Intended for South Cambridgeshire District Council and Cambridge City Council

Date December, 2017

Project Number UKP15-24929/1700000667

SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE AND CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL CAMBRIDGE AND SOUTH CAMBRIDGESHIRE LOCAL PLANS: SUSTAINABILITY APPRAISAL OF MAIN MODIFICATIONS APPENDIX A.2



Project No.	UKP15-24929	
Issue No.	3	
Date	12/12/2017	
Made by	Emma Jones	
Checked by	Bram Miller	
Approved by	Bram Miller	
[
Made by:		2 Dones
Checked/A	pproved by:	franiller.

i

This report has been prepared by Ramboll Environ with all reasonable skill, care and diligence, and taking account of the Services and the Terms agreed between Ramboll Environ and the Client. This report is confidential to the Client, and Ramboll Environ accepts no responsibility whatsoever to third parties to whom this report, or any part thereof, is made known, unless formally agreed by Ramboll Environ beforehand. Any such party relies upon the report at their own risk.

Ramboll Environ disclaims any responsibility to the Client and others in respect of any matters outside the agreed scope of the Services.

Revision	Date	Made by	Checked by	Approved by	Description
А	12/10/17	EJ			Internal draft
В	02/11/17	EJ	BAM	BAM	Internal draft
1	03/11/17	EJ	BAM	BAM	Client report
2	12/12/17	EJ	BAM	BAM	Client report

Version Control Log

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
Section Two: T	be cnatial	strategy		
Section Two: 1	ne spatial	strategy		
	11 & 12	2 Vision	Amend second sentence of the Vision to read:	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/
CC-MM001			The city will draw inspiration from its iconic historic core, heritage assets, <u>river</u> and structural green corridors, achieving a sense of place in all its parts, with generous, accessible and biodiverse open spaces and well-designed architecture.	Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doc changes following proposed submission con due to the minor nature of the modifications
	14	Figure 2.1: Key Diagram	Amend the key diagram to take account of changes to Policy 12: Cambridge East, Site GB2: Land south of Worts' Causeway, Coldham's Lane, NIAB 1 and Cambridge Northern	This is a minor change to the key diagram v itself would not amendments to the SA cond
CC-MM002			Fringe East.	Screening conclusion: no change to the
			See amended Figure 2.1 overleaf. ¹	

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tons.

n which reflects other modifications and in onclusions.

 $^{^1}$ Please notes that maps are illustrative only. Readers should refer to the Cambridge Local Plan Main Modifications Consultation Report





Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
	18	Table2.1:Summaryofotherneedsduringtheplan	Amend second paragraph of the table to read: Both universities require land for student hostels rooms accommodation. The Uni- versity of Cambridge has identified a need to find space for 3,016 (net) rooms for undergraduates and postgraduates to 2031.	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that The iden
CC-MM003		period, first row	ror undergraduates and postgraduates to 2051.	been updated as part of the following study and Supply for Cambridge City Council (Jan that studies on student accommodation will not been included.
				Student accommodation can be provided th accommodation and through windfall sites. assessed this need is through assessing the student accommodation (as it is not possib their locations are unknown). However, the minor change which would not affect the su
				Screening conclusion: no change to the
	18	Table 2.1: Summary of	Add further text in the second row of the table in relation to other education provision to read:	This is a minor change to supporting text w educational needs. The modification would of the plan.
CC-MM004		other needs during the plan period, second row	The Council will continue to work closely with Cambridgeshire County Council throughout the plan period to ensure that necessary provision for (0-19) edu- cation places is appropriately secured in order to meet changing demand aris- ing through new development or demographic changes.	Screening conclusion: no change to the
			<u>There is an</u> <u>Current</u> identified shortfall (to 20201) of <u>approximately 400 at least</u> <u>1,050 (7 forms of entry)</u> secondary school places. <u>This need will be met by a new</u> <u>secondary school to serve the eastern part of Cambridge, and the three Coun- cils will continue to work together to find the most appropriate location.</u>	
	21	Paragraph 2.27	Insert new sentence at the end of paragraph 2.27 to read: This approach has been endorsed by the Joint Strategic Transport and Spatial Planning	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan.
CC-MM005			Group (JST&SPG), the member governance group set up to guide the collaborative preparation of development plans in Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire and the associated transport strategy. This group has also considered the additional evidence prepared in 2015.	Screening conclusion: no change to the
	21	Paragraph 2.28	Amend paragraph 2.28 to read:	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/
CC-MM006			In detail, the strategy options considered by the JST&SPG (22 May 2013) demonstrate that focusing development on Cambridge remains the most sustainable location for additional development and the Cambridge Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA), has identified as updated with information for 2012/13 completions from the Annual Monitoring Report 2013, 3,324 identifies 3,308 new homes through windfall sites or allocations within the urban area in the new local plan.	Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doo changes following proposed submission con due to the minor nature of the modification
		Policy 3: Spatial strategy for the	Amend second paragraph of Policy 3 to read:	The allocations at Worts' Causeway have b boundaries are addressed as part of other
CC-MM007		location of resi- dential devel- opment	Provision will be made for the development of not less than 14,000 additional dwellings within Cambridge City Council's administrative boundary over the period from April 2011 to March 2031 to meet the objectively assessed need for homes in Cambridge. This will enable continuous delivery of housing for at least 15 years from the anticipated date of adoption of this local plan. Provision includes two small sites to be released from the Cambridge Green Belt at Worts' Causeway, which will deliver up to 430 dwellings. The housing trajectories for Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire, as up-	The matter related to the Liverpool method supply would not change the sustainability change would result in a direct change to t is seeking to provide over the plan period t sustainability effects on the ground. This is Screening conclusion: no change to the
			dated each year in the Annual Monitoring Report, will be considered together for the purposes of phasing of housing delivery, including for calculating 5-year housing land	

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

entified figure of 3,016 (net) rooms has now udy: Assessment of Student Housing Demand January 2017). However, because it is likely will be updated frequently, a new figure has

I through allocations for student es. Therefore, the way that the SA has the impact of sites that are allocated for sible to assess windfall sites as by their nature the deletion of this figure in itself is seen as a sustainability performance of the Plan.

the results of the SA.

t which sets out further context on uld not change the sustainability performance

the results of the SA.

which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

e been subject to SA and amendments to their er modifications (see CC-MM186 and 187).

nodology and the five year housing land ty performance of the plan. Unless the to the numbers of dwellings that the Local Plan d the modification will not change the s is a procedural matter

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			supply in development management decisions that concern housing development. This pProvision includes two small sites to be released from the Cambridge Green Belt at Worts' Causeway, which will deliver up to 430 dwellings. The five year housing land supply will be calculated using the Liverpool methodology and a 20% buffer	
CC-MM008	24 -25	Policy 3: Spatial strategy for the location of resi- dential devel- opment	Amend the third and fourth paragraph of Policy 3 to read: In order to maintain housing provision, planning permission to change housing or land in housing use to other uses will only be supported in exceptional circumstances. <u>Other</u> <u>uses include the provision of student accommodation, where planning permission would</u> <u>usually be required for change of use.</u> A full schedule of sites allocated for development in order to meet the headline housing targets is set out in Appendix B and illustrated on the policies map. <u>Permanent purpose</u> <u>built student accommodation will not be supported on sites allocated for housing or with either an extant planning permission for residential development or sites identified as potential housing sites within the Council's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assess- <u>ment.</u></u>	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this polie the following report: Sustainability Apprais Volume 1: Final Appraisal for Submission t SA states that Policy 3 would lead to signif housing delivery (para. 4.6.97) and would (para 4.6.25) and transport (para. 4.6.56) resources (para 4.6.86). The modifications prevent them being developed for student conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM-009		New paragraph after paragraph 2.45	Add new paragraph after 2.45 The Councils have a record of providing significant levels of housing and have a significant level of identified housing supply. The development strategy for Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire has been carried forward from previous plans, and includes two further new settlements. Under these circumstances the appropriate methodology for calculating five year housing land supply across the two authorities is the Liverpool methodology. In response to historic levels of delivery, the appropriate buffer is 20%.	The modification would not change the sus the change would result in a direct change Plan is seeking to provide over the plan pe sustainability effects on the ground. This i Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM010	26	After Paragraph 2.48	Add new paragraphs after paragraph 2.48 to read: Appendix N sets out the methodology for establishing housing land supply us- ing this approach. The appendix also includes details of the housing land supply position at November 2017. This shows that the Councils both individually and jointly demonstrate a five year housing land supply based on the housing re- guirement included in the local plans, and that this is anticipated to continue for the remainder of the plan period. The housing supply data will be updated annually and published in the Annual Monitoring Report.'	See CC-MM-009 Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM011	28	Policy 4: The Cambridge Green Belt	Amend the first paragraph of Policy 4 to read: The extent of the Cambridge Green Belt within the administrative area of Cambridge City Council is set out on the policies map. New development in the Green Belt will not <u>only</u> be approved except in very special circumstances, in line with Green Belt policy in the National Planning Policy Framework.	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment for on landscape, townscape and cultural herit velopment in the Green Belt, thereby helpi cial character of the city. The modification does not change these co Screening conclusion: no change to the

4

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

olicy was subject to SA and this is outlined in aisal of the Cambridge Local Plan 2014. In to the Secretary of State (March 2014). The nificant positive effects in terms of ensuring Id also have positive effects on the economy 6) and potential negative effects on water ons (which safeguard housing sites and nt accommodation) do not change these

the results of the SA.

ustainability performance of the plan. Unless ge to the numbers of dwellings that the Local period the modification will not change the s is a procedural matter.

the results of the SA.

the results of the SA.

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, d below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to c found the policy would have a positive effect ritage through preventing inappropriate delping to preserve the unique setting and spe-

conclusions.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM012	34	Paragraph 2.65	Amend paragraph 2.65 to read: The Cambridge Retail and Leisure Study Update 2013 has identified capacity for further comparison goods floorspace (clothing, home and electrical goods etc), but no capacity for additional convenience goods floorspace (food and drink and non-durable household goods) above proposals already approved or in the pipeline. The study advises that, due to the level of uncertainty in forecasting over the plan period <u>(including in the short</u> <u>to medium term)</u> , the Council should not plan to meet the identified capacity to 2031, but should focus on planning to meet the capacity identified to 2022 (i.e. 14,141 sq m net). This will be subject to <u>testing and</u> monitoring over the period of the plan, includ- ing monitoring of retail and leisure development in the wider sub-region area, and this will inform the timescale for the next review of retail and leisure needs in Cambridge.	This is a minor change to supporting text performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM013	34 & 35	Policy 7: The River Cam	 Amend the first paragraph of Policy 7 to read: Development proposals along the River Cam <u>corridor</u> should: a. include an assessment of views of the river and a demonstration that the proposed design of the development has taken account of the assessment in enhancing views to and from the river; b. protect-preserve and enhance the unique physical, natural, historically and culturally distinctive landscape of the River Cam; c. raise, where possible, the quality of the river, adjacent open spaces and the integrity of the built environment in terms of its impact, location, scale, design and form; d. propose, where possible <u>and appropriate to context</u>, enhancement of the natural resources of the River Cam and offer opportunities for re-naturalisation of the river; e. enable, where possible, opportunities for greater public access to the River Cam; and f. take account of and support, as appropriate, the tourism and recreational facilities associated with the river. 	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM014	35	Paragraph 2.69	Amend paragraph 2.69 to read: The River Cam has also been designated as a county wildlife site in recognition of the river's importance in linking semi-natural habitats, including ecologically-designated sites such as Stourbridge Common Local Nature Reserve and Sheep's Green and Coe Fen Local Nature Reserve, with the wider countryside of South Cambridgeshire. Although highly modified in places, the river Although the river is almost entirely modified by human action, and its wildlife value severely depleted by river works and the effects of draining and raising the level of the riverside commons, nevertheless it supports a healthy population of fish and their predators, including otters and kingfishers. Several species of bat use the river, its tributaries and adjacent habitats for foraging and commuting, while the numerous willow pollards offer roosting sites. If sensitively managed, the river and its banks provide opportunities for declining species such as the water vole to recover and disperse. ² The River Cam and its associated floodplain habitats and tributaries function together as an ecological network, which requires enhancement, in line with paragraph 117 of the NPPF.	This is a minor change to supporting text the River Cam. The modification would no the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM015	36	Policy 8: Setting of the city	Amend the first and following paragraphs of Policy 8 to read: Development on the urban edge, including sites within and abutting green infrastruc- ture corridors and the Cambridge Green Belt, open spaces and the River Cam corridor,	The modification could potentially cause c the results of the SA have been validated this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment f

² Cambridge Nature Conservation Strategy 2006, RD/NE/080

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ould not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which sets out further context with regard to not change the sustainability performance of

the results of the SA.

e changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, ed below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to the found the policy would have a positive effect

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			 will only be supported where it: a. responds to, conserves and enhances the landscape setting, approaches and special character of the city, in accordance with the Cambridge Landscape Character Assessment 2003, Green Belt assessments*, Cambridgeshire Green Infrastructure Strategy and their successor documents; b. promotes access to the surrounding countryside/open space, where appropriate; and c. safeguards the best and most versatile agricultural land unless sustainable development considerations and the need for development are sufficient to override the need to protect the agricultural value of land; and c	on landscape, townscape and cultural herita area between the urban edge and the coun development. The policy would also have a aiming to conserve or enhance biodiversity The modification does not change these con Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM016	36	Paragraph 2.73	Amend paragraph 2.73 insert new paragraph including New Figure 2.2 Cambridge's Natural Environment Strategy to read:Cambridge has a distinct and unique character and landscape setting and is surrounded by attractive green space, much of which is accessible. Cambridge is characterised by its compact nature, well-defined and vegetated edges, open spaces, and the green corridors that extend into the city centre from the countryside. These green corridors are protected as part of the Cambridge Green Belt or as Protected Open Space. A number of studies have considered the setting of the city and features that are considered to be critical to this setting. These studies have all highlighted that the interface between the urban edge and the countryside is one of the important and valued landscape features of the city, contributing to the quality of life and place enjoyed here.Given the multiplicity of sites which make up Cambridge's natural environment and the strategic objectives of this Local Plan, the strategy for its management and enhancement is, in itself, one of a multi-document, multi-layered approach. This approach includes a number of interrelated initiatives, policies and players. Together, as illustrated in Figure 2.2, they represent Cambridge's natural environment strategy to deliver new green infrastructure and enhance existing blue and green infrastructure and deliver biodiversity enhancements, the components of which will be added to and updated as necessary and provide the necessary tools to realise the ongoing management of the city's natural environment	This is a minor change to supporting text w the environment of Cambridge. The modifi performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

ritage through seeking to ensure that the puntryside is protected from inappropriate re a positive effect on biodiversity through ity in the wider landscape.

conclusions.

the results of the SA.

t which sets out further context with regard to diffication would not change the sustainability





Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM018	36	After paragraph 2.75	Add new policy 8a (after policy 8) and supporting text after paragraph 2.75 as follows:	This is a new policy that has not been subj change the sustainability performance of th related to early review of the plan.
			Policy 8a: Review of the Local Plan	Screening conclusion: no change to the
			The Council will undertake an early review of the Local Plan to commence be- fore the end of 2019, and with submission to the Secretary of State for exami- nation anticipated by the end of Summer 2022. The new Local Plan will be pre- pared jointly by Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire Councils for their com- bined districts (Greater Cambridge). Specific matters to be addressed by the review include the following:	
			 a. an updated assessment of housing needs. b. the progress being made towards implementation of the spatial strategy for Greater Cambridge including the new settlements at Waterbeach and Bourn Airfield. c. working with the local housing authority, consideration of the implica- tions of an assessment, required by the Housing Act 1985, as amended by the Housing and Planning Act 2016, of the needs of people residing in or resorting to their district with respect to the provision of sites on which caravans can be stationed. 	
			2.76 Through the Greater Cambridge City Deal the Councils committed to an early review of their local plans beginning in 2019. This was in order to estab- lish what impact the anticipated changed infrastructure landscape and econom- ic growth in the area might have on housing need and other aspects of spatial and transport planning. In addition during the public examination into the adopted Local Plan a number of issues were highlighted for specific attention in the next review of the Local Plans. These relate to the assessment of housing needs, progress in delivering the development strategy and in particular the new settlements, and provision to meet the requirements of caravan dwellers. Policy 8a accordingly requires that the next full review of the Local Plan should start in 2019 with submission for examination anticipated by the end of Sum- mer 2022.	
			Furthermore, a non-statutory spatial plan is being prepared for the Cambridge- shire and Peterborough Combined Authority. It is expected that, although non- statutory, the spatial plan will provide a strategy for the wider area that will inform the form and content of the joint local plan and should therefore pre- cede its preparation.	
Section Three	City Centr	e, areas of major	change, opportunity areas and site specific proposals	
	44	Policy 10: De-	Amend title of table within Policy 10 to read:	This is a minor change to supporting text w modification would not change the sustaina
CC-MM019		velopment in the City Centre Pri- mary Shopping Area		Screening conclusion: no change to the
			Uses suitable on all floors in the primary shopping area and those not suitable	

8

bject to SA. The modification would not f the plan as it relates to procedural issues

the results of the SA.

t which sets out further context. The inability performance of the plan.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			at ground floor level in the primary shopping area	
			Amend header row of table in Policy 10 to read:	
			Centre uses (uses suitable on all floors at ground floor level in the PSA)	
CCMM020	45	Paragraph 3.7	Amend the paragraph 3.7 to read: The main secondary frontages are also shown on the policies map and fall within the PSA. In the secondary frontages there is more scope for different uses complementary to the retail function of the City Centre, such as cafés, restaurants, bars and pubs, banks and estate agents. In some parts of the secondary frontage there are existing concen- trations of uses, for example food and drink uses along Bridge Street, and food and drink and estate agents/employment agents along Regent Street. The concentration of uses provides a distinct character, but the Council would generally like to maintain a diversity of uses along frontages to maintain footfall and vitality and viability, and avoid any amenity problems such as those that can be associated with concentrations of food and drink uses. In the secondary frontages the Council wants retail to be the predominant use or – where it is already below this level – there should be no further losses of retail.	This is a minor change to supporting text we the level of flexibility to be applied to the performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the plan.
CC-MM021	45	Paragraph 3.8	Amend paragraph 3.8 to read: The table within the policy identifies those uses that the Council thinks are appropriate at ground floor level in the PSA. The NPPF identifies office and residential uses as town centre uses. While the value of these uses in centres is recognised, these are only ap- propriate in upper floors in the primary and secondary frontages in Cambridge. These uses would not provide active frontages. The Cambridge Retail and Leisure Study Update 2013 identifies a significant capacity for additional comparison shopping, and the best location for this is within the City Centre at the top of the retail hierarchy. Therefore, ground floor units should not be lost to offices or residential use, including student hos- tels accommodation, and any applications for such a change of use would have to provide evidence of marketing and show there were exceptional circumstances why a unit could not be used for a centre use.	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a would not change the sustainability perform Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM022	46	Policy 11: Fitz- roy/Burleigh Street/Grafton Area of Major Change	 Amend the first and following paragraphs of Policy 11 to read: The Fitzroy/Burleigh Street/Grafton Area of Major Change (AOMC), as shown in Figure 3.1, is the primary focus for providing additional comparison retail in the City Centre, along with other mixed uses. This area is supported as a location for expansion and/or redevelopment for retail and leisure use (A1, A2, A3, A4 and D2), with residential and student accommodation on upper floors. The evidence base suggests that up to 12,000 sq m of new comparison retail floorspace could be provided in the area although Fthe precise quantum of net new retail floorspace and residential/student units will be subject to testing and demonstration through the development of a masterplan for the area. Development should: a. be of a high quality, with well-designed edges securing significant townscape improvements to Burleigh Street and East Road; 	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment for on economy through providing additional veloping and/or expanding the site for retail and leis commodation on the upper floors. The SA opment to take place in the area is to be s through the development of a masterplan. developers whilst ensuring that an optimur does not change these conclusions as this Screening conclusion: no change to the

which sets out further context with regard to policy. The modification would not change
he results of the SA.
t to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-
a minor change to supporting text which rmance of the plan.
he results of the SA.
changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to found the policy would have a positive effect I comparison retail in the City Centre, rede-
eisure use with residential and student ac- A notes that the precise quantum of devel- subject to testing and demonstration I. This should help to provide flexibility to um outcome is achieved. The modification is flexibility is retained in the policy.
he results of the SA.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			historic core and heritage assets;	
			c. improve the bus interchange, including an increase in capacity and better waiting facilities for passengers;	
			d. be focused on providing access by sustainable modes of transport including improve- ments for pedestrians and cyclists such as a managed cycle parking facility, and with no increase in car parking above current levels;	
			e. improve the public realm along Fitzroy Street and Burleigh Street, by removing unnecessary signage and street furniture, and using a simple and durable palette of materials; and	
			f. promote linkages to the historic core.	
			The Council will coordinate the production of a masterplan for the area, bringing together the scheme promoter, other landowners, Cambridgeshire County Council and other relevant stakeholders. The scheme promoter will be expected to prepare the	
			masterplan and a comprehensive transport assessment and travel plan in con- sultation with the council. It <u>The masterplan</u> will need to be consulted upon locally and adopted by the <u>Ce</u> ouncil as a supplementary planning document (SPD) before the submission of any <u>major</u> planning application. <u>A comprehensive transport assess-</u> <u>ment and travel plan will be required as a part of the masterplanning process.</u>	
	46 - 47	Paragraph 3.10	Amend paragraph 3.10 to read:	This modification has already been subject
			This part of the City Centre provides the greatest opportunity for accommodating the	Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge ing (January 2017).
			need for additional comparison retail, but also leisure, student accommodation and housing. The Cambridge Retail and Leisure Study Update 2013 identified it as the first	The screening in 2017 stated that this is
CC-MM023			priority for comparison retail in sequential terms, and the Cambridge City Centre Capaci-	would not change the sustainability perfor
			ty Study 2013 identified it as an area of potential change. <u>Given the proximity of the</u> <u>area of major change to Anglia Ruskin University's East Road Campus, student</u>	Screening conclusion: no change to the
			accommodation delivered in this area would be expected to address the identi-	
			fied needs of Anglia Ruskin University.	
	49	Policy 12: Cam- bridge East	Delete current policy wording and replace with the following to read:	This modification has already been subject Supplement to Sustainability Appraisal Ac
			1. Land at Cambridge East is allocated for development as shown on the Policies Map:	The screening in 2015 stated that this pole from page 455 onwards in the Cambridge State ³ .
			a) Land north of Newmarket Road during the plan period (R45).	The assessment found the plan would ha
			b) Land north of Coldham's Lane for residential during the plan period (R41).	being as the policy includes calls for demonstrate that any environmental an
CC-MM024			c) Land north of Cherry Hinton (R47) for approximately 780 dwellings during the	airport can be acceptably mitigated for the case for the policy so the assessment
			plan period (along with adjoining land allocated in Policy SS/3 of the South	
		Cambridgeshire Local Plan for approximately 420 dwellings).	Screening conclusion: no change to the state of the state	
			2. Proposals for residential development on sites a), b), and c) as shown on the Policies	
			Map, will be supported if:	
			d) permutable mitigation of any incompartal and backty increase (including action)	
			 d) <u>acceptable mitigation of environmental and health impacts (including noise)</u> from the airport can be provided; and 	
	1	1		

