting	
2.1	LD applied for £2.5k bursary. Decision due end of May. It was noted that	
	there were no restrictions on use of the funds if awarded. LC has drafted a	
	constitution – to be put to next meeting – funding will then be easier to	
	apply for.	
2.2	CFCGG to establish core group to handle constitution, finance and to elect	
	members to key positions such as chair, secretary, treasurer and any	
	others deemed appropriate. Establishing constitution will be essential for	
	securing funding.	
2.3	The group is to explore opportunities for funding streams. An example	
	would be the inclusion of PV panels on the roof of the future building.	



P	aces	Peop	le l	Love

	Places People L	ove
2.4	LD to circulate the 'ideas report' to this group and others	
2.5	Social media is reported to be actively running, including Instagram	
2.6	Website URL to be converted to wordpress to allow LD to manage	
2.7	Post cards to be distributed to local residents and some placed in the sales	
	offices and Clay Farm community centre. The post cards could also be	
	placed in the Trumpet to reach a wider audience.	
2.8	CP to include article on the community garden in the next newsletter	
0	edition although one has not been produced for some time	
2.9	JC to order poly tunnel. CFCGG understand that the poly tunnel is	JC
	considered temporary and CCC may require that it is removed prior to	
	transfer from CP to CCC.	
2.10	DM was asked if CCC have a list of things that they do not want at the	
	community garden. DM advised that this would not be known until it had	
	been consulted in a formal process.	
2.11	DM to provide specification for cycle barriers on the path over the gas	DM
	main.	
2.12	It was noted that the top soil must be BS 3882 2007. PG to confirm that	
2.12	this is appropriate and CP can instruct plant style ensure the soil meets	
	this standard.	
2.13	LD to organise 'ShedFest' for June/July. Timings of pathway works TBC.	
	Update from CFCGG	
0.	opadic from or doc	
3.1	CFCGG to hold meetings on 16 <sup>th</sup> May and 16 <sup>th</sup> June	
3.2	Constitution and committee members to be appointed at these meetings	
3.3	Sub-groups to be formed focussing on specific areas of interest	
3.4	Sub-groups to be involved in the master planning process to identify the	
J. <del>4</del>	needs of different groups	
3.5	NN suggests using a permaculture or zoning plan	
3.6	Masterplan is required to give CCC indication of the long term	
5.0	development of the gardens. However, this could identify zones but allow	
	creativity / flexibility for CFCGG within these zones. PG will lead the	
	creation of a workable masterplan by interpreting the needs and desires of	
	the group.	
3.7	LD to collate ideas from the group before the next steering meeting and	
3.1	pass to PG to interpret to allow a first iteration of the masterplan to be	
	presented at the next meeting (End of June). It is intended that the	
	masterplan will be developed with input primarily from the group but also	
	from CP and CCC to ensure it meets the aspirations of all stakeholders.	
3.8	It was noted that the school may wish to take an active role in the design	
3.0	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
	and management of the corner quadrant of the garden nearest to the	
	school building. This need is to be co-ordinated into the master plan	
	although MS was unfortunately not able to attend this meeting to make	
2.0	comment, but is keen to continue involvement.	
3.9	CP programme is outlined as follows:	
	May to end of June – master plan and building design development	
	August to September – planning application preparation for	
	permanent building	
		Ī
	<ul> <li>September – submit planning application for permanent building</li> <li>Start on site – early 2019</li> </ul>	



	Places People L	ove
	Handover to CCC – Mid-2019	
4.	Ownership and Management	
4.1	The importance of the group constitution and obtaining funding was discussed earlier in the meeting.	
4.2	DM was asked if a phased approach to the handover could be taken by formally handing over the gardens to CCC with the building to follow once constructed. DM responded that this approach had happened elsewhere but thought CCC would require the building to be constructed before handover.	
4.3	DM was asked if the lease terms to the constituted group had been considered once transfer to CCC had occurred. These were not yet available and would be negotiated with a constituted group following transfer to CCC. CP would like to make transfer to CCC in approx. 1 years time.	
4.4	Alongside the development of the masterplan a long term management and maintenance plan will need to be created and approved by CCC. PG could create this and provide guidance but will need input from CFCGG.	
4.5	NS passed on an item about including animals in the gardens	
4.6	It was suggested that a 'tools library' could be made available in order to limit the storage requirement and therefore the size of the building	
5.	Building Design	
5.1	Initial concept design created by DJA was shared with the group. PG explains the constraints and design intent of the concept drawing. The cost and deliverability of this design has not yet been assessed by CP but it was felt that it was a good starting point for the master planning exercise to be carried out over the coming months. All from the steering group to feedback comments on the layout and masterplan in advance of the next meeting.	
5.2	DF suggested that storage should be at the East end of the building to minimize the carry distance for allotment users.	
5.3	DM suggested that a visit to the Nine Wells allotment building could be arranged for interested parties to see an example of what could be achieved albeit this building caters for a much smaller need. Date for visit TBC. Photos of the building were viewed during the meeting.	DM
5.4	Concern was raised by the group regarding the size of the building and if it could accommodate the combined use of over 100 allotment users plus the needs of the CFCGG. Other main concerns noted were the size of the storage units, security for the storage and the size of the kitchen facilities.	
5.5	It was suggested that discrete lockable tool boxes on the allotments itself would be a much better storage solution. DM stated that these are not permitted but will feedback the concern to colleagues at CCC. DM stated that his argument would be strengthened if evidence could be provided that the size of the building would need to grow significantly if in situ tool boxes were not permitted on the allotments. The allotments are due to open imminently. DF to look into how storage works at Nuffield Road allotments.	DM



	Places People L	ove	
5.5	Input on the building design will be required by CFCGG in conjunction with		
	the master planning exercise.		
6.	Public Art		
6.1	NN introduction on involvement to date		
6.2	NN intends to use the public art budget create a feature from an item		
	which the CFCGG require. Art with a function. A suggestion for example		
	would be a composting station. The gateway into the site also provides an		
	opportunity.		
6.3	These features could have a connection to the 'bird screens' in the country		
	park and the bridges to be installed across the Brook. This idea was		
	supported.		
6.4	Other ideas could be feature seating as this will be an important part of the		
	final community garden design. The Trumpington Meadows allotment table		
	was also mentioned.		
6.5	The group expressed a desire for the community garden to be seen as a		
	destination and therefore the public art should reflect this.		
6.6	NN to liaise with LD to attend the clay farm art steering group to inform		
	designs 16 <sup>th</sup> May at 19:30		
7. Any Other Business			
7.1	Clay Farm Garden registered as a postal address		

Next meeting June 2018, date TBC