			Government criteria Policies that the government will also have particular regard to								ular regard to		
Policy	Subject	Where appropriate there is a clear central strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy development plan document (where the core strategy has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries	Policies that support economic developmentt and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy; reduce impact on climate change; and safeguard water resources	Comment Legend: Brief description of what the policy does Why it is not being saved, relative to the protocol.
3/5	Mixed-use Development	V	V	✓	N/A	✓ 	x	~	x	×	x	X	Summary: This policy expects mixed-use development to be sustainable via the inclusion of appropriate community and retail facilities within residential schemes and elements of residential development within larger non- residential sites. This policy should be deleted because it simply repeats national and regional policy. It is redundant given the references to the mix of development that supports the creation of sustainable and accessible communities in PPS 1 (Delivering Sustainable Development) (paragraph 27).
4/5	Protection of Sites of National Nature Conservation Importance	×	~	V	N/A	V	Х	X	X	X	X	X	Summary: This policy states that development will not be allowed which has a detrimental effect on a Site of Special Scientific Interest. This policy should be deleted because it simply repeats national and regional policy. It is redundant as SSSIs are protected by PPS9 (paragraphs 7 and 8) and are defined and designated by Natural England in accordance with the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended).

		<u> </u>	Juai							NO GOI			
		Government criteria Policies that the government will also have particular regard								ular regard to			
Policy	Subject	Where appropriate there is a clear central strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy development plan document (where the core strategy has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries	Policies that support economic developmentt and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy; reduce impact on climate change; and safeguard water resources	Comment Legend: Brief description of what the policy does Why it is not being saved, relative to the protocol.
4/7	Species Protection	V	V	✓ 	N/A	×	x	X	X	X	X	X	Summary: This policy precludes development affecting protected species unless the need for the development outweighs nature conservation importance. This policy should be deleted because it simply repeats national and regional policy contained in Policy ENV3 of the RSS, paragraphs 1, 15 and 16 of PPS 9 (Biodiversity and Geological Conservation), DCLG Circular 06/2005, the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 (as amended) and the Natural Environment and Rural Communities Act 2006.

#### Government criteria Policies that the government will also have particular regard to the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisament Policy Subject Comment strategy development plan document (where the core strategy has been adopted) <sup>2</sup>olicies that support the delivery of housing Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries including Policies are in general conformity with the egional spatial strategy Where appropriate there is a clear central Policies are necessary and do not merely Policies that promote renewable energy: educe impact on climate change; and safeguard water resources Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area core evelopmentt and regeneration, incluc olicies for retailing and town centres Leaend: with the Brief description of what the policy does epeat national or regional policy <sup>2</sup>olicies for waste management olicies that support economic Why it is not being saved, relative to the protocol are in conformity trategy Policies a 4/16 Development and ✓ ✓ N/A $\checkmark$ Х Х χ χ χ Х Summary: This policy prevents development from taking $\checkmark$ place in areas with an unacceptable risk of flooding. It Flooding also prevents development, which would increase the risk of flooding elsewhere or have a detrimental effect on flood management including flood defences. The supporting text to the policy refers to the City Council's support of sustainable drainage systems. This policy should be deleted because it is covered by existing national and regional policy. Policy WAT4 in the RSS directs development away from areas at high risk of flooding and areas where development would increase the risk of flooding elsewhere and PPS 25: Development and Flood Risk (December 2006) also covers the policy. In relation to Criterion (a), paragraph 9 of PPS 25 sets out the risk based approach which should be adopted. Paragraphs 16 and 17 deal with the sequential test. directing development to the zones of least risk from flooding. Paragraphs 18-20 set out the exception test. In relation to Criterion (b), the first bullet point of paragraph 9 of PPS 25 deals with minimising run-off from new development onto adjacent and other downstream property, and into the river systems. In relation to criterion (c), the first bullet point of paragraph 6 (PPS 25) deals with safeguarding land from development that is required for current and future flood management e.g. conveyance and storage of flood water, and flood defences.