³ Sustainability Appraisal of the Cambridge Local Plan 2014. Final Appraisal for Submission to the Secretary of State (URS Limited, March 2014) (Ref: RD/Sub/C/030 – Part 1 and RD/Sub/C/040 – Part 2). https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/public/ldf/coredocs/RD-SUB-C-030.pdf

ject to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: ge Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

is a minor change to supporting text which formance of the plan.

the results of the SA.

ject to SA screening as part of RD/MC/021: Addendum Report (November 2015) (Revised)

policy was subject to SA and this is reported Ige Final SA for Submission to the Secretary of

have a positive effect on community and wellresidential proposals in Cambridge East to and health impacts (including noise) from the r residents of new development. This remains ent remains valid.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			e) <u>a masterplan is submitted for the development of site R47 and adjoining land in</u> <u>South Cambridgeshire which safeguards the appropriate future development of</u> <u>the wider safeguarded land; and</u>	
			f) the continued authorised use of Cambridge Airport does not pose a safety risk.	
			<u>3. The masterplan for site R47, as shown on the Policies Map (together with adjoining land in South Cambridgeshire on site SS/3), will make provision for a primary and sec- ondary school, a local centre with community hub, open space and a spine road connect-</u>	
			ing Coldham's Lane with Cherry Hinton Road. Vehicular access to the site will only be permitted via the new spine road, unless needed for emergency access.	
			4. The rest of the Cambridge East site is safeguarded for longer term development be- yond 2031. Development on safeguarded land will only occur once the site becomes available and following a review of both this plan and the Cambridge East Area Action Plan.	
			5. This policy replaces Policies CE/3 and CE/35 of the Cambridge East AAP. All other policies in the Cambridge East AAP are retained.	
CC-MM025	50	Paragraph 3.17	Amend paragraph 3.17 to read: There is potential for residential development on several smaller parcels of land There is an opportunity during the plan period to deliver residential development on parts of <u>Cambridge East</u> while the airport remains on the site. A number of specific sites-and a broad location South of the Green Corridor are allocated in Policy 12 (1) and Policy SS/3 (1) of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan (see Figure 3.2). These were identified in the AAP as capable of coming forward ahead of the Airport site, and potentially without it. Careful consideration of how the ongoing airport activities will interact with any new residential use will need to be undertaken at the planning application stage, to ensure that the new residences have an acceptable level of amenity, and that they do not im- pede on the ongoing use of the airport. In terms of how any development might impede use of the airport, it will be for the airport operators to demonstrate how the develop- ment does this. Any development that comes forward in advance of the wider site will have to be carefully planned so that it is capable of working both with and without the wider development, so as not to prejudice the potential delivery of development on the safeguarded land at some point in the future if it becomes available. This policy makes it clear that these areas are not part of the wider safeguarded site and could come forward for development before 2031. A masterplan for site R47 and adjoining land in South Cambridgeshire will be required in order to ensure a comprehensive and coordinated approach to bringing these sites forward for development. The masterplan will take into account the context of the surrounding area, including development pro- posals on site R41 (Land north of Coldham's Lane and Cherry Hinton Road, access for emergency vehicles only from alternative points will need to be considered at an early stage., if the site becomes available and following a review of the Cambridge East AAP.	This is a minor change to supporting tex performance of the plan. Modifications is Screening conclusion: no change to
CC-MM026	53	Policy 13: Areas of major change and opportunity areas – general	Amend criterion e to read: e. where the development is based on clearly articulated and justified objectives and approach through the provision of a site-wide masterplan, strategies and/or other over-	No SA required Submitted as part of: R Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission changes following proposed submission

text which would not change the sustainability as have been assessed as part of Policy 12.

to the results of the SA.

: RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge n Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed on consultation and classified as not requiring SA

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Bago	Policy/	Bronocod Modification	SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
		principles, crite- rion e	arching coordination documents; and,	due to the minor nature of the modification
	53	Policy 13: Areas	Amend last part of Policy 13 to read:	This is a minor change to supporting text w
		of major change and opportunity areas – general	In protecting existing assets, including heritage assets , landscape and water man- agement, development should:	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM027		principles	i. seek to protect existing public assets, including open space and leisure facilities. Where the loss of such assets is unavoidable, appropriate mitigation should be provided, including where applicable the replacement of assets in an alternative location, in addition to infrastructure generated by the needs of the development;	
			j. ensure public rights of way are protected, and enhanced where possible;	
			 k. develop a new, strong landscape framework that is guided by and incorporates exist- ing positive landscape and townscape features <u>and heritage assets</u>; and, 	
			I. where practicable, undertake on-site strategic landscaping to the agreed framework early in the development of the site so that this will become established as development proceeds.	
	54	Paragraph 3.24	Amend paragraph 3.24 to read:	This is a minor change to supporting text w
CC-MM028			This policy outlines a number of important additional requirements applicable to the con- sideration of planning applications for each of the AOMCs and opportunity areas. The purpose is to ensure that each area can be designed with the principles of sustainable development in mind, with appropriate densities of development, and supporting mixed uses and activity appropriate to the scale of development. It also requires the protec- tion/provision of landscape and other environmental requirements. In, or adjoining	performance of the plan. These requireme been subject to SA. Screening conclusion: no change to the
			conservation areas, development should preserve or enhance the character and appearance of the area concerned. Buildings that make a positive contribution to local townscape should generally be retained and integrated into develop- ment.	
	54	Paragraph 3.26	Amend paragraph 3.26 to read:	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/
CC-MM029			Substantive_Substantial development will not be permitted in advance of the prepara- tion and approval of a site-wide masterplan, strategies and/ <u>or</u> other overarching docu- ments as required by the scale and nature of development. Limited small-scale devel- opment may be permitted, providing it would not prejudice the longer-term develop- ment of the sites and is not considered to be premature in advance of the preparation of these documents.	Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doo changes following proposed submission cor due to the minor nature of the modification
	54	Policy 14: Northern Fringe	Amend Policy 14 to read:	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan.
CC-MM030		East and land surrounding the proposed Cam- bridge Science Park station Area of Major Change.	Policy 14: <u>Cambridge</u> Northern Fringe East and land surrounding the proposed <u>new</u> <u>railway</u> Cambridge Science Park station Area of Major Change	Screening conclusion: no change to the
	54	Policy 14: Northern Fringe	Amend first paragraph to read:	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan.
CC-MM031		East and land surrounding the proposed Cam- bridge Science Park station	The Cambridge Northern Fringe East and the new railway station will enable the <u>creation of a revitalised, employment focussed</u> Council is seeking the wider regeneration of this part of the city, shown in Figure 3.3, with the creation of a revitalised, employment focused area centred on a new transport interchange.	Screening conclusion: no change to the

ions.

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability ments are also set out in Policy 61 which has

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

ould not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ould not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
		Area of Major Change Para- graph 1		
CC-MM032	55	Policy 14 North- ern Fringe East and land sur- rounding the proposed Cam- bridge Science Park station Area of Major Change Para- graph 2	Amend second paragraph to read: The area, shown on the Policies Map, and illustrated in Figure 3.3 , is allocated for high quality mixed-use development, including primarily for employment uses such as B1, B2 and B8, as well as a range of supporting commercial, retail, leisure and residen- tial uses (subject to acceptable environmental conditions).	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM033	55	Policy 14 North- ern Fringe East and land sur- rounding the proposed Cam- bridge Science Park station Area of Major Change Para- graph 3	Amend third paragraph to read: The quantum amount of development, site capacity, viability, time scales timescales and phasing of development will be established through the preparation of an aArea aAction pPlan (AAP) for the site. Planning applications will only be considered when the area action plan has been adopted. The AAP will be developed jointly with between Cambridge City Council and South Cambridgeshire District Council, and will involve close collaborative working with Cambridgeshire County Council, Anglian Water and other stakeholders in the area. The final boundaries of land that the joint AAP will consider will be determined in the local plans of each authority and by the AAP.	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM034	55	Policy 14 North- ern Fringe East and land sur- rounding the proposed Cam- bridge Science Park station Area of Major Change Para- graph 4	 Amend fourth paragraph to read: All proposals should: a. take into account existing site conditions and environmental and safety constraints; b. demonstrate that environmental and health impacts (including odour) from the Cambridge Water Recycling Centre waste water treatment works can be acceptably mitigated for occupants; c. ensure that appropriate access and linkages, including for pedestrians and cyclists, are planned for in a high quality and comprehensive manner; d. recognise the existing local nature reserve at Bramblefields, the protected hedgerow on the east side of Cowley Road which is a City Wildlife Site, the First Public Drain, which is a wildlife corridor, and other ecological features, and where development is proposed, provide for appropriate ecological mitigation, compensation and enhancement measures either on- or offsite; and e. ensure that the development would not compromise opportunities for the due consideration has been given to safeguarding the appropriate future redevelopment of the wider site area. 	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b this is reported from page 455 onwards in t the Secretary of State. This assessment fo on biodiversity as it takes into account the blefield, and calls for the provision of appro ther on, or off-site, if necessary. The mod as the policy would still have a positive effe Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM035	55	Paragraph 3.28	Amend paragraph 3.28 to read: Cambridge Northern Fringe East is within the Cambridge City Council and South Cam- bridgeshire District Council authority boundaries. A coordinated approach to plan- ning of the area across district boundaries will be needed. An early review of the site through a jointly prepared area action plan will ensure a coordinated approach is taken, and will enable the feasibility of development and its viabil- ity to be properly investigated. The majority of the area is within Cambridge with Chesterton Sidings and part of the St.John's Innovation Park within South Cambridgeshire. An early review of the site through a jointly-prepared Area Action Plan will ensure a coordinated approach is taken. This will enable the feasibility of development and its viability to be properly investigated and will	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

ould not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ould not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

e changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, ed below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to t found the policy would have a positive effect the existing Local Nature Reserve at Bramopropriate ecological mitigation measures, einodification does not change these conclusions effect on biodiversity.

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			ensure a comprehensive approach to redevelopment.	
CC-MM036	55	Paragraph 3.29	Amend paragraph 3.29 to read: The proposed <u>new</u> railway station on the sidings in South Cambridgeshire will be served by the Cambridgeshire Busway and will include cycle <u>parking facilities</u> and car parking facilities . The station will significantly improve the accessibility of the site and surrounding area, including access to and from <u>the</u> Cambridge Business Park, <u>St.</u> <u>John's Innovation Park and</u> Cambridge Science Park, and St John's Innovation Centre making the area a highly attractive business location .	This is a minor change to supporting text of performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM037	55	Insert New Par- agraph between paragraphs 3.29 and 3.30	The following additional text to be added to the supporting text between paragraphs 3.29 and 3.30: The new railway station will provide a catalyst for regeneration of this area. Early development around the new station could help create a vibrant area around this key infrastructure to meet the needs of users of the station and bring forward further phased delivery elsewhere within the CNFE area. Plan- ning applications for early phases of development may be made, following submission of the AAP for examination but before its adoption, subject to en- suring that they would not prejudice the outcome of the AAP process and the achievement of the comprehensive vision for the area as a whole that will be established by the AAP.	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM038	55 and 56	Paragraph 3.30	Replace paragraph 3.30 to read: <u>The adopted Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Core Strat-</u> <u>egy (2011) and Site Specific Proposals Plan (2012) designate a safeguarding</u> <u>area for the existing Cambridge Water Recycling Centre and another for an ex-</u> <u>isting aggregates railhead; as well as a Waste Consultation Area for an existing</u> <u>waste management facility.</u> In addition, an area of search is identified for a <u>household (waste) recycling centre to serve the north of Cambridge and an</u> <u>inert waste recycling facility.</u> Any development proposals will need to be as- <u>sessed against the above minerals and waste policies and specifically will need</u> <u>to prove they are compatible to ensure the existing safeguarded aggregates</u> <u>railhead and waste operations can continue without conflict.</u>	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM039	56	Paragraph 3.31	Amend paragraph 3.31 to read: The sidings, in South Cambridgeshire, currently have a number of businesses importing aggregate using the railway that is used for construction and road maintenance in the wider Cambridge area. This provides an important source of building materials for the wider area. The operations associated with the ongoing use of these facilities produce noise and dust, and how they will operate in the longer term will be considered in the area action plan.	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM040	56	Paragraph 3.32	Amend paragraph 3.32 to read: Exploration of the viability and feasibility of redevelopment of the <u>Cambridge Water</u> <u>Recycling Centre</u> waste water treatment works to provide a smaller new treatment works facility <u>either elsewhere or at a smaller scale</u> on the current site will be un- dertaken as part of the feasibility investigations in drawing up the AAP. A reduced foot- print could release valuable land <u>and to</u> enable a wider range of uses. Residential de- velopment could be possible <u>created</u> , subject to appropriate ground conditions, <u>con-</u> <u>tamination issues</u> , amenity and air quality.	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM041	56	Paragraph 3.33	Amend paragraph 3.33 to read: The development of Cambridge Northern Fringe East will require partnership working between landowners and developers, as well as the two local authorities and Cambridge- shire County Council. <u>Highways England will also be engaged with on strategic</u> <u>road network</u> issues.	This is a minor change to supporting text v performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM042	56	Paragraph 3.34 supporting text of Policy 14	Delete paragraph 3.34: The principal land uses, access and transport arrangements are shown in Fig- ure 3.3: Northern Fringe East and land surrounding the planned Cambridge Sci- ence Park Station.	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM043	57	Figure 3.3: Cambridge Northern Fringe East and land surrounding the proposed Cam- bridge Science Park Station Area of Major Change	Amend Figure 3.3 title to read: Figure 3.3: <u>Illustration of</u> Cambridge Northern Fringe East and land surrounding the proposed <u>new Railway</u> Cambridge Science Park Station Area of Major Change Insert amended Figure 3.3 as shown at the end of this table	This is a minor change to supporting text v performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM044	57	Figure 3.3: Cambridge Northern Fringe East and land surrounding the proposed Cam- bridge Science Park Station Area of Major Change	Amend Figure 3.3 legend to read: Extend the Area of Major Change on the map and amend the map legend to include the triangular parcel of land to the south of Chesterton sidings. The area of land is shown in red hatching. The map legend is expanded to include red hatching and text as below: Proposed Extension to Area of Major Change Insert amended Figure 3.3 as shown at the end of this table	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. A review has been undertaken of the likely area and it is concluded that extending the effects of the Area Action Plan. In addition will be subject to its own assessment proce Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM045	58	Policy 15: South of Coldham's Lane Area of Major Change	 Amend criterion a of Policy 15: South of Coldham's Lane Area of Major Change to read: a. the area immediately south of Coldham's Lane (lying north of the railway line), which will allow for appropriate commercial uses on closed landfill sites the areas marked A on Figure 3.4 and some outdoor recreational uses and ecological enhancement on the area marked B on Figure 3.4; and 	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment for on biodiversity as it calls for development is ing sites of local nature conservation impor- where development is proposed, calls for a The modification does not change these co positive effect on biodiversity. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM046	58	Policy 15: South of Coldham's Lane Area of Major Change	 Amend text below criterion b of Policy 15: South of Coldham's Lane Area of Major Change to read: Both southern and northern parts of the site could contribute to the creation of a new urban country park. The northern part of the area The areas marked A on Figure 3.4 could provide for relocation of 'space intensive' uses such as builders' merchants 	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, d below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to

ely sensitivities of the extension to the site the site would not change the sustainability ion if a development is proposed on this site it ocedures.

the results of the SA.

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, d below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to t found the policy would have a positive effect to South of Coldham's Lane to recognise existportance within and surrounding the site, and r appropriate ecological mitigation measures. conclusions as the policy would still have a

the results of the SA.

ould not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			sales and storage facilities which are currently located on land elsewhere in the city that could be made available for housing.	
CC-MM047	58	Policy 15: South of Coldham's Lane Area of Major Change, criterion g	 Amend criterion g of Policy 15: South of Coldham's Lane Area of Major Change to read: g. recognises existing sites of local nature conservation importance within and surround- ing the site, and where development is proposed, provides for appropriate ecological mitigation <u>and/or enhancement</u> measures. 	See CC-MM045 Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM048	59	Paragraph 3.37 of supporting text to Policy 15: South of Coldham's Lane Area of Major Change	 In tandem with the proposed modifications to Figure 3.4 (see below), amend the final sentence of paragraph 3.37 to read: 3.37 Future uses will need to be sensitive to the nature conservation value of some of these sites. The former landfill sites at Coldham's Lane include areas of potential ecological importance. Any redevelopment of these sites the eastern portion of the landfill sites marked as areas A on Figure 3.4 will require mitigation ecological enhancement as part of any redevelopment on site and provision of enhanced wildlife habitat and publicly accessible open space on the western portion of the landfill sites marked as area B on Figure 3.4. 	Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM049	60	Figure 3.4: South of Cold- ham's Lane Area of Major Change	 The following amendments to Figure 3.4 are recommended to clarify the proposal (see amended Figure 3.4 overleaf): Annotation (marked with an A) of the eastern portion of the landfill sites north of the railway line which could be developed for commercial uses; Annotation (marked with a B) of the western portion of the landfill sites north of the railway line which would provide enhanced wildlife habitat and publicly accessible open space. Remove the word 'Cambridge' from the text over the two larger lakes. Amend the colour of the cycleways in order to ensure they can be read in conjunction with the boundary of the area of major change (PM/3/004). 	This is a minor change to supporting figure performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

the results of the SA.

the results of the SA.

ires which would not change the sustainability





Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM050	65	Policy 17: Southern Fringe Areas of Major Change, criteri- on g	Amend criterion g to read: g. create a distinctive gateway to the city and a high quality urban edge as ap- proached by road from the south and respect key views;	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
	67-68	Policy 18: West Cambridge Area of Major Change	 Amend first paragraph and following to read: <u>There is an expectation that the development</u> <u>Development</u> of this area will <u>come forward be permitted</u> in line with the existing <u>masterplan and</u> planning permissions. <u>For new development, Ft</u>he principal land uses will be:	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment fo on Landscape, Townscape and Cultural Her are considered would not change this concl tive effect on economy. The modification of Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM051			 3. Any densification of development on the site that results in a significant increase in floorspace, over that already approved, will be supported providing that: c. a revised masterplan supporting an outline planning application (OPA) is submitted and agreed has been proposed that takes an integrated and comprehensive approach to the provision and distribution of the uses, and supporting facilities and amenities; d. phasing of the development will be determined through the masterplan outline planning permission (OPP) and as the need is proven; 	
			 e. the approach to appropriate development heights will be determined through the OPP development should not exceed four commercial storeys (16 metres in total) and given giving consideration to the sensitivity of the landscape within the Green Belt to the south and west a lower overall height may be appropriate along these edges; 	
			 f. proposals respect the important adjacent Green Belt <u>landscape</u> setting to the south and west, and other neighbouring residential uses and views of the city from the west; 	
			g. it includes a comprehensive transport strategy for the site, incorporating a sustainable transport plan to minimise reliance on private cars. This should include assessing the level, form and type of car parking on the site;	
			 h. that walking, cycling and public transport links (including access for all) to the city centre, railway station(s), other principal educational and employ- ment sites, and other key locations within the city are enhanced to support 	

⁴ Research establishments/institutions are taken to mean sui generis uses affiliated with one of the Universities, the Medical Research Council or Addenbrooke's Hospital, where there is a need for regular day-to-day contact or sharing of materials, staff and equipment.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, d below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to c found the plan would have a positive effect deritage. Changes to the way building heights nclusion. The assessment also found a posin does not change these conclusions.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			 sustainable development; and i. that proposals provide appropriate green infrastructure which is well integrated with the existing and new development and with the surrounding area. 4. The development will also include further phases of the sports centre. 5. Small-scale community facilities, amenities, and A1 (local shop), A3 (café), A4 (public house), D1 (crèche) type uses and student accommodation will be acceptable, if they support existing occupants on the site and add to the social spaces and vibrancy of the area, essential to its continued success. 6. The council will be supportive of a site-wide approach to renewable or low carbon energy generation or the future proofing of buildings to allow for connections to energy networks. 7. The precise quantum of new floorspace will be subject to testing and demonstration through the development of a revised OPA for the site. 	
CC-MM052	68	Paragraph 3.64	Insert clarification as a footnote to the text to confirm the inclusion of pre-existing build- ings in the floorspace calculation: The overall site (allocation reference M13), which covers 66.5 hectares, was the subject of an outline planning approval in 1999 that set out the density of development permit- ted. A masterplan was subsequently agreed with the University of Cambridge for the development of approximately 250,000 sq m of space ⁴ , which creates a strategic framework to guide future development of the site. It also includes guidelines for moni- toring the progress of development. ⁴ This figure includes pre-existing buildings.	This is a minor change to supporting tex performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to
CC-MM053	69	Paragraph 3.68 - 3.70	Amend text to read: 3.68-There is a generous supply of employment land for these uses around the city. The Council therefore will not be looking at West Cambridge to provide land for general research and development, but instead to provide a develop- ment cluster focusing on occupiers with strong links to the University of Cam- bridge and academic association with cognate activities that would benefit from proximity. This will encourage the development of the higher education cluster and thus benefit the economy of Cambridge and the United Kingdom. It will be appropriate for firms who wish to locate on West Cambridge to demon- strate a clear need to be close to other research facilities associated with the University of Cambridge. There is frequently a functional relationship between the commercial research sector and the academic research sector, which is of benefit to both, as well between organisations within each sector, in particular through the encour- agement of knowledge transfer and open innovation. Knowledge transfer refers to the exchange of knowledge and information between and within the com- mercial and academic sectors. Open innovation promotes collaborative work- ing between and within the academic research sector and the commercial re- search sector with the objective of accelerating the rate and scope innovation within both sectors and of expanding the market for external use of product of	This is a minor change to supporting tex performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to

ext which would not change the sustainability

to the results of the SA.

ext which would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			research. The West Cambridge site will provide a development cluster for Uni- versity science and technology research, knowledge transfer and/or open in- novation.	
			3.69 Accordingly, a needs statement is required to support planning applica- tions for West Cambridge, for built development to satisfactorily demonstrate the need for the development on West Cambridge at the time and that it cannot reasonably be met elsewhere. This would take into account factors such as via- bility, the demand for various uses, land availability, ownership, location, ac- cessibility and suitability.	
			Planning applications for new development should be accompanied by a Plan- ning Statement setting out how proposals for commercial research will support knowledge transfer and/or open innovation in respect of D1 higher educational uses, associated sui generis research establishments, academic research insti- tutes and/or other Class B1(b) uses already authorised or granted permission pursuant to this policy.	
			3.70 The new proposals will need to be accompanied by <u>an illustrative</u> site wide mas- terplan <u>to be brought forward as part of a new outline planning application</u> to advise on the form, content, density and phasing of the development, and how it will be integrated with the existing city. <u>The outline planning permission should determine</u> <u>appropriate heights across the site, taking account of the Green Belt setting,</u> <u>views of the City from the west and other neighbouring residential uses.</u> The increased density will provide further opportunities to enhance the built form, public realm and street scene of the area. Progress will be monitored and reviewed against the <u>masterplan</u> <u>outline planning permission</u> over the period of the plan.	
	72 - 73	Policy 19: NIAB	Amend text to read:	This is a minor factual change to the pol
		1 Area of Major Change	Policy 19: NIAB 1 Land between Huntingdon Road and Histon Road Area of Major Change	modification would not change the susta Screening conclusion: no change to the susta
			NIAB 1(<u>IL</u>and between Huntington Road and Histon Road) is proposed for <u>to de-</u> <u>liver</u> a high quality inclusive new neighbourhood on the edge of the city.	
			The principal land use will be a mix of residential properties, including Affordable Housing. Other land uses that will be complementary uses necessary for a the cre - ation of a sustainable and vibrant community. These will include:	
			a secondary school;	
			a. a primary school;	
			b. retail facilities (A1 to A5) within a designated local centre;	
CC-MM054			c. a foodstore of up to 2000 sq m net;	
			 community facilities, including a health centre, library, police office and meeting rooms spaces; and 	
			e. open space and recreation, including allotments, and provision for <u>children and teenagers.</u> children's play areas and youth provision.	
			Some of the above uses could be dealt with comprehensively with other sites in the North West Quadrant (see Figure 3.6) including on land in South Cam- bridgeshire, subject to timing and phasing. Other uses will be delivered on other sites in the wider North West Quadrant (see Figure 3.6) including the provision of a secondary school on land between Huntingdon Road and Histon Road in South Cambridgeshire.	
			Development should:	
			f. compensate adequately for the loss of the existing Christ's and Sidney Sussex sports grounds;	

policy to reflect progress on the site. The stainability performance of the plan.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			 g. include a comprehensive transport strategy for the site, incorporating a sustainable transport plan to minimise reliance on private cars; 	
			h. only be directly accessed by motor vehicles from Huntingdon Road and Histon Road (through South Cambridgeshire);	
			i. provide a direct route for public transport, including access for all, through the de- velopment, and a circuitous route for private motor vehicles;	
			j. where possible retain and enhance existing definitive footpaths that cross the site, or provide suitable and safe equivalent links as part of the development;	
			k. provide for walking, cycling, and wheelchair-user improvements for people with disabilities (accessible for all) on- and off-site to offer more sustainable travel choices for residents, including an orbital cycle link from Huntingdon to His- ton Road along the western boundary and enhancing the footpath to Thornton Way; and	
			I. respect, take account of and integrate with the adjacent NIAB sites 2 and 3 in South Cambridgeshire, and ensure the timely provision of related infrastructure.	
CC-MM055	73	Paragraph 3.74 - 3.80	 Amend text to read: 3.74 The vision for land between Huntingdon Road and Histon Road, also known as the NIAB 1 site or Darwin Green 1 site, is to create a distinctive, integrated and accessible new residential neighbourhood for Cambridge, to the highest quality of design and embodying the principles of sustainability. The land is allocated for housing and associated mixed-use development, and any design will need to ensure good connections to other areas of the city. 3.75 An outline application has been approved, subject to the signing of the S106 agreement Approval has been granted for up to 1,593 dwellings with associated community, educational, open space and retail uses. The total area of the site within the city is approximately 53 hectares. A small part at the eastern end of the NIAB¹ site is within South Cambridgeshire, which includes the access off Histon Road. As part of the S106 agreement, contributions have been secured for offsite mitigation to compensate the loss of Christ's and Sidney Sussex sports grounds. 3.76 Key constraints on the site include noise pollution from the A14. In addition, definitive footpaths cross the site linking Histon Road and Huntingdon Road with Girton. The existing National Institute of Agricultural Botany (NIAB) building on Huntingdon Road, which is a local heritage asset adjoining the site, will need to be retained and integrated within the scheme respected. 	This is a minor change to supporting text modification would not change the sustain Screening conclusion: no change to t
			 3.77 The Informal Planning Policy Guidance on Foodstore Provision in North West Cambridge (March 2011) identified the need for a <u>foodstore of up to</u> 2,000 sq m net foodstore on the NIAB site and another <u>foodstore of up to</u> 2,000 sq m net foodstore on the North West Cambridge site. <u>A separate planning application will bring this element forward.</u> 3.78 Figure 3.6 provides a diagrammatic representation of the principal land uses, access and transport arrangements and landscape provision for the <u>NIAB site</u> and its relationship with North West Cambridge, West Cambridge, and the rest of the city. 	
			3.79 Any further planning applications within this area will need to be in accordance with the outline consents and/or this policy. The design will also need to take account of existing character, retain environmental features of value, enhance biodiversity and create a well-integrated edge with NIAB 2 development with its context.	
			3.80 Development should be mindful of neighbouring development s on land be- tween Huntingdon Road and Histon Road in South Cambridgeshire, also known as NIAB 2 and 3 or Darwin Green 2 and 3, and the land between Huntingdon	

xt which provides further context. The analysis and the plan.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			Road and Madingley Road., and wW here possible comprehensive, phased and inte- grated solutions to elements such as education, transport and community facilities should be considered.supported. The secondary school is included in the current proposals for NIAB 2, and if this is delivered this will meet the needs of NIAB 1.	
CC-MM056	74	Policy 20: Sta- tion Areas West and Clifton Road Area of Major Change.	Amend second paragraph of Policy 20 to read: The AOMC known as the Clifton Road Area will be subject to the preparation and adop- tion of a supplementary planning document (including detailed traffic assessment) to guide the future development of the area, before any major planning application is submitted. The SPD will set out the vision for the area as a distinctive new mixed-use neighbourhood, well integrated with and responsive to the established context, including the residential areas adjacent to the site. This highly accessible and sustainable location will need to be linked to the station by new cycle and pedestrian infrastructure, and fu- ture vehicle movements will be expected to be no greater than current levels.	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM057	75	Criterion q of Policy 20. Sta- tion Areas West and Clifton Road Area of Major Change	Amend criterion q to read: q. allow the potential for future improvements to the access for pedestrians and cyclists between Station Areas West and Clifton Road Area <u>, including investigation of a pos-</u> <u>sible foot and cycle eastern entrance to the railway station</u> ; and	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM058	78-79	Paragraph 3 of Policy 21: Mitcham's Cor- ner Opportunity Area.	Amend text to read: Development Proposals will deliver a series of coordinated streetscape and public realm improvements to streets and junctions within the Mitcham's Corner area. These will be set out in a masterplan <u>Development Framework Supplementary Planning Doc-</u> <u>ument</u> for the area, which must be approved before any <u>major planning</u> application is submitted and will:	This is a minor change to the policy which performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM059	78-79	Criterion e of Policy 21: Mitcham's Cor- ner Opportunity Area	Amend criterion e to read: e. use a-simple an appropriate and durable palette of materials	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM060	80	Figure 3.8. of Policy 21: Mitcham's Cor- ner Opportunity Area.	Amend Figure 3.8 to extend the southern boundary of the Mitcham's Corner Opportunity Area.	This is a minor change to the figure which performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

ould not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

ch would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ptions.

ch would not change the sustainability





Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed changes following proposed submission consultation and classified as not requiring SA

changes following proposed submission consultation and classified as not requiring SA

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			e. use a simple an appropriate and durable palette of materials	
CC-MM063	82	Paragraph 3.91	Amend paragraph 3.91 to read: The vision for the Eastern Gate area is to reconnect people and places and it is focused on regenerating and transforming this key approach to the city through high quality development coupled with a series of key projects. Opportunities for improving the area by successfully integrating new development and for rediscovering and realising the potential of underused spaces exist throughout Eastern Gate. The five key public realm and infrastructure projects identified in the policy and in Figure 3.9 will address the problems associated with the congested roads and junctions, and will help to integrate currently separated local communities. Appropriate storey heights are shown in Figure 3.9. For example, 3-4 + 1 means that developments of three to four storeys in height are likely to be appropriate, with the opportunity for an additional top storey set back from the building frontage. <u>Any proposals that seek to exceed the storey heights set out in Figure 3.9 will need to be tested in a robust way, and applicants will need to demonstrate through accurate 3D computer modelling that their pro- posal will not unacceptably impact upon the surrounding context.</u>	This is a minor change to supporting text v policy. The modification would not change Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM064	85	Criterion c of Policy 23: Mill Road Opportuni- ty Area	Amend criterion c to read: c. create a series of <u>'events' public realm improvements based around junctions</u> and crossings in the road network, which respond to key spaces and buildings;	This is a minor change to the policy which performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM065	85	Criterion e of Policy 23: Mill Road Opportuni- ty Area	Amend criterion e to read: e. create a more comfortable and simplified pedestrian environment by providing <u>im-</u> <u>proved</u> more generous pavements and more direct crossings that respond to key de- sire lines; and	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM066	85	Criterion f of Policy 23: Mill Road Opportuni- ty Area	Amend criterion f to read: f. use a simple <u>an appropriate</u> and durable palette of materials.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM067	85	Paragraph 3.93	Amend text to read: The Mill Road Opportunity Area sets out a new policy approach to Mill Road in order to maintain and enhance the distinctive and historic character of the area. It also sets out opportunities to improve the public realm along Mill Road. Mill Road has its own charac- ter with a diverse range of shops, high quality historic environment and sense of being a distinctive local community. Mill Road has two district centres, providing a range of shops and services either side of the railway bridge. The majority of the Opportunity	This is a minor change to supporting text v performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

t which clarifies building heights within the ge the sustainability performance of the plan.

the results of the SA.

ch would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

t which would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			Area also falls within the Mill Road Conservation Area.	
CC-MM068	86	Paragraph 3.96	Amend text to read: Mill Road is an extremely busy, narrow road and there are conflicts between cars, buses and cyclists. In places, the pavements are narrow and cluttered with signs, lampposts and parked bicycles, making it difficult to move along them, particularly with a pram or wheelchair or for those who are disabled. The policy seeks to encourage improve- ments to the public realm and ensure that any development proposals in the area con- tribute to them. It could, for example, be a specific landscape treatment at a junction to aid traffic calming and improve the environment.	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM069	87	Figure 3.10	Within Figure 3.10, extend the marking for 'remnants of historic high street' (in- dicated by black chevrons) to cover the terraced housing along the northern side of Mill Road between Ditchburn Place and Petersfield Mansions. Excerpt of original Figure 3.10 (below)	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Dod changes following proposed submission con due to the minor nature of the modification
			Excerpt of amended Figure 3.10 (below)	

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

ocal lan age	Policy/	Proposed Modification	SA approach
aye		ners cket xund	
7	Figure 3.10	Amend Figure 3.10 to include all listed buildings (illustrated as a grey rectangle),	No SA required Submitted as part of: RI Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission D
	age	an Policy/	age Paragraph Proposed Modification

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge n Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed on consultation and classified as not requiring SA cations.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			<image/>	

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			Mill Road Depot R10 Very Wegning 5 000	
	87	Figure 3.10	Amend Figure 3.10 to add the Conservation Area boundary to the map and key.	This is a minor change which would not o plan.
CC-MM071			See Amended Figure 3.10 overleaf:	Screening conclusion: no change to t

ot change the sustainability performance of the



This is a minor change which would not change the sustainability performance of the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			Mill Road Depot R10 Peouregij	
			Excerpt of Amended Figure	
			Mil Road Depot R10 Hou second	



Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM073	88	Criterion e of Policy 24: Cam- bridge Railway Station, Hills Road Corridor to the City Centre Opportunity Area.	Amend criterion e to read:	No SA required Submitted as part of: RI Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission D changes following proposed submission o due to the minor nature of the modificati
	90	Figure 3.11	Extend the opportunity area of Figure 3.11 to include:	This is a minor change to the figure which performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to t
CC-MM074			1 Regent Street and Furness Lodge Excerpt of original image (below). Image: Comparison of the street of the str	

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

n would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			Parker's Piece	
СС-ММ075	92 Paragraph 3.102	Amend paragraph 3.102 and table to read: In 2008, the council and the University of Cambridge undertook a viability assessm for development of the site in producing the Old Press/Mill Lane SPD (January 20 which led to this indicative capacity being reached ⁵ . <u>Since this work was undert</u> en, further work has been undertaken by the University of Cambridge and in now clear that the site is likely to deliver student accommodation rather to housing:	 10), Annex 1 Site Assessment Proformas & Site Assessment Proformas & Site Assessment Proformas & Site Assessment made the assumption that the Site is proposed use has now change the commercial use, hotel use and other proforma has been completed taking the Appendix 1 of the above report. The assessment Proforma has been completed taking the Appendix 1 of the above report. 	
			Land useIndicative floorspace/unitsResidential Student_Ac- commodationStudent accommodation: Indicative capacity of 350 student rooms*Up to 150 units	main change is a more positive score commercial uses. The site lies in close p versity of Cambridge. Its potential use the locational benefits for existing Collec the level of use of the local highway of supports an important sector of the local in meeting other known development ne
			Note: If student residential is provided, there	Screening conclusion: insignificant

⁵ Old Press/Mill Lane SPD Option Appraisal: Summary Report (February 2009) and Old Press/ Mill Lane SPD (January 2010).

oject to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: ge Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

a latest assessment of this site is that contained ambridgeshire Local Plans SA Addendum Report & Summary Results (March 2016). The site ashe site was to be developed for university uses. nged to student accommodation, and to clarify ther uses for the site so a new site assessment this change into account. This is contained in assessment has not changed significantly. The e for employment as the site will now provide e proximity to a number of Colleges of the Unise for student accommodation is positive, given leges. This would have less negative effects on γ network than residential accommodation and cal economy. This allocation assists the Council needs in the city.

t changes to the site performance due to nged. Please see Appendix 1 of the above

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/			SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification		
				in student accommodation	
			Commercial (ex- cluding retail)	Up to 6,000 sq m	
			Hotel	Up to 75 bedrooms	
			Other (excluding retail)	Up to 1,000 sq m	
				y of this site is subject to detailed testing, inc s constraints, particularly the historic environme	
CC-MM076	92	Paragraph 3.103	Amend paragraph 3.103 to r The purpose of the masterp determining future planning masterplan is submitted, th residents. <u>However, rega</u> <u>Conservation Area Appra</u> <u>spect of the heritage ass</u> <u>respect of those assets.</u>	ore the ers and <u>ic Core</u> <u>n in re-</u>	
CC-MM077	93	Policy 26: Site Specific Devel- opment Oppor- tunities, criterion h		ppropriate public footpaths /bridleways linking the dechalk farmland;	No SA required Submitted as part of: I Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission changes following proposed submission due to the minor nature of the modifica
Section 4: Res	sponding to	o climate change a	nd managing resources		
	101 8 102	Policy 27: Car- bon reduction,	Amend Policy 27 text and ta	ble to read:	This modification has already been subj Supplement to Sustainability Appraisal
CC-MM078		community en- ergy networks, sustainable de-	New homes:		This policy was subject to SA and this is bridge Final SA for Submission to the Se plan would have a significant positive ef

⁶ Sustainability Appraisal of the Cambridge Local Plan 2014. Final Appraisal for Submission to the Secretary of State (URS Limited, March 2014) (Ref: RD/Sub/C/030 – Part 1 and RD/Sub/C/040 – Part 2). https://www.cambridge.gov.uk/public/ldf/coredocs/RD-SUB-C-030.pdf

kt which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

ject to SA screening as part of RD/MC/021: Addendum Report (November 2015) (Revised)

s reported from page 455 onwards in the Cam-ecretary of State6. The assessment found the fect in terms of climate change mitigation and
Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modifica	ation			SA approach
		sign and con- struction and water use	Year <u>*</u>	Minimum Code for Sustainable Homes standard	On-site reduction of regulated car- bon emissions relative to Part L 2006	Water effi- ciency	renewable energy, partly due to Policies 2 will still have a slight positive effect as the ments with regard to sustainability and ot positive effect. However, the removal of th solutions are a major step backwards in te and remove the clarity that the policies pr
			2014	Level 4	44%	80 li- tres/head/da ∀ 110 li- tres/person/ day	ered to have a significant positive effect. The assessment found the plan would hav water partly due to the water requirement relaxed from 80 litres to 110 litres/person the plan can no longer be considered to hav All other SA conclusions in relation to Polic
			2016	Level 4	44% - note this requirement will only apply until commencement of the amendments to Section (1) (c) of the Planning and Energy Act 2008- 60% on-site, with remainder dealt with through allow- able solutions (as per national zero carbon policy)	t res/head/da Y <u>110 li-</u> <u>tres/person/</u> <u>day</u>	
			New non-r	esidential developme	ent:		
			Year <u>*</u>	Minimum BREEAM Level	On-Site carbon reduction	Water effi- ciency	
			2014	Very good	In line with 2013 <u>2014</u> Part L	Full credits to be achieved for category Wat 01 of BREEAM	
			2016 <u>on-</u> wards	Excellent	In line with 2016 Part L In line with the Ininimum require- ments associated with BREEAM 'excel- lent'	Full credits to be achieved for category Wat 01 of BREEAM	
			2019	Excellent	In line with national zero carbon policy	Full credits to be achieved for category Wat 01 of	

s 27 and 28. We consider that the plan overall the policy still includes considerable requireother elements of the plan contribute to this f the zero carbon requirements and allowable terms of delivering sustainable development provided. The plan can no longer be consid-

have a significant positive effect in terms of ents set out in Policy 27. These have now been son/day. Again, the result of this change is that b have a significant positive effect.