••		Government criteria							hat the gove	ernment will a	lso have partic	cular regard to	
Policy	Subject	Where appropriate there is a clear central strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy development plan document (where the core strategy has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries	Policies that support economic developmentt and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy: reduce impact on climate change; and safeguard water resources	Comment Legend: Brief description of what the policy does Why it is not being saved, relative to the protocol.
5/6	Meeting Housing Needs From Employment Development	V	✓ ✓	X	N/A	V	X	✓	X	X	X	X	Summary: This policy sets out the requirement for proposals for employment development, which impact on the demand for affordable housing, to provide affordable housing on-site; contributions towards of-site housing or by means of key worker housing provision.
													This policy should be deleted because it was recognised at the Examination in Public for the RSS that there is an absence of convincing evidence that specific local circumstances exist to justify the imposition of the requirement. Furthermore, the RSS does not make specific reference to the need for employment development to provide for affordable housing and Policy P9/1 of the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan was not saved. The Council confirms in paragraph 53 of the Affordable Housing SPD that policy 5/6 will not be implemented in the absence of higher level policy or supporting evidence.

<u>, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , </u>							0.00	<u> </u>	000	<u>NO 401</u>	0104		
				Gover	nment criteria			Policies t	hat the gove	ernment will a	lso have particu	ular regard to	
Policy	Subject	Where appropriate there is a clear central strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy development plan document (where the core strategy has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely repeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries	Policies that support economic developmentt and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy; reduce impact on climate change; and safeguard water resources	Comment Legend: Brief description of what the policy does Why it is not being saved, relative to the protocol.
6/5	Shopping Development in the City Centre		<i>✓</i>	V	N/A	¥	X	X	X	✓	X	X	Summary: This policy states that retail proposals in the City Centre will only be permitted where they maintain and enhance the vitality and viability of the City Centre and which are of an appropriate nature and scale. This policy should be deleted because it reiterates national guidance in paragraphs 3.13 –3.19 of PPS 6 (Planning for Town Centres) that require the application of sequential testing and proof that the development is of appropriate nature and scale. Additionally, paragraphs 3.20 - 3.23 of PPS 6 cover the need to consider the impact of the proposal on the vitality and viability of existing centres within the catchment area of the proposed development, including the likely cumulative effect of recent permissions, developments under construction and completed developments. It clarifies that the identification of need does not necessarily indicate that there will be no negative impact.
9/4	East Cambridge	<b>√</b>	✓	V	N/A	<b>~</b>	~	V	✓	~	~	V	Summary: This policy sets out the criteria for development at East Cambridge. This policy should be deleted because it has been superseded by the Cambridge East Area Action Plan, which was adopted by both Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council in February 2008.

••			Government criteria Policies that the government will also have particular rega								ular regard to		
Policy	Subject	Where appropriate there is a clear central strategy	Policies have regard to the Community Strategy for the area	Policies are in general conformity with the regional spatial strategy	Policies are in conformity with the core strategy development plan document (where the core strategy has been adopted)	There are effective policies for any part of the authority's area where significant change in the use or development of land or conservation of the area is envisaged	Policies are necessary and do not merely epeat national or regional policy	Policies that support the delivery of housing	Policies on Green Belt detailed boundaries	Policies that support economic developmentt and regeneration, including policies for retailing and town centres	Policies for waste management	Policies that promote renewable energy; educe impact on climate change; and safeguard water resources	Comment Legend: Brief description of what the policy does Why it is not being saved, relative to the protocol.
10/2	Monitoring and Review	V	~	N/A	N/A	¥	X	~	x	~	<i>✓</i>	~	Summary: This policy sets out the monitoring process for the Local Plan and identifies review work and actions, which would be brought into play in the event that the Local Plan policies and development plan allocations are not being met.
													This policy should be deleted because Section 35 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004 covers the need to monitor policies by making an annual report to the Secretary of State, which covers the implementation of the local development scheme and the extent to which the policies set out in the local development documents are being achieved. Section 48 of the Town and Country Planning (Local Development) (England) Regulations 2004 covers the mechanisms, which will be triggered if policies and allocations are not being met.