licy 27 still stand.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			* Application subject to financial year Where redevelopment/refurbishment of existing buildings is proposed, the development of bespoke assessment methodologies to assess the environmental impact of the pro- posals for submissions with the planning application will be supported, subject to agreement of the scope of the alternative methodology with the council. Proposals that lead to levels of environmental performance equivalent to or higher than BREEAM will be supported. Where proposals relate to designated heritage assets, care will need to be taken to ensure that any proposals related to environmental performance are considered against the significance of the heritage asset and do not cause unacceptable harm to the asset's significance.	
CC-MM079	112	Paragraph 4.20	Amend paragraph 4.20 to read: Other policies in the local plan and the National Planning Policy Framework relate to the safeguarding of the natural and historic environment and the protection of inter- national, national or locally- designated sites and buildings, and these should be taken into account in applications for energy schemes.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RE Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission D changes following proposed submission c due to the minor nature of the modificatio
CC-MM080	112	Policy 30: Ener- gy- efficiency improvements in existing dwell- ings, criterion e	Amend criterion e of Policy 30 to read: e. draught stripping of proofing to doors and, windows, letter boxes, and other points where the external envelope is compromised.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modificatio
CC-MM081	113	Paragraph 4.23	Amend paragraph 4.23 by adding reference to evidence clearly linking energy efficiency, the EPC rating and the value of the property as follows: The aim of this policy is to help homeowners implement measures that will enhance the energy efficiency of their homes, helping to reduce fuel costs at a time of rising energy prices. This might help reduce the risk of some homeowners finding themselves in fuel poverty, or in cases where residents are already in fuel poverty, help to get them out of this situation. There is also some evidence to suggest that carrying out energy efficiency measures can also increase the value of properties. The focus is on cost-effective measures with a simple payback of seven years or less and that would be relatively simple to install with limited disruption. Many of these measures will be eligible for funding through the national Green Deal scheme.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM082	114	Policy 31: Inte- grated water management and the water cycle, criterion k	Amend criterion k of Policy 31 to read: k. All hard surfaces are permeable surfaces where reasonably practicable, and having regard to groundwater protection.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modificatio
CC-MM083	120	Policy 33: Con- taminated Land	 Amend first paragraph and following text of Policy 33 to read: Development will be permitted where the applicant can demonstrate that: a. there will be no adverse health impacts to future occupiers from ground contamination resulting from existing/previous uses of the area; and b. there will be no adverse impacts, from ground contamination, to the surrounding occupiers, controlled waters and the environment from suspected/identified ground contamination from existing/previous uses 	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modificatio

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed a consultation and classified as not requiring SA itions.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed a consultation and classified as not requiring SA ations.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/			SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Propose	ed Modification	
				<u>es</u> , caused by the development . : -and	
				c. <u>there will be no impact to future and surrounding occupiers from on-</u> <u>site and off-site gas migration.</u>	
				Where contamination is suspected <u>or known to exist</u> , an assessment should be undertaken to identify existing/former uses in the area that could have resulted in ground contamination; and if necessary:	
				d. design and undertake an intrusive investigation to identify the risks of ground contamination, including <u>groundwater and</u> ground gases; and if necessary proven there is a risk;	
				e. <u>submit a remediation strategy and/or</u> adopt and implement mitigation measures, to ensure a safe development and ensure that the site is stable and suitable to the new use in accordance with the National Planning Policy Framework;	
				f. ensure that there are no adverse health impacts to future/surrounding occupiers <u>and groundwater impacts</u> and which minimise impact <u>that there</u> <u>is no deterioration</u> of the environment.	
			mitted w	Is for sensitive developments on existing or former industrial areas will be per- where it is demonstrated that the identified contamination is capable of being remediated for the proposed end use.	
	120 and	5 .	Amend µ	paragraphs 4.40 – 4.44 to read:	No SA required Submitted as part of: RE
	121	- 4.44		The growth of rail infrastructure and an expanding population in the 1800s in Cambridge led to chalk quarrying, clay extraction, engineering and energy provision, through town gas production, during the industri- al age. The last century has also seen considerable landfilling of voids left by clay and chalk marl extraction, electronics manufacturing and engineering.	Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission D changes following proposed submission c due to the minor nature of the modification
			4.4 <u>0</u> 1	Pollution can arise from any of the activities presented above and many other sources a number of activities and sources. Land and groundwater can present a potential source of pollution if they have been contaminated by previous land uses. Groundwater is the primary source of potable supply to Cambridge and also provides flow to watercourses used for irrigation, public supply and industry.	
CC-MM084				Land contamination is a material consideration for the purposes of planning. It is important to ensure that proposed developments are situated on land that will be safe and suitable for the proposed use <u>and will not cause pollution else-</u> <u>where</u> . There will be situations where remediation works will be required to make land safe prior to being developed; for example, if a site's previous use was a petrol station, there will be a need to ensure that no residual fuel is left on-site in storage tanks or in the soil itself as it may cause a health hazard for future users <u>, underlying aguifers and their abstractors</u> . In some instances, the level and type of contamination may make land unsuitable for certain types of development; for example, recently closed former landfill sites are may be considered to be unsuitable for residential development. <u>Gas monitoring, in- cluding a gas risk assessment and protective measures, if necessary, will be required for sites within the 250m buffer zone of a former landfill site.</u>	
				On a precautionary basis, the possibility of contamination should be assumed when considering both development plans and individual planning applications in relation to all land subject to or adjacent to previous industrial use and also	

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed n consultation and classified as not requiring SA ations.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			 where uses are being considered that are particularly sensitive to contamination. Initial assessment will be needed to identify the land and groundwater sensitivity of a site to pollution. It will need to be shown that the development is appropriate for its location. Conditions shall be applied to planning permissions to secure appropriate pollution prevention or mitigation measures where required. In major developments, it will also be required to demonstrate sustainable forms of managing contaminated land (mitigation measures), which reduce the need to landfill and minimise the impacts on climate change. 4.4<u>3</u>-4 In the context of this policy, examples of sensitive developments include housing, schools, hospitals and children's playing areas. The Department of the Environment Industry Profiles, available for download from the Environment Agency website, provide details on the processes and substances associated with common industrial uses. For guidance on the principles and practice for groundwater protection, the interested parties should refer to the Environment Agency website (currently GP03:2012 or any document which supersedes it). 	
CC-MM085	122	Policy 35: Pro- tection of human health from noise and vibra- tion	 Amend Policy 35 to read: Policy 35: Protection of human health and quality of life from noise and vibration Development will be permitted where it is demonstrated that: it will not lead to significant adverse effects and impacts, including cumulative effects and construction phase impacts wherever applicable, on health and quality of life/amenity from noise and vibration; and +or that significant adverse noise effects/impacts can be minimised by through appropriate reduction and/or mitigation measures secured through the use of conditions or planning obligations, as appropriate (prevention through high quality acoustic design is preferable to mitigation). People's health and quality of life needs be protected from unacceptable noise impacts by effectively and appropriately managing the relationship between noise sensitive development and noise sources through land use planning. Noise must be carefully considered when new development might create additional noise and when development would be sensitive to existing or future noise. Residential and other noise sensitive development will be permitted where it can be demonstrated that future users of the development will not be exposed internally and externally to unacceptable levels of noise pollution/disturbance from existing or planned/future (i) transport sources (air, road, rail and mixed sources) or (ii) industrial, trade or business / commercial uses. This would include proposed noise sensitive development the development will be permitted where it can be demonstrated that associated transport noise sources will be permitted where it can be demonstrated that any nearby noise sensitive uses (as existing or planned uses. This would include proposed noise sensitive adverse impacts as a result of exposure to noise from existing or planned/future (i) transport sources (air, road, rail and mixed sources) or (ii) industrial, trad	The modification could potentially cause of the results of the SA have been validated this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment on community well being as it states that is demonstrated that it will not lead to sig effects, on health and amenity from noise have positive effects in terms of health and change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to t

e changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, ed below. This policy was subject to SA and s in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to nt found the policy would have a positive effect hat development will be permitted only where it significant adverse effects, including cumulative ise and vibration. This measure is expected to and wellbeing. The modification does not

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			low, also taking into account nationally and internationally accepted guidance at the time of the application. Proposals that are sensitive to noise and located close to existing noise sources will be permitted where adequate noise mitiga- tion measures are provided as part of the development package. Development of sites that include noisy activities or plant or activities that op- erate at unsocial hours shall provide a noise assessment based on current na- tional guidelines available at the time of the application.	
CC-MM086	122	Paragraph 4.47	Amend paragraph 4.47 to read: Noise, including vibration, can have a significant adverse impact upon envi- ronmental quality, health and quality of life including amenity. Noise not only causes annoyance but it can also cause serious disturbance such as the loss of sleep. Research by the World Health Organisation (WHO) has also shown noise to cause meas- urable health affects effects. Due to the urban nature of Cambridge, noise levels vary from relatively quiet areas within some of the city's public parks to noisier areas close to business and commercial in the city centre, near busy roads, the A14, M11 and industrial areas including Cambridge Airport.	This is a minor change to supporting text of change the sustainability performance of t Screening conclusion: no change to the sustainability is a subscriptly below the subscriptly below to the subscriptly below to the
CC-MM087	122	New paragraph after 4.47	This new paragraph moves existing text from the second sentence onwards of existing paragraph 4.47 into a new paragraph, with some new text added to read: Some aspects of noise are covered by other legal controls, such as nuisance law. These controls cannot meet the aim of the planning system, which is the protection of amenity, and the test of 'statutory nuisance' sets a much higher standard than that of 'unacceptable harm'. Neither do they include the impact on from transport-related noise on a development. Therefore noise is a material planning consideration. However, it is not the role of the local plan to prevent all forms of development that may result in some measure of noise, but rather to control development that may have significant adverse effects and reduce and minimise other adverse impacts . The plan does not seek to duplicate the statutory nuisance and noise controls provided by other legislation.	This is a minor change to supporting text of change the sustainability performance of t Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM088	123	Paragraph 4.48	Amend paragraph 4.48 to read: This policy relates to noise from all potential sources and protects <u>and secures a good</u> <u>standard of</u> amenity <u>for all existing and future occupants of land and buildings</u> , particularly to noise-sensitive receptors, including people living and working in Cam- bridge. It will also aim to protect any 'Quiet Areas' <u>(or areas of tranguillity)</u> that may be identified in the future under the Environmental Noise (England) Regulations 2006.	This is a minor change to supporting text of change the sustainability performance of t Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM089	123	New paragraphs after paragraph 4.48	 Insert six new paragraphs after paragraph 4.48 and new figure (see the figure at the end of this schedule) to read: Where a Noise Impact Assessment is required, the remit and methodology shall be agreed in advance and shall be undertaken in accordance with the most relevant national and industry codes of practice, British Standards and technical guidance, and shall: identify all significant sources of noise and noise sensitive receptors either existing or proposed; assess the likely short and long term impacts of noise generated or noise sensitive receptor exposure to noise both internally and externally in and around buildings; assess the suitability of the site for development proposed, having regard to noise impact on guality of life and health both internally and externally; 	This is supporting text to Policy 35. Policy from page 455 onwards in the Cambridge State. This assessment found the policy w well being as it states that development w ed that it will not lead to significant advers health and amenity from noise and vibration tive effects in terms of health and wellbein The modification does not change these co Screening conclusion: no change to th

t which adds further context and would not the plan. the results of the SA. t which adds further context and would not the plan. the results of the SA. t which adds further context and would not the plan. the results of the SA. icy 35 was subject to SA and this is reported ge Final SA for Submission to the Secretary of y would have a positive effect on community t will be permitted only where it is demonstraterse effects, including cumulative effects, on ation. This measure is expected to have posieing. conclusions. the results of the SA.

Mod Ref.	Local	Policy/		SA approach
	Plan Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			 and if proposals are identified as giving rise to unacceptable noise impact, either through noise exposure or generation, demonstrate in detail, including through good acoustic design, how the development will be designed, located and noise otherwise avoided or mitigated to reduce and minimise any unacceptable adverse impacts. 	
			The internal and external acoustic environment and good acoustic design in and around new noise sensitive and noise generating development should be considered as early as possible in the development control process. This shall include:	
			<u>consideration of the feasibility of relocating or reducing noise from relevant</u> <u>sources;</u>	
			<u>adequate distance separation from noise sources;</u>	
			<u>site and building layout/orientation;</u>	
			internal room configuration	
			provision and retention of acoustic barriers or other screening;	
			 acoustic insulation of buildings/noise sources; building ventilation strategy; 	
			 noise limits at site boundaries; 	
			 the need for restrictions on types of activity and/or limitations on hours of operation. 	
			Consideration should also be given to whether adverse effects in a building can be completely removed by closing windows. In the case of new residential de- velopment, if the proposed mitigation relies on windows being kept closed, a suitable alternative means of ventilation is likely to be necessary. In the hier- archy of mitigation measures, noise should be mitigated at source and reliance on building envelope noise insulation schemes and the sealing of the building envelope should be the last resort. Care should be taken to ensure that good acoustic design is an integrated solution and that noise mitigation should not adversely affect general living conditions, including issues of overheating and thermal comfort, or result in design which is unacceptable in other planning respects and requirements.	
			Noise Action Plans and Important Areas National planning practice quidance states that where relevant, Noise Action Plans, and, in particular the Important Areas identified through the process associated with the Environmental Noise Directive and corresponding regula- tions should be taken into account when considering noise impacts. "Im- portant Areas" for road and rail have been identified within Cambridge and an indicative plan of these areas is detailed in Figure 4.4 below.	
			Insert Figure 4.4: <u>Cambridge – Noise Action Planning Important Areas (IAs) in</u> <u>dark red for roads and railways – Environmental Noise (England) Regulations</u> <u>2006.</u>	
			These areas give a good indication of those places that are exposed to the highest levels of existing road and rail transport noise. Proposals for new residential development in these locations need to be carefully considered and opportunities to reduce noise levels in these areas should be secured to improve the acoustic quality of the environment. The local authority environmental health department may also be able to provide additional information about the	



Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			location of identified Important Areas. Cambridge Airport is a recognised as a noise source within Cambridge. Under the Environmental Noise Regulations, DEFRA has approved a Cambridge Air- port- Noise Action Plan 2014- 2019. The action plan also contains airport noise contours, which will be of relevance to development proposals within close proximity to the airport. Further policy relevant to Cambridge Airport is con- tained within Policy 83: Aviation Development.	
СС-ММ090	123	After existing paragraph 4.48 and new para- graphs proposed in modification above	Insert new Figure 4.4 <i>to read:</i> <u>Cambridge City – Noise Action Planning Important Areas (IAs) in dark red for</u> <u>roads and railways – Environmental Noise (England) Regulations 2006.</u> See amended Figure 4.4 overleaf.	This is a minor change to which adds furthe sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

New Figure 4.4: Cambridge City – Noise Action Planning Important Areas (IAs) in dark red for roads and railways – Environmental Noise (England) Regulations 2006.



ther context and would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Propos	ed Modificatior	1		SA approach
CC-MM091	123	Paragraph 4.49	-	paragraph 4.49: purposes of th	e above, noise includes vibration.		This is a minor change to supporting text an performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
	123 – 124	Table 4.2 Noise exposure cate- gories (adapted from Planning Policy Guidance Note 24: Plan- ning and Noise)		Table 4.2: Nois	ssociated supporting text: The exposure categories (adapted from Planning Polici -24: Planning and Noise)	e y	This is a minor change to supporting text wh modification would not change the sustainab Screening conclusion: no change to the
				Noise expo- sure cate- gory	Description		
				A	Noise need not be considered as a determining factor in granting planning permission, although the noise level at the high end of the category should not be regarded as a desirable level		
				₽	Noise should be taken into account when de- termining planning applications and, where ap- propriate, conditions imposed to ensure an ade- quate level of protection against noise		
CC-MM092				e	Planning permission should not normally be granted. Where it is considered that permission should be given, for example because there are no alternative quieter sites available, conditions should be imposed to ensure a commensurate level of protection against noise		
				Ð	Planning permission should normally be refused		
				noise exposure	ed range of noise levels is given below for each a e categories for dwellings exposed to noise from- nixed sources'.		
				impact of indu cause the natu cessitate indiv	osure categories should not be used for assessing astrial noise on proposed residential development are of this type of noise and local circumstances ma idual assessment and because there is insufficier people's response to industrial noise to allow det given.		
				not dominant,	mixed noise site where industrial noise is presen its contribution should be included in the noise sh the appropriate NEC.		
					edure is only applicable where consideration is l lucing residential development into an area with a		

and would not change the sustainability

ne results of the SA.

which removes superseded guidance. The nability performance of the plan.

Mad Daf											
Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/								SA approach	
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed M								
			istin	ig noise sourc	e, rather t	han the rev	/erse.				
			Noise levels0 corresponding to the noise exposure cate- gories (NEC) for new dwellings (LAeq,T dB)								
				isting i se source	A	₽	e	Ð			
			Roi	ad traffic							
				.00 - .00	<55	55 - 63	63 - 72	>72			
				.00 - .001	<45	45 57	57 - 66	>66			
			Rai	il traffic							
				.00 - .00	<55	55 66	66 - 74	>74			
				.00 - .001	<45	45—59	59 - 66	>66			
			Air	·traffic2							
				.00 - .00	<57	57 66	66 - 72	>72			
				.00 - .001	<48	48 - 57	57 - 66	≻66			
				xed urces3							
				.00 - .00	<55	55 - 63	63 - 72	>72			
			23.	.00 -							



Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Propos	sed Modification							SA approach
				07.001	<45	45 - 57	57 66	>66	Τ		
			Notes	the NEC of Night tim noise ever several ti regardles puts the 2 Aircraft i adopted measured noise end those of 3 Mixed so and indu based on its in the	of a site sho in noise leve ints regular imes in any is of the L/ site in NEC noise: dayti site in NEC noise: dayti site in NEC the source other source other source strial noise the lowest	veld be rep vels (23.00 vels (23.00) vels (23.00)	resentativ - 07.00): 82 dB LAn Id be treat cept when - accord w - Transpor round. Fo an be up - of ground - of g	F) used wh e of typical sites wher nax (S time ted as beir re the LAec r the same to 2 dB(A) f reflection nation of re ed source f the single cs should o nant.	condit e indiv weigh ig in N ,8h all ,8h all tour v ate to l ate l	ions. vidual ting) EC C, ready alues evels evels of than than than than than than than than	
CC-MM093	125	Policy 36: Air quality, odour and dust	Specif	<i>text between crite</i> ically applicants, e and nature of the	where reas	onable and	l proportic				No SA required Submitted as part of: RI Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission C changes following proposed submission of due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM094	125	Policy 36: Air quality, odour and dust , crite- rion h	h. any	<i>criterion h of Polic</i> impacts on the pr re appropriately <u>m</u>	oposed use	from existin			ur and	emis-	No SA required Submitted as part of: RI Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission D changes following proposed submission of due to the minor nature of the modificatio
Section Five:	Supporting	g the Cambridge eq	conomy								
CC-MM095	134	Paragraph 5.6	Propos	new sentence at the sals for the devel he policies in Sec	opment of	employmer	<u>nt uses wi</u>				This is a minor change to supporting text of change the sustainability performance of the solution of the sustainability of the sust
CC-MM096	136	Table 5.2 and following para- graphs 5.9		Amend Table 5.2 and paragraph 5.9 by splitting paragraph 5.9 into 5.9 and 5.9a, and, inserting an additional new paragraph 5.9b to read:							This is a minor change to supporting text of change the sustainability performance of the second sec
			Site	2	Employm use		floorspac m)	1	Net and (bect		

land (hect RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

t which adds further clarity and would not f the plan.

the results of the SA.

t which adds further context and would not f the plan.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Pro	posed Modification				SA approach
							ares)	
			Ś	Station Areas West	Offices	34,096	5.97 <u>0.67</u>	
				West Cambridge <u>*(NB: increased</u> and and floorspace to be determined through development management)	Research and development	19,896 <u>*</u>	3.03	
				Cambridge Biomedical Campus and Addenbrooke's	Offices and research and development	151,333 <u>163,547</u>	16.43	
				North West Cambridge	Research and development	6,883-<u>31,200</u>	0.87 <u>7.55</u>	
				Fulbourn Road (GB3 and GB4) <u>**</u>	Offices and research and development	25,193 20,408**	3.7 <u>4.41</u>	
			- 4	Cambridge Northern Fringe E ast	Offices and research and development	To be determined through an area action plan	To be deter mined throu gh an area action plan	
				the site as of 31 aspirations for th **Fulbourn Road and part of GB3 delivered on site.	<u>March 2017. P</u> le site. (GB3 & GB4) h . There is the p ge Business Co	s relate to the outstand aragraph 5.9b outline as planning permissio potential for further fl ommitments and Con	s the long ter In for all of GE loorspace to b	<u>m</u> 34 2e
			5.1	 and prosperity to t Station Areas West Cambridge Bi Cambridge Bi North West C Fulbourn Roa 	he Cambridge area West; <u>lge;</u> omedical Campu	<u>s and Addenbrooke's;</u> and		bs



Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
	rage		 5.9a Developments on these sites will help grow the Cambridge Cluster, by ensuring there is sufficient employment land available in the right locations. Most of these new allocations are for new office or research and development land, as indicated by the forecasts. Many of these sites are highly specialised and their occupancy is restricted; for example, Addenbrooke's has a strong clinical, health and biomedical focus, while West Cambridge has an academic and physical science focus. The specialised nature of these sites means that their build out may be slow as the site managers have particular objectives when seeking to find occupants. 	
			5.9b Table 5.2 sets out the committed level of employment floorspace and the amount of land for the key employment sites that had planning permission at 31 March 2012. The level of employment development at West Cambridge is being reviewed in line with the aspirations in Policy 18 of the Plan. The site could provide approximately 468,300 sq m of academic and commercial space in total, of which around 210,400 sq m would be for B1(b) commercial uses. The precise amount of floorspace to come forward will be agreed as part of the development management process, subject to design, transport and other considerations. In terms of Cambridge Northern Fringe East, the Council has commenced work on an Area Action Plan for the area with South Cambridgeshire District Council. The development potential of this area will be significantly enhanced by the opening of the new Cambridge North Station in May 2017. This will link up with the wider transport network, including the Cambridge to Huntingdon Busway. The precise amount of employment floorspace to be provided in this highly accessible and sustainable urban location at Northern Fringe East will be determined through the Area Action Plan.	
CC-MM097	138	Policy 41: Pro- tection of busi- ness space	Add an additional sentence after the final paragraph of Policy 41 to read: <u>Temporary changes of use to sui generis uses that generate employment op-</u> <u>portunities will be appropriate while marketing of the site takes place (e.g.</u> <u>taxi businesses, vehicle hire).</u>	This is a minor change to the policy and performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to t
CC-MM098	138	Policy 41: Pro- tection of busi- ness space	Add two new final sentences to policy 41 to read: This policy does not apply to sites in employment use that are allocated for another use and being developed for the use they are allocated for. The prin- ciple of the loss from employment use was accepted when the site was allo- cated.	This is a minor change to the policy and performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to t
CC-MM099	140	Paragraph 5.19	Add a final sentence paragraph 5.19 to read: <u>Appendix K of the Plan provides some guidance as to how any marketing</u> <u>campaign is expected to be carried out, and under what circumstances a</u> <u>shorter time period would be considered</u>	This is a minor change to supporting text change the sustainability performance of Screening conclusion: no change to t
CC-MM100	141	Policy 43: Uni- versity faculty development		This is a minor change to the policy and performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to t
CC-MM101	141	Policy 43: Uni- versity faculty development		No SA required Submitted as part of: R Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission changes following proposed submission due to the minor nature of the modification

nd would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

d would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

xt which adds further guidance and would not of the plan.

the results of the SA.

d would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

: RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge n Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed on consultation and classified as not requiring SA ations.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM102	141	Policy 43: Uni- versity faculty development	Amend criterion a of Policy 43 to read: a. optimise the make effective use of land, including a mix of uses on larger sites to meet the needs of the relevant institution, and	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Docu changes following proposed submission cons due to the minor nature of the modifications.
CC-MM103	141	Policy 43: Uni- versity faculty development	Amend Policy 43 text to read: In addition, development of sites in the Eastern Gateway or near East Road should consider including a significant element of faculty university development.	This is a minor change to the policy and woul performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the r
CC-MM104	141	Policy 43: Uni- versity faculty development, criterion e	Amend criterion e of Policy 43 to read: e. the development of medical teaching and research facilities and related university research institutes at Cambridge Biomedical Campus (see Policy 16); and	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Docu changes following proposed submission cons due to the minor nature of the modifications.
CC-MM105	142	Paragraph 5.23	Insert additional sentences at end of paragraph 5.23: The University of Cambridge has an overall estate comprising around 650,000 sq m on 247 hectares, distributed across a number of key locations in the City Centre and West Cambridge. West and North West Cambridge have been the focus of the University of Cambridge's growth and relocations in the past 14 years. Remaining development there will focus on further academic development and commercial research and devel- opment. Cambridge Biomedical Campus now has outline consent. The only oO ther key locations where significant change is still planned are the Old Press/Mill Lane area and the New Museums site. An SPD has been prepared for Old Press/Mill Lane and a Development Framework SPD has been prepared for New Museums. In addition to these sites, other sites where there is the potential for change to occur during the Plan period, include the Sidgwick Site, the Old Ad- denbrooke's Site, the Downing Site, the former Scroope House Site (Depart- ment of Engineering), and the Department of Chemistry (Lensfield Road) .	This is a minor change to the supporting text change the sustainability performance of the during the lifetime of the plan these will be so of SPD development or a planning application Screening conclusion: no change to the r
CC-MM106	143	Policy 44: Spe- cialist colleges and language schools	Insert additional sentence at end of the first paragraph of Policy 44: The development of existing and new specialist schools will not be permitted unless they provide residential accommodation, social and amenity facilities for all non-local students (students arriving to study from outside Cambridge and the Cambridge sub- region), with controls in place to ensure that the provision of accommodation is in step with the expansion of student places. <u>The use of family dwellinghouses to ac- commodate students of specialist colleges and/or language schools only is not appropriate.</u>	This modification has already been subject to Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge Loca (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this policy the following report: Sustainability Appraisal 1: Final Appraisal for Submission to the Secre that this policy (in association with Policy 46) terms of economic growth at the Universities likely positive effects on community and well provide residential accommodation, social an modifications proposed strengthen the policy accommodation when developing existing and colleges and to reflect the wider intent of the accommodation for market and affordable ho change these conclusions. Screening conclusion: no change to the r
CC-MM107	143	Paragraphs 5.28 - 5.31	Amend paragraphs 5.28 – 5.31 to read: There are a growing number of specialist schools <u>colleges</u> in Cambridge, including secretarial and tutorial colleges, pre-university foundation courses and crammer schools. These schools <u>colleges</u> concentrate on GCSE and A level qualifications and pre-university foundation courses. They attract a large number of students and con- tribute significantly to the local economy.	This modification has already been subject to Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge Loc (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a m not change the sustainability performance of Screening conclusion: no change to the r
			Cambridge is also an important centre for the study of English as a foreign language.	

V/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge ocument (July 2013): Schedule of proposed onsultation and classified as not requiring SA ns.

ould not change the sustainability

results of the SA.

V/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge ocument (July 2013): Schedule of proposed onsultation and classified as not requiring SA ns.

ext which adds further context and would not he plan. If specific proposals come forward e subject to environmental assessment as part ion.

results of the SA.

to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: ocal Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screening

cy was subject to SA and this is outlined in al of the Cambridge Local Plan 2014. Volume cretary of State (March 2014). The SA found 46) would lead to significant positive effects in les and specialist schools (Para. 4.6.18) and ellbeing because of the requirement to and amenity facilities (para. 4.6.114). The cy intent to provide residential and new language schools and specialist he Local Plan to safeguard and bring forward housing. The modifications proposed do not

results of the SA.

to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: ocal Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screening

minor change to supporting text which would of the plan.

results of the SA.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			For more than 50 years, overseas students have been coming to Cambridge to study English in language schools (another form of specialist college) . The city has 22 <u>a</u> <u>large number of</u> permanent <u>and temporary</u> foreign language schools and a fluc- tuating number of around 30 temporary schools, which set up in temporary premises over the summer months. Currently, the annual student load at these centres is thought to be around 31,000, although the average stay is only five weeks.	
			The industry has matured in recent years and more and more courses are being run throughout the year and are being focused at a much broader range of students, including people working in business as well as the more traditional younger students.	
			The Cambridge Cluster Study recognised the increasing contribution these establishments make to the local economy and has suggested a review in the policy approach, as the schools between them they contribute £78m per annum to the local economy. The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) supports a policy approach that seeks to take advantage of this benefit. Therefore the The Council considers it appropriate to support the growth of that such colleges and schools where they also seek to manage the impacts of their growth.	
CC-MM108	144	Paragraphs 5.32 - 5.33	 Amend paragraphs 5.32 - 5.33 to read: Specialist colleges and ltanguage schools can place additional burdens on the housing market. This policy seeks to ensure that when specialist colleges and language schools seek to grow, those burdens are mitigated. The applicant will need to demonstrate how many additional students will be generated by the proposal. This will allow the Council to judge the residential, social and amenity impact generated. The Council will be flexible in considering any require a robust method of calculating the additional number of students arising from any proposal, and will consider a range of mechanisms to agree an upper limit to the number of additional students. The range of mechanisms considered may include, but not be limited to, controlling the hours of operation, the number of desk spaces and the number of students. This will ensure that a proposal will generate a specific level of growth that can be measured and mitigated. Student accommodation is dealt with under Policy 46 in Section Six. The housing market in Cambridge is already under significant pressure. The growth of specialist colleges and language schools should not worsen this situation. Appropriate residential accommodation within the curtilage of the college/school. Use of family dwelling houses to accommodate students only is not appropriate, as this will put additional pressure on the housing market. Promoters of language school and specialist college development will be expected to submit evidence to demonstrate how this issue is being addressed as a part of their planning application. 	This modification has already been subject to SA screening as part of Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge Local Plan – Sustainability (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a minor change to support not change the sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.
Section Six: M	1aintaining	a balanced supply	of housing	
CC-MM109	147 8 148	Policy 45: Af- fordable housing and dwelling mix	Amend the first paragraph and following text of Policy 45 to read: Planning permission will only be granted for residential development on sites with capac- ity for between 2 and 9 dwellings, if provision is made for a minimum of 10 per cent affordable housing. On such sites, financial contributions towards affordable housing off- site are considered acceptable. This does not prohibit on-site provision of affordable housing on sites of this scale, but recognises that circumstances may often not allow for delivery on-site. Planning permission will only be granted for residential development on sites with capac- ity for between 10 and 14 dwellings or on sites of between 0.3 and 0.49 hectares, if	the Secretary of State. This assessment found the policy should I fects in relation to community and wellbeing, representing an imp current situation in which many smaller sites make no contributio housing provision. The modification does not change these conclu still seeks to increase the provision of affordable homes in a way t Government policy.

ct to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screening

a minor change to supporting text which would of the plan.

e changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, ed below. This policy was subject to SA and s in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to nt found the policy should lead to positive ef-being, representing an improvement on the sites make no contribution towards affordable es not change these conclusions as the policy ffordable homes in a way that conforms to

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modific	ation		SA approach
	5 -	·	-	or a minimum of 25 per cent a	ffordable housing on site.	
			sites with capacity		ranted for residential development on sites of 0.5 hectares or more, if provi-	
				·	dential development on sites where the	
			minimum percenta	ge of affordable housing has	been secured on site in line with the	
			thresholds and perc	centages set out in the table be	elow:	
			No. of dwellings	Minimum percentage of affordable housing required		
			2-9 units	<u>10%</u>		
			<u>10-11-14 units</u>	25%		
			15 or more units	<u>40%</u>		
			tions towards the	e provision of affordable h	nd 9 dwellings, financial contribu- ousing off site are considered ac- ision of affordable housing on sites	
					nces may often not allow for deliv-	
			cels in order to circ threshold and the <u>requirement from t</u> need to have regan <u>ments of 11 dwel</u>	cumvent the requirements of t pro-rata percentage of afforc the larger area as a whole. T rd to its wider context and ot lings or more, or on sites o orspace of the proposed de	odivided into smaller development par- his policy, or for any other reason, the lable housing sought will apply to the he required density on a given site will her policies of this plan. <u>All Develop-</u> <u>f less than 11 units if the combined</u> <u>evelopment exceeds 1,000 sqm will</u>	
			be available in per with the capacity o thresholds set out affordable housing	petuity. Affordable housing s f 10-<u>11</u> dwellings or more in above unless exceptional cir	ed to people in housing need and shall hall be provided on development sites a accordance with the percentages and cumstances are demonstrated. Where ure blind design indiscernible from and	
			meet projected futuure types shall have housing and market	ure household needs within Ca /e regard to the differing nee	dwelling sizes*, types and tenures to mbridge. The mix of dwellings and ten- ds for different unit sizes of affordable il's Affordable Housing Supplementary permission is applied for.	
				g employment related housir n line with the thresholds <u>and r</u>	g will be required to make affordable <u>percentages</u> set out above.	
				the practical implementation c using Supplementary Planning	f this policy will be set out in an up to Document.	
			*Measured by the r	number of bedrooms to be pro-	vided in each dwelling.	
			** Except for Starte	er Homes Exception Sites		
CC-MM110	148	Paragraph 6.3	Amend paragraph 6	5.3 to read:		No SA required Submitted as part of:

of: RD/ Sub/C/050 - Addendum to the Cambridge

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			With a strong economy, Cambridge is at the centre of an area of significant housing growth planned for the coming years. With high housing costs but only limited land available for housing, the city also has a strong housing need. The council recognises that meeting housing need is a key priority. <u>However, following assessment of development viability</u> across the city, the affordable housing requirement is not applied to planning applications for student accommodation.	Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM111		Paragraph 6.4	Amend paragraph 6.4 to read: As much of the city's housing supply is delivered on small sites, it is important that these sites contribute to the provision of affordable housing, subject to viability and the effective management of housing by Registered Providers. The council's Small Sites Affordable Housing Viability Study (2013) showed that most small sites providing between 2 and 9 homes are capable of making a financial contribution towards the provision of affordable housing elsewhere. Most sites in Cambridge with the capacity to deliver between 1 <u>1</u> 0 and 14 residential units can deliver 25 per cent affordable housing whilst remaining viable, whilst most schemes containing 15 or more residential units remain viable with 40 per cent afforda- ble housing.33 In instances where higher levels of affordable housing than the percent- ages set out in the policy are proposed, this is supported by the council as it will contrib- ute to meeting affordable housing need. Where a developer considers that meeting the affordable housing target percentage will be unviable, robust evidence of this must be provided in the form of an independent viability appraisal. Negotiations between the council and the developer will need to take place to ensure clarity about the particular circumstances which have given rise to the development's reduced viability or non- viability, either on an open book valuation or involving an independently commissioned assessment using the Homes and Communities Agency's Development Appraisal Tool ³⁴ or other equivalent tools agreed with the council in advance of assessment.	See CC-MM109
CC-MM112	149	Paragraph 6.5	Amend paragraph 6.5 to read: The council has adopted this approach to overcome concerns about the number of plan- ning applications coming forward in the city below the previously adopted affordable housing threshold of 15 dwellings. However, it is noted that the introduction of single percentage for affordable housing at a lower threshold than 15 dwellings could make schemes less viable or unviable and could reduce the amount of housing delivered. A graduated approach towards affordable housing requirements, starting at lower site size thresholds and percentages, is considered more appropriate. Where applications are made for outline planning permission, a planning obligation will be required to secure affordable housing in accordance with the thresholds and percentages in the policy.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD, Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM113	149	Paragraph 6.6	Amend paragraph 6.6 to read: On smaller sites delivering under 10 units, financial contributions will be the standard approach in supporting the delivery of affordable housing. On larger sites delivering 110 units or more, developers will be expected to deliver whole units on site with financial contributions sought for fractions of units. Note that a vacant build- ing credit may apply to developments bringing vacant buildings on site back into lawful use or where such buildings are demolished as part of a develop- ment. If a vacant building credit is allowed the effect would be to reduce the expected affordable housing contribution from a site.	This is a minor change to the supporting to of the SA. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM114	151	Policy 46: De- velopment of student housing, criterion e	Amend the first paragraph and following text of Policy 46 to read: Proposals for new student accommodation will be permitted if they meet identified needs of an existing educational institution within the city of Cambridge in providing housing for students attending full-time courses of one academic year or more. <u>Schemes should</u> <u>demonstrate that they have entered into a formal agreement with the University</u>	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this poli the following report: Sustainability Apprais

Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed a consultation and classified as not requiring SA itions. RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed a consultation and classified as not requiring SA ations.

text which would not change the conclusions

the results of the SA.

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: • Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

olicy was subject to SA and this is outlined in aisal of the Cambridge Local Plan 2014.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
	Page	Paragraph	 proposed Modification of Cambridge or Anglia Ruskin University or other existing educational establishments within Cambridge providing full-time courses of one academic year or more. This formal agreement will confirm that the proposed accommodation is suitable in type, layout, affordability and maintenance regime for the relevant institution. The council will seek appropriate controls to ensure that approved schemes are occupied solely as student accommodation for an identified institution and managed effectively. Applications will be permitted subject to: a. there being a proven need for student accommodation to serve the institution; b. the development not resulting in the loss of existing market housing and affordable housing; c. it being in an appropriate location for the institution served; d. the location being well served by sustainable transport modes; e. having appropriate management arrangements in place to ensure students do not keep cars in Cambridge discourage students from keeping cars in Cambridge; f. rooms and facilities being of an appropriate size for living and studying; and g. minimising if appropriate, being warden controlled to minimise any potential for antisocial behaviour and, if appropriate, being warden-controlled. The loss of existing student accommodation will be resisted unless adequate replacement accommodation is provided or it is demonstrated that the facility no longer caters for current or future needs. In the instance of institutions <u>wWhere</u> students do not attend full-time courses of one academic year or more, their accommodation requirements will be expected to be provided within the site of the institution which they attend; or by making these institutions will be expected to provide residential accommodation. 	Volume 1: Final Appraisal for Submission to SA found that this policy (in association wi positive effects in terms of economic grown (Para. 4.6.18), a potential positive effect of likely positive effects on community and w allowed to result in the loss of existing ma 4.6.114). The modifications proposed stren allocated for market or affordable housing, conclusions. The requirement for a formal positive as it will help ensure that the units form to meet the requirements of specific meeting other known development needs it been amended to reflect the enforceability this would have a positive effect as studen cars in Cambridge. Screening conclusion: no change to th
			Permanent purpose built student accommodation will not be supported on sites allocated for housing or with either an extant planning permission for residen- tial development or sites identified as potential housing sites within the Coun- cil's Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment.	
CC-MM115	152	Paragraph 6.11	Amend paragraph 6.11 to read: The presence of two large universities <u>and a number of other educational institu-</u> <u>tions</u> has a significant impact on Cambridge's demography and on its housing market, with one in four of its residents studying at one of the universities. The student communities, including undergraduates and postgraduates, contribute significantly to the local economy, and to the vibrancy and diversity of the city. Out of term time and throughout the year, the city is also a temporary home to conference delegates and other students attending pre-university courses <u>and short courses</u> at specialist schools and colleges, or studying English as a foreign language at one the city's lan- guage schools.	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a would not change the sustainability perform Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM116	152	New Paragraph after Paragraph 6.13	Insert new Paragraph after Paragraph 6.13: The Council commissioned a study ⁷ to identify the demand for and supply of student accommodation within the city. This study provides information on the potential level of purpose built student accommodation to address current and future student numbers (to 2026) if all students were to be accommodated in purpose built student accommodation. Having considered the findings of the study, the Council recognises that student accommodation can be provided in a variety of ways, including through allocations for student accommodation and	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a would not change the sustainability perform Screening conclusion: no change to the

⁷ Assessment of Student Housing Demand and Supply for Cambridge City Council, Cambridge Centre for Housing and Planning Research, January 2017.

n to the Secretary of State (March 2014). The with Policy 44) would lead to significant wth at the Universities and specialist schools on sustainable transport (para. 4.6.58) and wellbeing because proposals will not be narketing or affordable housing (para. rengthen the policy intent in relation to sites ag, and therefore do not change these hal agreement with a named institution is its provided are delivered in an appropriate c institutions. This assists the Council in s in the city. The policy on car ownership has ty of the requirement, but it is still felt that ents are still being discouraged from keeping

the results of the SA.

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

a minor change to supporting text which prmance of the plan.

the results of the SA.

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

a minor change to supporting text which prmance of the plan.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			through windfall sites. The Plan, including policy 46, is intended to deliver accommodation to address the identified future growth aspirations of the institutions and to provide additional flexibility. The City Council is not seeking through the Local Plan to provide purpose built student accommodation for all of the existing resident student population. The student accommodation study identifies that the University of Cambridge is looking to grow by a further 2.874 students to 2026. While Anglia Ruskin University has confirmed that it has no growth aspirations to 2026, a number of the other institutions in Cambridge have stated aspirations to 2026, a number of the other institutions in Cambridge have stated aspirations to 2026, a number of the other institutions in Cambridge to 2026 of 230 students. This gives rise to a total growth figure for the universities and the other institutions of 3,104 to 2026. Taking into account student accommodation units under construction or with planning permission, allocations in the Local Plan and the remaining allocation at North West Cambridge, these sources of supply would address and go beyond the growth figure of 3,104 and would provide flexibility. Any provision over and above these sources of supply would need to be considered on its merits against the criteria in Policy 46 and having regard to the absence of any policy requirement at either national or local level for all students to be provided with purpose built student accommodation. In order to show that the known needs of specific institutions are being met, Egvidence must be provided as a part of the application to show a linkage with at least one higher or further education institution. This will need to comprise a formal arceament with the institution which confirms that the accommodation will be occupied by students of the institution for whom the accommodation is to be provided. This policy only applies in instances where planning permission is required for development housing more than six studen	
	152 - 153	Policy 47: Spe- cialist housing	Amend first paragraph and following text of Policy 47 to read:	This is a minor change to the policy to sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to
CC-MM117			Planning permission will be granted for the development of specialist housing, subject to the development being:	
			a. supported by evidence of the demonstrable need for this form of development within Cambridge;	
			b. suitable for the intended occupiers in relation to the quality and type of facilities, and the provision of support and/or care;	
			c. accessible to local shops and services, public transport and other sustainable modes of transport, and community facilities appropriate to the needs of the intended occupiers; and	

to aid clarity and would not change the an.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			 d. in a location that avoids excessive concentration of such housing within any one street or small area. 	
			Where the development falls within use class C3 (dwelling houses), the development will be expected to contribute to the supply of affordable housing within Cambridge in accordance with Policy 45. If development, including change of use , would involve a net loss of specialist residential floorspace, this will only be permitted where appropriate replacement specialist housing accommodation will be made that satisfies the four criteria a-d <u>or it is demonstrated that there is no local need for the floorspace to be retained for the current use, last use or for any other form of specialist housing and that there is no demand for the floorspace from other operators of specialist is thousing.</u>	
CC-MM118	153	Paragraph 6.15, second sentence	Delete second sentence of paragraph 6.15 to read: This policy relates to housing designed and designated for occupation by older people, people with disabilities, and vulnerable people with specific housing needs, referred to within the policy and hereafter as 'specialist housing'. Specialist housing can be de- veloped with particular groups of people in mind such as older people (includ- ing the frail elderly and those with dementia), people with physical, sensory and learning disabilities, young people at risk, people with alcohol or drug de- pendency, those requiring refuge from harassment and violence, and others who may, for a variety of reasons, be excluded from or find it more difficult to integrate with, the local community. People with the need for specialist housing contribute to the community in many ways, but for some their ability to participate fully in society is hampered by poor or inappropriate housing, which affects their physical or mental health, or their ability to receive the support they need to live as independently as possible.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modificatio
	153	Paragraph 6.16	Amend paragraph 6.16 to read:	This is a minor change to the supporting t covered by the definition. The modification performance of the plan.
			Forms of housing covered under this policy include:	Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM119			 sheltered housing residential care and nursing homes extra-care housing shared homes cluster units respite, rehabilitation and convalescent accommodation hostel accommodation. 	
	154	Paragraph 6.19	Insert new paragraph below paragraph 6.19 to read:	This is a minor change to the supporting to sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the support of the plan.
CC-MM120			Where a proposal includes the loss of specialist residential floorspace, the applicant will be expected to provide appropriate replacement floorspace as required by the policy or will be expected to provide adequate evidence of lack of local need and demand for the floorspace for any form of specialist housing. In	

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ations.

g text to clarify the types of hosing that are tion would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

text to aid clarity and would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			providing evidence of lack of local need and demand, the facility will be re- quired to have been offered on the open market and the guidance within Ap- pendix K should be adhered to. Local need in this instance is considered to be city-wide.	
CC-MM121	155	Paragraph 6.22	Amend paragraph 6.22 to read: It is also important to ensure that HMOs provide a standard of accommodation equiva- lent to that enjoyed by other residents and that the amount of activity generated by the proposed level of occupation does not detract from the amenities of neighbouring occu- piers. The criteria for Lifetime Homes will therefore be applied to proposals for change of use to HMOs, and minimum space standards for development should also be aspired to in the provision of new HMOs Policy 51: Accessible Homes and Policy 50: Residential Space Standards will therefore be aspired to for proposals of change of use to HMOs and should also be applied to the provision of new HMOs. The application of the space standards will help to determine the num- ber of occupiers that a property can reasonably accommodate as a HMO. This not only ensures reasonable living conditions for occupiers, but will also ensure that the intensification of such activity associated with any HMO is proportion- ate to the size of the property.	This is a minor change to supporting text we performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the plan of the plan
	155 - 156		 Amend the first paragraph and following text of Policy 49 to read: The Council, working with neighbouring authorities, will maintain a local assessment of need for pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and plots for Travelling Showpeople. The outcome of these this assessments will assist the Council in determining planning applications. The latest published evidence (December 20112016) indicates there is a no identified need for just one pitches or plots in Cambridge between 20112016 and 2031. This local plan therefore makes no specific provision for new sites in Cambridge. Proposals for permanent, transit and emergency stopping provision for Gypsies and Travellers will only be permitted where: a. the applicant or updated council evidence has adequately demonstrated a clear need for the site in the city, and the number, type and tenure of pitches/plots 	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this pot the following report: Sustainability Appra Volume 1: Final Appraisal for Submission SA found that this policy should result in of gypsies and travellers. The modification provision and the policy is based on the la policy requirements and the policy is cleat identified. The modifications related to G should be treated in line with the nationa would not change the sustainability performer of the substainability p
CC-MM122			 proposed, which cannot be met by a lawful existing or available allocated site; b. the site is accessible to local shops, services and community facilities by public transport, on foot or by cycle; c. the site has safe and convenient vehicular, pedestrian and cycle access for the type of vehicles that could reasonably be expected to use or access the site; d. the site is capable of being provided with essential utilities, including mains water, electricity, sewerage, drainage and waste disposal; e. the site will provide an acceptable living environment and the health and safety of the site's residents should not be put at risk. Factors to be taken into account include flood risk, site contamination, air quality and noise; f. the site will not have an unacceptable adverse impact on the amenity of nearby residents or the appearance or character of the surrounding area. The site should respect the scale of the surrounding area and appropriate boundary treatment and landscaping should be capable of being provided; g. the site will allow the needs of the residents of the site to be met without putting undue pressure on local services; and h. the site provides adequate space for vehicle parking, turning and servicing of large vehicles, storage, play and residential amenity. 	Screening conclusion: no change to the

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

olicy was subject to SA and this is outlined in raisal of the Cambridge Local Plan 2014. In to the Secretary of State (March 2014). The positive effects on the health and wellbeing tions do not change these conclusions as the latest data on need and the latest national ear regarding what action is needed if a need is Green Belt are clarifications on how Green Belt al Planning policy for travellers sites and formance of the plan.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			 Should up to date needs assessment indicate there is a need, then opportunities to deliver sites for Gypsies and Travellers will be sought as part of significant major development sites. The location of site provision will be identified through the masterplanning and design process. Sites in the Green Belt would not be appropriate, unless exceptional circumstances can be demonstrated at the masterplanning and planning application stage. Gypsy and Traveller sites are inappropriate development in the Green Belt. Any proposals in the Green Belt would also have to demonstrate compliance with national and local policy regarding development in the Green Belt. Sites will not be located in identified areas of green separation. Sites provided will meet the following criterion in addition to the above criteria (a- h): i. sites will be well-related to the major development, enabling good access to the services and facilities, and providing safe access on foot, cycle and public transport. Access should not rely on minor residential roads. 	
CC-MM123	157	Paragraph 6.23	Replace paragraph 6.23 with the following text to read: The Government's Planning Policy for Traveller Sites requires that local planning authorities set targets for the provision of Gypsy and Traveller pitches and Travelling Showpeople plots which address the likely site accommodation needs of Travellers in their area, working collaboratively with neighbouring local planning authorities. The Government's policy approach requires Councils to maintain a five year land supply of Traveller sites, in a similar way to housing, and identify deliverable sites to meet the needs identified for the first five years. This planning guidance was revised in 2015, in particular revising the definition of Gypsies and Travellers for the purposes of planning. Applicants will need to demonstrate that they meet the definitions provided by the Government's Planning Policy for Traveller Sites. The Government's Planning Policy for Traveller Sites requires local planning authorities to:	This modification has already been subject to Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge Loc ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a m would not change the sustainability performa Screening conclusion: no change to the
			 set out targets for the provision of pitches for Gypsies and Travellers and plots for Travelling Showpeople; to maintain a five year land supply of sites; to identify and update annually deliverable sites to meet the accommodation needs of Travellers within their area within the first five years; identify a supply of sites or broad locations for growth in later years of the plan period; work collaboratively with neighbouring authorities to provide flex-ibility in identifying sites. 	
	157	Paragraph 6.24	Split paragraph 6.24 into two paragraphs and amend to read:	This modification has already been subject to Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge Loo ing (January 2017).
CC-MM124			These requirements necessitate collaborative working with neighbouring authorities on both assessment of need and ongoing provision. In informing debate on need, a number of Cambridgeshire, Norfolk and Suffolk authorities commissioned the Gypsy and Travel-	The screening in 2017 stated that the implicator of Policy 49 (see above).

ject to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: ge Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-
s a minor change to supporting text which formance of the plan.
the results of the SA.
ject to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: ge Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-
mplications of this have been assessed as part

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/	Proposed Modification	SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification ler Accommodation Needs Assessment 20112016 (GTANA) to cover the period 2011- 2031 2016-2036. This assessment concluded that there was no identified Cam- bridge's need in Cambridge was for one for permanent pitches for Gypsies and Travellers or plots for Travelling Showpeople between 20212016 and 20262031. The Local Plan does not propose any allocations. There was no identified need for plots ⁸ for Travelling Showpeople within Cambridge's administrative area. The assessment acknowledges that it was not possible to determine the travel- ling status of existing households who did not participate in surveys carried out for the purpose of the assessment. These households may or may not include individuals who meet the definition provided in the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites and therefore give rise to some need for pitch provision. However, the extent of such need (if any) cannot be identified. Any proposals for sites will be considered according to Policy 49: Provision for Gypsies and Travellers. Reference is made in t <u>T</u> he GTANA refers to need for transit/emergency stopping place provision, but it was not possible to determine precise demand for such temporary accommodation in any one local authority area, particularly in light of changes to the Planning Policy for Traveller Sites potentially leading to more households travelling. The GTANA notes that beyond the immediate need, assessments of growth are based on modelling, and the best information available. There will be a need to monitor and review the plan, as necessary, to take account of up to date evi- dence.	This is a minor change to supporting text performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the second s
CC-MM125	157	Paragraph 6.25	Amend paragraph 6.25 to read: The Government's Planning Policy for Traveller Sites requires plans to identify specific sites or broad locations, where need will be met within the plan period. The Council con- siders that significant major developments provide an opportunity to deliver provision to meet any longer-term needs. This would allow the delivery of pitches as an integral part of the development, in sustainable locations close to services and facilities. <u>Given the</u> <u>significant education, health and disability-related inequalities experienced by</u> <u>many Gypsies and Travellers, the provision of pitches within sustainable, major</u> <u>developments could help to address these issues.</u> <u>Additionally, a</u> As stated in The Road Ahead: Final Report of the Independent Task Group on Site Provision and En- forcement for Gypsies and Travellers, published by the Department for Communities and Local Government in December 2007, the approach of integrating the provision of ac- commodation for Gypsies and Travellers as part of new development helps to erode mis- conceptions and distrust.	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a would not change the sustainability perfor Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM126	158	Insert new par- agraph after paragraph 6.26	Insert new paragraph after paragraph 6.26 to read: When applications for planning permission or reserved matters approval come forward for large scale new communities or significant major development sites, consideration will be given to whether there is a current need for Gypsy and Traveller site provision, and the opportunity to deliver appropriately a site or sites within that phase of the development will be reviewed.	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a not change the sustainability performance requirement to consider whether there is a Travellers as part of significant major deve Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM127	160	Paragraph 6.30	Amend paragraph 6.30 to read:	This is a minor change to the supporting t sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th

 $^{^{\}mbox{8}}$ Where there is sufficient space for living accommodation and the storage of equipment.

t which would not change the sustainability the results of the SA. ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screena minor change to supporting text which ormance of the plan. the results of the SA. ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: e Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screens a change to the supporting text which would ce of the plan. The plan already included the a need to deliver sites for Gypsies and velopment sites (see Policy 49). the results of the SA. text to aid clarity and would not change the the results of the SA.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			Calculating <u>Considering</u> how much space might be required will be based on bedspaces. External amenity space would not include car parking or turning areas. Suitable arrangements for access to refuse and recycling bins should be made, in order to prevent bins/bags being transported through dwellings.	
CC-MM128	161	Policy 51: Life- time Homes and Lifetime Neighbourhood s	 Amend first paragraph and following text of Policy 51 to read: Policy 51: Lifetime Homes and Lifetime Neighbourhoods Accessible Homes In order to create Lifetime Homes and Neighbourhoods accessible homes: a. all housing development should be of a size, configuration and internal layout to enable the Lifetime Homes Standard Building Regulations requirement M4 (2) 'accessible and adaptable dwellings' to be met; and b. 5 per cent⁹ of the affordable housing component of every housing schemes development providing or capable of acceptably providing 20 or more self-contained affordable homes¹⁰, including conversions and student housing, should meet Building Regulations requirement M4 (3) 'wheelchair user dwellings' to be wheelchair accessible_either meet Wheelchair Housing Design Standards, or be easily adapted to meet them.for residents who are wheelchair users. Compliance with the criteria should be demonstrated in the design and access statement submitted with the planning application. 	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge Le ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this polic the following report: Sustainability Apprais Volume 1: Final Appraisal for Submission to SA found that Policy 51 is likely to lead to p requires that all housing development shou that a percentage of homes should meet th (para. 4.6.112). The modifications to Policy 51 do not (in th policy now requires Building Regulations re dwellings' requirement M4 (3) 'wheelchair However, changes to the supporting text of per cent of student flats or study-bedrooms people and also now limits the application of standard to dwellings where the local author nominating a person to live in that dwelling changes to Government policy and the Gov Building Regulations (Part M). Student accommo Practice Guidance confirms that councils ca to dwellings where the Council has nomina Council is still seeking to encourage develo standard to widen the range of dwellings a it is still felt that Policy 51 and its supporting health and wellbeing because the Plan does build wheelchair accessible <i>market</i> homes a accessibility standards. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM129	161	Paragraph 6.32	Amend paragraph 6.32 to read: This plan throughout adopts the principle of inclusive design: "The design of mainstream products and/or services that are accessible to, and usable by, as many people as rea- sonably possible without the need for special adaptation or specialised design" (BSI 2005). This principle applied to housing has resulted in the concept of Lifetime Homes and indeed goes wider to the concept of Lifetime Neighbourhoods, which enable an in- creasingly aging society to get out and about in the areas in which they live – both phys- ically and virtually – and connect with other people and services in the immediate neigh- bourhood and beyond. <u>The Lifetime Homes and Wheelchair Housing Design</u> <u>Standards have now been superseded by optional housing standards on acces- sibility introduced by the Government through Part M of Building Regulations in <u>2015.</u></u>	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that the impl of Policy 51 (see above). This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM130	161	Paragraph 6.33	Amend paragraph 6.33 to read:	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L

⁹ Rounded up to the nearest whole unit.

ct to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

blicy was subject to SA and this is outlined in aisal of the Cambridge Local Plan 2014. to the Secretary of State (March 2014). The o positive effects on health and wellbeing as it ould adopt the Lifetime Homes Standard and the Wheelchair Housing Design Standard

themselves) change these conclusions as the requirement M4 (2) 'accessible and adaptable ir user dwellings' to be implemented. of this policy remove the requirement for 5 ms to be built to meet the needs of disabled n of the wheelchair accessible homes thority is responsible for allocating or ing. Both of these changes result from Sovernment's requirements for application of accommodation is not considered under ellings, and no optional standard can nodation. Furthermore, the National Planning can only apply the optional standard M4 (3) nation rights or owns the dwelling. The elopers to deliver market units to M4 (3) available to the city's residents. On balance, ting text would still have positive effects on bes include encouragement for developers to es and is still seeking to use the optional

the results of the SA.

ct to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

plications of this have been assessed as part

which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ct to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

¹⁰ Part M of the Building Regulations generally does not apply to dwellings resulting from a conversion or a change of use. Additional guidance on the applicable requirements of the Building Regulations (amended 2015) can be found in: Approved Document M Access to and use of buildings Volume 1: Dwellings.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			A <u>n accessible home Lifetime Home (see Figure 6.1)</u> supports changing needs of residents from raising children through to mobility issues faced in old age or through disability. This essentially allows people to live in their home for as much of their life as possible. Such homes have design features that have been tailored to foster accessible living, helping to accommodate old age, injury, disability, pregnancy and pushchairs or enable future adaptation to accommodate this diversity of use.	ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a would not change the sustainability perform Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM131	161	Paragraph 6.34	Amend paragraph 6.34 to read: The standards for housing to meet Building Regulations requirements M4 (2) and M4 (3) Lifetime Homes and wheelchair accessibility relate primarily to the layout of self-contained homes for permanent occupancy. Meeting Building Regulations requirements M4 (2) and M4 (3) will normally be controlled through the use of a planning condition to ensure that the relevant homes are delivered to meet the standards. The National Planning Practice Guidance states that Local Plan policies for wheelchair accessible homes (M4 (3)) should only be applied to those dwellings where the local authority is responsible for allocating or nomi- nating a person to live in that dwelling. In the interest of mixed and balanced communities, the Council would also encourage developers to build wheelchair accessible market homes. As occupants of student housing will only stay for a limited period, student housing is not expected to meet Lifetime Homes stand- ards. However, 5 per cent of student flats or study bedrooms (together with supporting communal spaces) should be built to meet the needs of disabled people. Within the required percentage, half of the units should be designed and built out for wheelchair users and at least one unit should be delivered in accordance with the guidance in BS 8300 (2009) concerning access for carers (i.e. adjoining room with a through door). Of the other half, these should show specific adaptation to meet the needs of other disabled people, either with sen- sory impairments, whether sight, hearing or both, autism, being of certain statures etc.	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that the impl of Policy 51 (see above). This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM132	162	Paragraphs 6.35 and 6.36	Delete paragraphs 6.35 and 6.36. The Lifetime Homes standard will be applied to all developments of self- contained housing, including flat conversions, where reasonable and practical. It is acknowledged that the design or nature of some existing properties and proposed development sites means that it will not be possible to meet every element of the Lifetime Homes standard, for example in listed buildings or on very constrained urban sites, but it is considered that each scheme should achieve as many features as possible. Where proposals involve re-use of an existing building (particularly a listed building), the wheelchair percentage will be applied flexibly, taking into ac- count any constraints on the provision of entrances and circulation spaces that are sufficiently level and wide for a wheelchair user.	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge Ling (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that the impliof Policy 51 (see above). This is a minor change to supporting text with performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM133	162	Figure 6.1	Delete Figure 6.1: Indicative diagram of a Lifetime Home. Figure 6.1: Indicative diagram of a Lifetime Home (source: PRP Archi- tects)	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that this is a would not change the sustainability perform Screening conclusion: no change to the

a minor change to supporting text which prmance of the plan.

the results of the SA.

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

plications of this have been assessed as part

which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: 2 Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

plications of this have been assessed as part

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

a minor change to supporting text which prmance of the plan.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			Bathroom planned to give side access to WC and bath Provision for a future	
			stair lift Identified space for future platform lift	
			to bedroom Low window sills Walls able to take Accessible entrance level WC (opportunity for shower later in 3 bed or larger homes) Walls able to take	
			adaptations Identified space for temporary entrance level bed Width of doors and hall allow wheelchair access Turning circles for wheelchair in ground-floor living rooms	
			Living or family room at the entrance level Accessible threshold covered and lit Parking space capable of widening	
			Distance from the car parking space kept to a minimum Level or gently sloping approach to the Lifetime Home	
CC-MM134	163	Paragraph 6.38	Amend paragraph 6.38 to read: Gardens are an important environmental resource and are a vital component of Cam- bridge's character, especially in its more verdant, arcadian quarters. They form part of an area's development pattern, providing a setting for buildings, which in turn informs the prevailing privacy and amenity enjoyed by residents. They provide <u>space for food</u> <u>production and</u> a semi-natural habitat for local wildlife and corridors for the movement of wildlife through the urban environment. Collectively, they help to mitigate fluvial and	
	165	Paragraph 6.43	surface water flooding in otherwise built-up parts of the city. Amend paragraph 6.43 to read:	This is a minor change to the supporting performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to
CC-MM135			Notional parking capacity on streets is defined from the maximum number of spaces that can be lawfully parked on. This excludes double parking, corner parking, parking on spaces with yellow line controls, in bus-only lanes and cycle lanes (including outside areas of formal enforcement), and in spaces in controlled parking zones blocking driveways and access for emergency vehicles. In order to ascertain whether streets are experiencing parking stress, a survey should be completed for planning applications for flat conversions. The survey should cover a radius of 200m around the property, ineluding side roads. Parking stress is defined as occurring in those streets where surveys show that there is less than 10 per cent free notional parking capacity. This requirement will be relaxed in controlled parking zones where the scheme is car-capped through the permit system, or where a planning obligation prevents residents applying for parking permits, or the development is defined as a car-free development.	

f: RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge on Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed on consultation and classified as not requiring SA cations.

ing text and would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
	165	After Paragraph 6.43	Insert the following paragraphs after Paragraph 6.43 to read:	This is a minor change to the supporting te not change the sustainability performance Screening conclusion: no change to th
			The following guidelines should be followed when undertaking a survey. If these guidelines are not followed, the Council may not be able to make a full and proper assessment of the proposed development.	
CC-MM136			The Council requires a parking survey to cover the area where residents of a proposed development may want to park. This generally covers a 200m walk distance around a site. The survey should be undertaken when the highest number of residents are at home, which is generally late at night during the week. A snapshot survey between the hours of 0030-0530 should be undertaken on two separate weekday nights (Monday to Thursday).	
			For sites subject to high levels of daytime parking given the proximity of a major employer, school, or transport node close to any of the following land uses, additional survey times may be necessary. In these cases, surveys between the hours of 1030 -1230.	
			Surveys should not be undertaken in weeks that include Public Holidays and school holidays and it is advised that weeks preceding and following holidays should also be avoided, as should surveys on or close to a date when a local event is taking place since this may impact the results of the survey.	
CC-MM137	166	Policy 54: Resi- dential moor- ings, criterion g	Amend criterion g of Policy 54 to read: g. does not impede navigation and/or use of the <u>any</u> footpath	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
Section Seve	n: Protectin	ng and enhancing t	he character of Cambridge	
CC-MM138	173 - 174	- Policy 57: De- signing New Buildings, crite- rion h	 Amend criterion h of Policy 57 to read: h. consider how the building can support include an appropriate scale of features and facilities to maintain and increase levels of biodiversity in the built environment 	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment for on biodiversity. The modification does not change these co Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM139	174	Paragraph 7.10	Insert additional sentence at end of paragraph 7.10 to read: High quality building design is linked to context, in terms of appropriateness, and to place making in terms of how the proposed development will be sited. Without imposing architectural tastes or styles, it is important that a proposed development is consid- ered in terms of site location, height, scale, form and proportions, along with materials and detailing, with the latter two linking directly to the quality and durability of a pro- posal. Where new buildings are proposed or existing buildings altered or extended, it is important that any heritage assets and their settings are carefully considered. This would include the analysis of the special character of that asset and justification of the approach to the proposed development (this applies equally to Policy 58 on altering	This is addressed in the modification above

text to provide further guidance and would the plan.

the results of the SA.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, d below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to found the plan would have a positive impact

conclusions.

the results of the SA.

ve (CC-MM138)

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			ise opportunities to incorporate features that support biodiversity (see Appen- dix J).	
CC-MM140	176	Policy 60: Tall buildings and the skyline	 Amend first paragraph and following text of Policy 60 to read: Any proposal for a structure that breaks the existing skyline and/or is significantly taller than the surrounding built form Any proposals that are considered tall, that is significantly taller than the buildings that surround them and/or exceed 19m within the historic core (see Section Three, on the City Centre) or 13m outside it, will be considered against the following criteria: a. location, setting and context - applicants should demonstrate through visual assessment or appraisal with supporting accurate visual representations, how the proposals fit within the existing landscape and townscape; b. historicalimpact on the historic environment - applicants should demonstrate and quantify the potential harm impact of proposals on recognised to the significance of heritage assets or other sensitive receptors (view of, backdrop and setting), assessed on a site-by-site basis but including impact on key landmarks and viewpoints, as well as from the main streets, bridges and open spaces in the city centre and from the main historic and proposals must ensure that impact on the historic core they should retain the character or appearance of Cambridge, as a city of spires and towers emerging above the established tree line, remains dominant from relevant viewpoints as set out in Appendix F; c. scale, massing and architectural quality - applicants should demonstrate through the use of <u>scaled</u> drawings, sections, accurate visual representations and models how the proposals will deliver a high quality addition to the Cambridge skyline and clearly demonstrate that there is no adverse impact; d. amenity and microclimate – applicants should demonstrate that there is no adverse impact on neighbouring buildings and open spaces in terms of <u>the diversion of wind</u>, overlooking or overshadowing, and that there is adequate sunlight and dayli	The modification could potentially cause chather results of the SA have been validated by this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment for on landscape, townscape and cultural heritarity objective of ensuring that the scale of makey landmark buildings and low lying topog. The modification does not change these conscreening conclusion: no change to the secret sec
CC-MM141	177	After Policy 60, insert six para- graphs to re- place para- graphs 7.16 to 7.19	(or its successor document). Delete paragraphs 7.16 to 7.19 and insert paragraphs after Policy 60 to read: The city is generally free from clustered modern towers and bulky buildings with the notable exception of the hospital buildings at Addenbrooke's and the hangars at Cambridge Airport, which sit in stark contrast to the surrounding, low lying suburbs. While there has been a move to build a number of taller buildings across the city in recent years, further opportunities to create new taller buildings in the city must be carefully considered and placed in the right locations.	Please see above. The modification does not change the SA co supporting text Screening conclusion: no change to the
			In the historic core, 'background buildings' tend to rise to between three and five-storeys tall but occasionally include six-storey modern buildings such as the Grand Arcade. Floor to floor heights can vary significantly between build- ings, and are often exacerbated by the service requirements in modern build- ings. In the suburbs, overall building heights tend to be two storeys, with lim-	

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, d below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to t found the plan would have a positive effect eritage through contributing to the sustainabilf new development is sensitive to the existing pography of the city.

conclusions.

he results of the SA.

conclusions in relation to Policy 60 and its

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			ited areas of three - storey buildings focused principally along the key ap- proach roads leading into the City. This characteristic leads to the setting of height thresholds against which will require proposals to be judged in accord- ance with the criteria of Policy 60.	
			Due to the large number of designated heritage assets within the historic core, including a large number of highly graded heritage assets of great national importance, tall building proposals in this area are likely to result in a high level of harm to significance. For this reason, it is very unlikely that there would be many instances or scope for introducing new tall buildings in or around the historic core. The potential impact on the setting of heritage assets should be assessed in accordance with the guidance set out in the <i>Historic Environment Good Practice Advice in Planning: 3 'The Setting of Heritage Assets'</i> published by Historic England in March 2015 or its successor document.	
			Trees form an important element of the Cambridge skyline, within both the his- toric core and surrounding suburbs. Elevated views from the rural hinterland and from Castle Mound reveal a city of spires and towers emerging above an established tree line. Buildings therefore work with subtle changes in topogra- phy and the tree canopy to create a skyline of 'incidents', where important buildings rise above those of a prevailing lower scale.	
			<u>Cambridge should seek to maintain and, where appropriate, enhance the over- all character and qualities of its skyline as the city continues to grow and de- velop into the future. Any proposals for new tall buildings will need to demon- strate how they have taken account of the prevailing context and more distant views to enhance the skyline.</u>	
			In developing any proposals for tall buildings, <u>developers should make reference</u> reference should be made to Appendix F of the plan, which provides a more detailed explanation of the required approach, methodology and assessment to developing and considering tall buildings in Cambridge <u>and the Historic Environment Good Practice</u> <u>Advice in Planning: 3 'The Setting of Heritage Assets' (Historic England in</u> <u>March 2015 or its successor document).</u>	
	178	Policy 61: Con- servation and enhancement of		The modification could potentially caus the results of the SA have been valida this is reported from page 455 onward
		Cambridge's historic envi- ronment		the Secretary of State. This assessmen on landscape, townscape and cultural h
			b. retain buildings and spaces, <u>the</u> loss of which would cause harm to the char- acter <u>or appearance</u> of the conservation area;	The modification does not change these Screening conclusion: no change to
CC-MM142			c. contribute to the local distinctiveness, built form and scale of heritage assets through the use of appropriate design, materials and workmanship;	
			d. <u>be of an appropriate scale, form, height, massing, alignment and de-</u> <u>tailed design which respects the area's character, appearance and its</u> <u>setting;</u>	
			de . demonstrate a clear understanding of the significance of the asset and of the wider context in which the heritage asset sits, alongside assessment of the potential impact of the development on the heritage asset and its context; and	
			ef . provide clear justification for any works that would lead to harm or substantial harm to a heritage asset yet be of wider substantial public benefit, through detailed analysis of the asset and the proposal.	

use changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, lated below. This policy was subject to SA and rds in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to nent found the plan would have a positive effect al heritage.

ese conclusions.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM143	178	Paragraph 7.20	Amend paragraph 7.20 to read: Cambridge's historic and natural environment defines the character and setting of the city, and contributes significantly to Cambridge residents' quality of life. It is important to maintain and enhance the historic and natural environment against the background of a successful, growing city. Against the backdrop of a successful, growing city, it is important to preserve and enhance the historic and natural environment to ensure that Cambridge remains compact and walkable and that the connection between the city's historic core and the wider countryside is maintained. The city has a varied architectural heritage, from the internationally rec- ognised grandeur of King's College Chapel to the more modest vernacular buildings rem- iniscent of an East Anglian market town. The number of grade I and grade II* listed buildings is high, with an exceptional concentration of collegiate buildings around the arc of the River Cam. Green open spaces such as the commons, greens and The Backs are also key features of the city's life and layout. In addition, there are a number of regis- tered parks and gardens of special historic interest, including college grounds, ceme- teries and the Cambridge University Botanic Garden.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do- changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM144	179	New paragraph after paragraph 7.22	Insert new paragraph after 7.22 to read: <u>Given the rich tapestry of Cambridge's historic and natural environment and</u> <u>the strategic objectives of this local plan, the strategy for its management is, in</u> <u>itself, one of a multi-document, multi-layered approach which includes a num- ber of interrelated initiatives, policies and players. Together, as illustrated in <u>the diagram below, they represent Cambridge's historic environment strategy,</u> <u>the components of which will be added to and updated as necessary and pro-</u> <u>vide the necessary tools to realise the ongoing management of the city's herit-</u> <u>age assets. Planning decisions will be made having regard to the content of</u> <u>the relevant components of the strategy.</u></u>	This is a minor change to the supporting te change the sustainability performance of th Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM145	179	Insert new Fig- ure 7.1 after paragraph 7.22	<i>Insert Figure 7.1 to illustrate the content of the historic environment strategy as illustrated at the end of this table of proposed modifications.</i> <i>See new Figure 7.1 overleaf:</i>	This is a minor change to the supporting te change the sustainability performance of the screening conclusion: no change to the screening conclusion: no change to the screening conclusion cha

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

text to provide further context and would not f the plan.

the results of the SA.

text to provide further context and would not the plan.

	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
New Figure 7	.1: Cambrid	-	ronment Strategy	
		Cam	nbridge's Historic Environment Strategy	
		P	ervation lans ter's Piece Local Plan Plans Designated and undesignated heritage assets Cambridgeshire Historic Environment Record	
(Conservat Appra		CAMBRIDGE HISTORIC ENVIRONMENT STRATEGY National Planning National Planning	
			National Planning Planning (Listed Policy Framework Buildings and & National Conservation Planning Practice Areas) Act 1990	
South Cambridgesh	ire DC C	ounty Council	DELIVERED BY: Cambridge City Deal City Council Historic England Stakeholders, Residents. Landowners & Amenity Groups Conservation Panel	
CC-MM146	179	Paragraph 7.23	Amend paragraph 7.23 to read: The conservation of a designated heritage asset is a material planning consideration and the higher the significance of the asset, the more weight will be given to its preservation and/or enhancement. The level of information or investigation required to support a proposal that could impact on a heritage asset needs to be proportionate to the work proposed to the asset and to its significance. Scheduled monuments/archaeological areas, listed buildings, conservation areas and registered parks and gardens are all designated heritage assets. Listed building descrip- tions, conservation area appraisals and management plans and suburbs and approaches studies should be referred to as a material consideration in making and determining applications. In order to comply with the requirements of the NPPF, it may be necessary to access other sources of information such as the Historic Environ- ment Record, and commission further evaluation, in order to properly under-	This is a minor change to supporting text evidence their proposals. The modificatio performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the second s

ext which clarifies how applicants should ition would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
	179	Paragraph 7.24	Amend paragraph 7.24 to read: It is important to identify and assess the impact of the development on the special character of the heritage asset in the Cambridge context. This could include:	This is a minor change to supporting text v evidence their proposals. The modification performance of the plan.
CC-MM147			 the effect on views or the setting of buildings and spaces; how the proposals will preserve or enhance the character <u>or</u> and appearance of a conservation area; and consideration of how the scale, height, massing, alignment and materials respond to the local context. 	Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM148	180	Policy 62: Local heritage assets	Amend first paragraph and following text of Policy 62 to read: There is a general presumption in favour of <u>The council will actively seek</u> the retention of local heritage assets, including buildings, structures, features and gardens of local interest as detailed in the Council's local list and as assessed against the criteria set out in Appendix G of the plan. Where permission is required, proposals will be permitted where they retain the signifi- cance, appearance, character or setting of a local heritage asset.	This is a minor change to policy which clari proposals. The modification would not cha plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
			Proposals for any works that would lead to harm or substantial harm to a non- designated heritage asset should be supported by detailed analysis of the asset that demonstrates the wider public benefit of the proposal.	
	180 & 181	Policy 63: Works to a heritage asset to address climate change	Amend text of Policy 63 to read: Proposals to enhance the environmental performance of heritage assets will be support- ed where a sensitive and hierarchical approach to design and specification ensures that the significance of the asset is not compromised by inappropriate interventions.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM149			Any works should be undertaken based on a thorough understanding of the building's historic evolution, its construction, architectural and historic significance, and demonstration of the building's environmental performance. Applications should be accompanied by an assessment of the building's current fabric and energy performance. For major <u>relevant</u> planning applications, details of post-construction monitoring in the form of a building monitoring and management strategy will be required to be submitted in order to assess the ongoing impact of the implemented measures on the asset's historic fabric. Monitoring requirements will be proportionate to the significance of the asset and the scale and scope of works undertaken . Where monitoring shows that interventions are causing harm to the significance of the asset, appropriate remediation works will be required.	
CC-MM150	181	Paragraph 7.30	Amend paragraph 7.30 to read: Due to the nature of construction of historic buildings, it would be difficult to match the performance of modern structures. However, vernacular design and traditional con- struction have evolved over time and deal with local conditions. Adaptive re-use of a building gives significant carbon savings in terms of embodied energy in the fabric of the building, so the focus will be on enhancing the performance of traditional buildings as much as practicable without damaging their significance. Minimal interven- tion will be required, along with assurance that the works do not harm the building's integrity or significance. Acceptable levels of intervention will vary dependent upon the impact on the significance of the heritage asset in gues- tion. Works should not harm the building's integrity or significance.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD, Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission of SA due to the minor nature of the modific

t which clarifies how applicants should on would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

arifies how applicants should evidence their hange the sustainability performance of the

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed n consultation and classified as not requiring ifications.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM151	181	Paragraph 7.31	Amend paragraph 7.31 to read: <u>Applications for works to heritage assets will need to</u> Planning applications will need to demonstrate a thorough understanding of the building in question via the submission of the following information:	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission o SA due to the minor nature of the modific
CC-MM152	184 & 185	Policy 67: Pro- tection of Open Space	 Amend text of Policy 67 to read: Development proposals will not be permitted which would harm the character of, or lead to the loss of, open space of environmental and/or recreational importance unless: a. the open space uses can be satisfactorily replaced in terms of quality, quantity and access with an equal or better standard than that which is proposed to be lost; and b. the re-provision is located within a short walk (400m) of the original site. In the case of school, college and university grounds, development may be permitted where it meets a demonstrable educational need and does not adversely affect playing fields or other formal sports provision on the site. Where replacement open space is to be provided in an alternative location, the replacement site/facility must be fully available for use before the area of open space to be lost can be redeveloped. 	
CC-MM153	185 & 186	Paragraph 7.44	Amend paragraph 7.44 to read: There is a clear presumption against the loss of open space of environmental or recrea- tional importance. However, there may be circumstances where development proposals can enhance the character, use and visual amenity of open space, and provide ancillary recreational facilities, such as changing facilities, or materially improve the recreational or biodiversity value of the site. In the case of school, college and university grounds, there might be a legitimate educational need that allows the potential for new educa- tional buildings on parts of the site that are not in playing field or other formal sports use and could not readily be used as such (e.g. small areas of amenity grassland sepa- rated from the main playing field). Such proposals will be determined on a case-by-case basis on their merits and how they conform to sustainable development. Only proposals that respect the character of these areas and improve amenity, enhance biodiversity, improve sports facilities or increase public access will be supported. Further guidance is included in the Council's Open Space and Recreation Strategy. Proposals should support relevant strategies, where possible, such as the Cambridgeshire Green Infrastructure Strategy. Any proposal involving the loss of open space must include an as- sessment (using the criteria listed in Appendix I) to determine the important aspects of the site that should be retained within the new development, in agreement with the Council. As part of any planning application, applicants will need to clearly demonstrate how the proposal will minimise its impact on the site's intrinsic qualities and where possible enhance the remaining part of the site. Due regard must also be given any potential impact on the character and wider setting of the site.	This is a minor change to supporting text wevidence their proposals. The modification performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed n consultation and classified as not requiring ifications.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

t which clarifies how applicants should on would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
	186 & 187	Policy 68: Open space and rec- reation provision through new development	Amend text of Policy 68 to read: All residential development proposals should contribute to the provision of open space and recreation sites/facilities on-site. The successful integration of open space into a proposed development should be considered early in the design process. The precise type of on-site provision required will depend on the size and location of the proposal and the existing open space provision in the area. Where there are deficiencies in certain types of open space provision in the area surrounding a proposed development, the Council will seek variations in the component elements to be provided by the developer in order to overcome them-provide the type of open space most needed . Requirements will be calculated using the Open Space and Recreations Standards (see Appendix I) and will have regard to the Council's adopted Open Space and Recreation	This is a minor change to policy which clari proposals. The modification would not cha plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM154			 Strategy-, Playing Pitch Strategy and Indoor Sports Facility Strategy. Alternative provision <u>off-site</u> of open space may be acceptable if the developer has entered into a planning obligation to make a financial or in kind contribution towards meeting the identified open space needs of a proposal off-site in the following circumstances: a. if the proposed development site would be is of insufficient size in itself to make the appropriate provision (in accordance with Appendix I) feasible within the site; 	
			 or, b. in exceptional circumstances, if taking into account the accessibility/capacity of existing open space sites/facilities and the circumstances of the surrounding area the open space needs of the proposed residential development can be met more appropriately by providing either new or enhanced provision off-site. Where appropriate, and subject to the Regulations in force at the time, the Council will seek to enter into a Section 106 agreement with the developer to implement the above, and for the future management and maintenance of the open space provision, before granting planning permission. 	
CC-MM155	188	Paragraph 7.53	Amend paragraph 7.53 to read: Proposals that require the delivery of open space through new development should ex- plain how the proposed on-site provision and off-site contributions comply with the Open Space and Recreation Standards (Appendix I), <u>Playing Pitch Strategy</u> , <u>Indoor Sports</u> <u>Facility Strategy</u> and the adopted Open Space and Recreation Strategy.	This is a minor change to supporting text v performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM156	188	New paragraph after paragraph 7.55	Insert new paragraph after paragraph 7.55: Where large windfall sites come forward which have not been accounted for in the Playing Pitch Strategy and the Indoor Sports Facility Strategy, applicants should provide a sports strategy (also known as a facilities development plan) setting out the details of specific facilities to be developed, the rationale and need for these. The process will involve consultation with Sport England and the relevant National Governing Bodies for sport.	This is a minor change to supporting text v evidence their proposals. The modification performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM157	188 - 190	Policy 69: Pro- tection of sites of local nature conservation importance	Amend text of Policy 69 to read: Policy 69: Protection of sites of local nature conservation biodiversity and geodi- versity importance In determining any planning application affecting a site of biodiversity or geo- diversity importance, dĐevelopment will be permitted if it will not have an adverse impact on, or lead to the loss of, part or all of a site a local nature reserve (LNR), a county wildlife site (CWS), or a city wildlife site (CiWS)-identified on the policies map. Regard must be had to the international, national or local status and des-	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b this is reported from page 455 onwards in the Secretary of State. This assessment fo on biodiversity. The modification does not change these co

arifies how applicants should evidence their hange the sustainability performance of the

the results of the SA.

t would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which clarifies how applicants should on would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, d below. This policy was subject to SA and in the Cambridge Final SA for Submission to t found the plan would have a positive effect

conclusions.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			ignation of the site and the nature and quality of the site's intrinsic features, including its rarity.	Screening conclusion: no change to the results
			Where development is permitted, proposals must include measures:	
			a. to minimise harm;	
			b. to secure achievable mitigation and/or compensatory measures; and	
			c. where possible enhance the nature conservation value of the site affected through habitat creation, linkage and management.	
			In exceptional circumstances, where the importance of the development outweighs the need to retain the site, adequate replacement habitat must be provided.	
			Any replacement habitat must be provided before development commences on any proposed area of habitat to be lost.	
	189	Insert two addi-	Insert paragraphs prior to paragraph 7.57 to read:	This is addressed in the assessment above.
		tional para- graphs prior to paragraph 7.57	In order to minimise impacts on biodiversity and geodiversity, paragraph 117 of the NPPF states that planning policies should identify and map components of the local ecological networks, including the hierarchy of international, na- tional and locally designated sites of importance for biodiversity, wildlife corri- dors and stepping stones that connect them and areas identified by local part- nerships for habitat restoration or creation; and aim to prevent harm to geolog- ical conservation interests.	
CC-MM158			Starting at the top of the hierarchy of sites of biodiversity and geodiversity importance, Cambridge does not currently have any sites of European importance for habitats and species. However, in line with paragraph 118 of the NPPF, any potential Ramsar sites, Special Protection Areas or Special Areas of Conservation would need to be given the same protection as European sites and permission would not normally be granted where there would be an adverse effect on these sites. Plans or projects which may have a likely significant effect on a European site will require appropriate assessment under the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended).	
	189	Paragraph 7.57	Insert sentences at the end of the paragraph 7.57 to read:	This is addressed in the assessment above.
CC-MM159			Within Cambridge, there are a number of nationally and locally recognised nature con- servation sites, which form an important element of the character and setting of the city. These sites include two Sites of Special Scientific Interest (SSSI), which are designated for their national biodiversity or geodiversity value. SSSIs are statutorily protected by their designation under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981 and the Natural Environ- ment and Rural Communities Act 2006. <u>Natural England will be consulted on any</u> <u>planning application in or adjacent to a SSSI. The Council will not normally</u> <u>grant permission for any proposal which causes significant harm to a SSSI.</u>	
	189	Paragraph 7.59	Amend paragraph 7.59 to read:	This is a minor change to supporting text which wou
CC-MM160	&190		The policy will ensure that development would only be supported where it can be ade- quately demonstrated that proposals will not have an adverse effect on biodiversity; and that, where required, suitable mitigation measures are acceptable and deliverable. In addition, the potential for the enhancement of the site and adjacent habitats should also be explored. Proposals on or adjacent to a site of local conservation importance should not be refused granted without proper consideration to ef the potential to enhance the designated site's biodiversity through enhanced management, habitat creation or the formation of new linkages with adjacent habitat areas.	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the results
	192 8	Policy 71: Trees	Amend text of Policy 71 to read:	This is a minor change to the policy which would no

the results of the SA.
ove.
ove.
t which would not change the sustainability
t which would not change the sustainability
ch would not change the sustainability

	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			(either now or in the foreseeable future) and potential root damage to trees of amenity or other value, unless there are demonstrable public benefits accruing from the proposal which clearly outweigh the current and future amenity value of the trees.	Screening conclusion: no change to th
			Development proposals should:	
			a. preserve, protect and enhance existing trees and hedges that have amenity value as perceived from the public realm;	
			b. provide appropriate replacement planting, where felling is proved neces- sary; and	
			c. provide sufficient space for trees and other vegetation to mature.	
			Particular consideration should be given to veteran or ancient trees, as defined by Natural England, in order to preserve their historic, ecological and amenity value.	
CC-MM162	193	New paragraph before para- graph 7.69	Proposed modification withdrawn:	This is a minor change to supporting text performance of the plan.
			Development will not be permitted which includes felling, significant surgery (either now or in the foreseeable future) and potential root damage to trees of	Screening conclusion: no change to th
			amenity or other value, unless there are demonstrable public benefits accruing from the proposal which clearly outweigh the current and future amenity value of the trees.	
Section Eight		nd local facilities		
	198	Policy 72: De-		This is a minor change to the policy which
	198		Amend title of table within Policy 72 to read:	
CC-MM163	198	velopment and change of use in	Uses suitable at ground floor level <u>on all floors</u> in centres and those not suitable at	performance of the plan.
CC-MM163	198	velopment and change of use in district, local and neighbour-		performance of the plan.
CC-MM163	198	velopment and change of use in district, local	Uses suitable at ground floor level on all floors in centres and those not suitable at ground floor level in centres	performance of the plan.
CC-MM163	198 200 - 201	velopment and change of use in district, local and neighbour- hood centres Policy 73: Com-	Uses suitable at ground floor level <u>on all floors</u> in centres and those not suitable at ground floor level in centres <i>Amend header row of table in Policy 72 to read:</i> Centre uses (uses suitable <u>on all floors</u> at ground floor level in centres) <i>Amend text from Policy 73 to read:</i>	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
	200 -	velopment and change of use in district, local and neighbour- hood centres	Uses suitable at ground floor level <u>on all floors</u> in centres and those not suitable at ground floor level in centres <i>Amend header row of table in Policy 72 to read:</i> Centre uses (uses suitable <u>on all floors</u> at ground floor level in centres)	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th This is a minor change to the policy which performance of the plan.
	200 -	velopment and change of use in district, local and neighbour- hood centres Policy 73: Com- munity, sports and leisure fa- cilities, third	Uses suitable at ground floor level on all floors in centres and those not suitable at ground floor level in centres Amend header row of table in Policy 72 to read: Centre uses (uses suitable on all floors at ground floor level in centres) Amend text from Policy 73 to read: Proposals for new and improved sports and leisure facilities will be supported where they improve the range, quality and access to facilities both within Cambridge and, where appropriate, in the sub-region. Proposals should have regard to the Playing Pitch Strategy and Indoor Sports Facility Strategy. This policy is relevant to a wide range of facilities from health clubs that serve parts of the city to leisure and sports pro- vision that serves the city and sub-region, such as a concert hall, community sports stadium and sports complex. In securing a suitable location for city-wide or sub- regional facilities, developers will be expected to demonstrate use of the sequential test	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th This is a minor change to the policy which
CC-MM164	200 - 201	velopment and change of use in district, local and neighbour- hood centres Policy 73: Com- munity, sports and leisure fa- cilities, third paragraph	Uses suitable at ground floor level on all floors in centres and those not suitable at ground floor level in centres Amend header row of table in Policy 72 to read: Centre uses (uses suitable on all floors at ground floor level in centres) Amend text from Policy 73 to read: Proposals for new and improved sports and leisure facilities will be supported where they improve the range, quality and access to facilities both within Cambridge and, where appropriate, in the sub-region. Proposals should have regard to the Playing Pitch Strategy and Indoor Sports Facility Strategy. This policy is relevant to a wide range of facilities from health clubs that serve parts of the city to leisure and sports provision that serves the city and sub-region, such as a concert hall, community sports stadium and sports complex. In securing a suitable location for city-wide or sub-regional facilities, developers will be expected to demonstrate use of the sequential test in considering sites for development. Insert new sentence at end of paragraph 8.11 (moved from the end of paragraph 8.14)	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the This is a minor change to the policy which performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the This is a minor change to supporting text of performance of the plan.
СС-ММ163 СС-ММ164 СС-ММ165	200 - 201	velopment and change of use in district, local and neighbour- hood centres Policy 73: Com- munity, sports and leisure fa- cilities, third paragraph	Uses suitable at ground floor level on all floors in centres and those not suitable at ground floor level in centres Amend header row of table in Policy 72 to read: Centre uses (uses suitable on all floors at ground floor level in centres) Amend text from Policy 73 to read: Proposals for new and improved sports and leisure facilities will be supported where they improve the range, quality and access to facilities both within Cambridge and, where appropriate, in the sub-region, Proposals should have regard to the Playing Pitch Strategy and Indoor Sports Facility Strategy. This policy is relevant to a wide range of facilities, from health clubs that serve parts of the city to leisure and sports provision that serves the city and sub-region, such as a concert hall, community sports stadium and sports complex. In securing a suitable location for city-wide or sub-regional facilities, developers will be expected to demonstrate use of the sequential test in considering sites for development. Insert new sentence at end of paragraph 8.11 (moved from the end of paragraph 8.14) to read: Community, sports and leisure facilities/sites perform an important role by stimulating and supporting social cohesion and interaction. It is therefore important that new and replacement facilities support new and existing communities, respectively. These should also facilitate the growth of the city by providing sufficient capacity to accommodate community need and demand. Wider sports and leisure facilities should also be	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th This is a minor change to the policy which performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th This is a minor change to supporting text w

t which would not change the sustainability the results of the SA.

ch would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ch would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			ing an appropriate and deliverable site for a community stadium within the sub- region. None was identified. However, subsequently the Councils have also worked together with Sport England to produce a Playing Pitch Strategy and an Indoor Sports Facilities Strategy for Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire to 2031 which has not identified any quantitative or qualitative need for a com- munity stadium.	
			There is current under provision of various sports facilities that a properly lo- cated and defined community stadium could contribute to, and wider sports and leisure facilities should also be considered in appropriate areas of major change or other locations.	
CC-MM167	204 - 205	Table 8.1: Definition of com- munity facilities	 Amend bullet point under section of Table 8.1 to read: Categories not included in the definition: Any facility on the Community Asset Register that is beyond the definition of a com- 	This is a minor change to supporting text performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
			 munity facility. The Community Asset Register is a list of assets subject to community 'right-to-bid' rules and is a separate process to the planning process University teaching accommodation, language schools and tutorial colleges, veterinary surgeries 	
			 Leisure sports and entertainment facilities, including arts and cultural facilities such as museums, performance venues and theatres Outdoor sports and children's play areas are addressed by open spaces and recreation policies in Section Seven 	
	208	Policy 75:	Specialist housing, including residential care homes and nursing homes are dealt with in Section Six Amend text of Policy 75 to read:	This is a minor change to policy which wo
CC-MM168		Healthcare facili- ties	New or enhanced healthcare facilities will be permitted if:a. the scale, range, quality and accessibility of healthcare facilities would be improved;b. they are located in the area they are expected to serve; and	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
			 c. where possible and appropriate they are co-located with complementary services. The Council will work with Local Commissioning Groups the relevant health organ- isations to provide high quality and convenient local health services in all parts of Cambridge, but particularly in areas of population growth. 	
			Planning permission will be granted for new primary healthcare facilities in locations accessible by road, by walking, by cycling and by public transport, where this will meet an existing deficiency, or support regeneration or new development.	
	208	Paragraph 8.35	Delete entire paragraph: Clinical Commissioning Groups (CCGs) are statutory bodies representing groups of GPs responsible for designing local health services in England. Every GP practice will need to be a member of a CCG. Local Commissioning Groups	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doc changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modificatio
CC-MM169			(LCGs) are smaller groups of GP practices with a focus on more local issues than the CCG. The Cambridgeshire and Peterborough CCG includes two LCGs responsible for patients in Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire.	

kt which would not change the sustainability the results of the SA. vould not change the sustainability the results of the SA.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.
Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM170	211	Policy 77: De- velopment and expansion of hotels	Amend all references of hotel(s) to visitor accommodation throughout policy 77, includ- ing the policy title, policy text and supporting text to read: Development and expansion of hotels <u>visitor accommodation</u>	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM171	211	Policy 77: De- velopment and expansion of hotels, Policy text paragraphs 1 & 2	 Amend text of Policy 77 to read: Proposals for high quality hotels visitor accommodation will be supported as part of mixed-use schemes at: a. Old Press/Mill Lane; b. key sites around Parker's Piece; c. land around Cambridge Station and the proposed new Station serving North East Cambridge (see Section Three); and d. any large windfall sites that come forward in the City Centre during the plan period. Proposals for small, high quality hotels visitor accommodation will also be supported in other City Centre locations, while larger high quality hotels beyond the City Centre may come forward in North West Cambridge and at Cambridge Biomedical Campus and Addenbrooke's Hospital) 	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM172	211	Policy 77: De- velopment and expansion of hotels, Policy text paragraph 3	Delete text from Policy 77: Aparthotels or serviced apartments (see glossary) will be treated as residential uses and affordable housing provision will be sought (see Policy 45). They will not be considered under this policy on hotels.	This is a minor change to policy which clari The modification would not change the sus Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM173	211	Policy 77: De- velopment and Expansion of Hotels, Policy text paragraph 4	Amend text from Policy 77 to read: New hotels visitor accommodation should be located on the frontages of main roads or <u>in</u> areas of mixed-use on or within walking distance of bus route corridors with good public transport accessibility.	This is a minor change to policy which wou performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM174	212	Paragraph 8.49	Amend paragraph 8.49 to read: The Cambridge Hotel Futures Study (as amended) identifies the aim of achieving a high quality and distinctive hotel offer in Cambridge City Centre in terms of national and international branded 4 star hotels, boutique hotels, good quality 3 star hotels and pos- sibly a 5 star hotel – through the upgrading and expansion of existing hotels and the conversion of suitable properties. Consequently, the policy seeks to ensure that any proposal is for a hotel of suitably high quality specification to meet the needs identified in the study. This can help ensure that any new hotels are towards the upper end of the spectrum of hotels.	This is a minor change to supporting text w performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM175	212	After paragraph 8.49	Insert new paragraphs below paragraph 8.49 to read: Visitor accommodation now takes many forms, ranging from traditional hotels, guesthouses and hostels to apart-hotels and serviced apartments. This policy and policy 78 applies to visitor accommodation within any of these (or similar) formats. The accommodation offered at apart-hotels and services apartments can take various forms. Some accommodation offered at apart-hotels and serviced apartments display characteristics associated with permanent, self-contained housing. Some is more akin to hotels, as a result of the type of services they provide, and, as such, may consequently result in different impacts to perma- nent housing. Apart-hotels and serviced apartments may therefore fall within	This is a minor change to supporting text w with. The modification would not change t Screening conclusion: no change to the

ould not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ould not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

arifies how apart-hotels will be dealt with. ustainability performance of the plan.

the results of the SA.

ould not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

t which clarifies how apartments will be dealt e the sustainability performance of the plan.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			the C1, C3 Use Classes or be a sui generis use, depending on their characteris- tics, such as (amongst others):	
			 presence of on-site staff/management presence of reception, bar and/or restaurant 	
			 provision of cleaning and administrative services ownership or other tenure of units and/or ability to sell or lease on the open market 	
			• <u>minimum/maximum lease lengths.</u> <u>Developers will be required to provide full details of the nature of the accom-</u>	
			modation to be provided and the proposed terms of occupation when submit- ting a planning application for new apart-hotels and serviced apartments.	
			Where proposals for apart-hotels or serviced apartments are considered to fall within C3 use Class proposals or comprise sui generis uses which have the characteristics of a C3 use, such proposals will be assessed via relevant housing policies in the Local Plan.	
			For proposals within the C1 Use Class, or which comprise sui generis uses with	
			the characteristics of a C1 use, those proposals will be considered against this policy and other relevant policies of the Plan concerning visitor accommoda- tion. The Council will take steps to ensure that apart-hotel and serviced apart- ment units approved for use as visitor accommodation would not be used or	
			occupied as permanent households, including students, or as permanent dwell- ings. This may include the imposition of conditions to ensure maximum lengths of stay (typically 90 days) and a restriction on return visits.	
	212	Paragraph 8.50	Delete paragraphs 8.50 & 8.51:	See above
CC-MM176		& 8.51	A new generation of serviced-accommodation that combines an element of self- catering with some hotel style service is causing a blurring of the boundaries between uses in planning terms.	
			These types of premises are generally intended to service extended stay corpo- rate and university markets. They may, however, let units for shorter stays to business and leisure markets.	
	211	velopment or	Amend all references of hotel(s) and guesthouse(s) to visitor accommodation throughout policy 78, including the policy title, policy text and supporting text to read:	This is a minor change to policy which w performance of the plan.
		loss of hotels	Redevelopment or loss of hotels visitor accommodation	Screening conclusion: no change to
			Development will not be permitted which would result in the loss of existing hotels and guesthouses visitor accommodation (as defined within the supporting text to policy 77) within the City Centre and along bus route corridors with good public transport accessibility unless the use is no longer viable.	
CC-MM177			Applications for change of use will need to demonstrate that:	
			 a. all reasonable efforts have been made to preserve the facility but it has been proven that it would not be economically viable to retain the hotel or guest- house visitor accommodation in its current form; and 	
			 b. the property or site has been appropriately marketed for at least 12 months in order to confirm that there is no interest in the property or site for hotel or guesthouse visitor accommodation use. 	
	213	Paragraph 8.52	Amend paragraph 8.52 to read:	This is a minor change to supporting te
CC-MM178			While some of the requirement for new hotel bedrooms <u>visitor accommodation</u> in the City Centre can be met through the repositioning and upgrading of existing City Centre hotels <u>visitor accommodation</u> , there is likely to be a requirement for further sites	performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to

would not change the sustainability

to the results of the SA.

text which would not change the sustainability

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			or conversion opportunities to fully satisfy the identified market opportunities.	
CC-MM179	213	Paragraph 8.53	Amend paragraph 8.53 to read: With limited identifiable sites for new-build hotel <u>visitor accommodation</u> development in the City Centre, the conversion of suitable properties looks likely to provide the most realistic way to deliver the required new hotels <u>visitor accommodation</u> in the City Centre.	This is a minor change to supporting text v performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM180	213	Paragraph 8.54	Amend paragraph 8.54 to read: However, where the case can be made that the hotel <u>visitor accommodation</u> is not and cannot be made viable with investment, its loss may be acceptable. Evidence would be required, in terms of marketing and viability of existing uses. The preference is for conversion to residential use.	This is a minor change to supporting text v performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
Section Nine:	Providing	the infrastructure 1	to support development	
CC-MM181	219	Paragraph 9.8	Insert sentence at the end of paragraph 9.8 to read: Developers will be required to ensure the provision of such services from first occupation of the development for a period of up to five years, where this is a viable option for the development in terms of practicality, convenience and cost. <u>In some instances, the</u> <u>build out rate of development may be longer than five years with a consequen-</u> <u>tial need to provide bus services for a longer period of time.</u>	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do- changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM182	222	Paragraph 9.18	Amend paragraph 9.18 to read: New development often brings with it the need for new transport and more pressure on the transport network is a common consequence of this. Any additional strain on the transport network as a result of new development needs to be appropriately assessed by the council and Cambridgeshire County Council as the highways authority and mitigated.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do- changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM183	223	After paragraph 9.22	Add new paragraph after paragraph 9.22: Any development that will require regular loading or servicing must avoid caus- ing illegal or dangerous parking, by providing appropriate off-street facilities.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM184	228	Policy 85: Infra- structure deliv- ery, planning obligations and the Com- munity Infra- structure Levy, final paragraph	Amend text of Policy 85 to read: The introduction of CIL in 2014 will be accompanied by a review of the Council's guid- ance on planning obligations, and will ensure that the range and level of contributions towards local infrastructure needs are kept up to date and maximised in the context of emerging CIL practice and guidance. The guidance on planning obligations will be adopted alongside a CIL charging schedule and a CIL Regulation 123 list. The- se documents will clarify what infrastructure types/projects the Council will seek to fund via CIL and what infrastructure types/projects the Council will seek to secure via planning obligations.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification

Appendix A: The development plan for Cambridge

t which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

which would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM185	237	Paragraph A.1	 Amend paragraph A.1 to read: On adoption of this plan, the official statutory development plan for Cambridge comprises: Cambridge Local Plan 2014; Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Minerals and Waste Local Development Framework-Core Strategy (July 2011); and Site Specific Proposals Plan (February 2012) Development Plan Documents; Cambridge East Area Action Plan (excluding policies CE/3 and CE/35, which are replaced by Policy 12: Cambridge East); and North West Cambridge Area Action Plan. The Cambridge Local Plan 2006 is deleted in its entirety and no longer forms part of the development plan. 	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doo changes following proposed submission con due to the minor nature of the modification

Appendix B: Proposals schedule

CC-MM186 CC-MM187	241	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule: Site GB1: Land north of Worts' Causeway Appendix B: Proposals Schedule Site GB2: Land south of Worts' Causeway		2: Proposals Sci Addre	hedule to read	:	9 hectares). Amend site Area (ha) 6.8-7.7	details	The latest assessment of this site is that c Cambridgeshire Local Plans SA Addendum & Summary Results (March 2016). Due to the change in the site area to exc the site could have different sustainabilit assessed. Screening conclusion: Potential chang quires re-assessment / reporting. The latest assessment of this site is that co Cambridgeshire Local Plans SA Addendum & Summary Results (March 2016). This an Newbury Farm to the site. However, this p the distance to primary education. The orig 800m from the site. The revised proforma change was wrong, as there will not be a p changes made in relation to the distance to teenagers. Screening conclusion: Potential chang which requires re-assessment / report
CC-MM188	241	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule: Site GB2: Land south of Worts' Causeway	Amend dwellin Capacity 230 dwelling 45 dph 34 dph		e to reflect cha	nge in site area	:		See CC-MM187

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

contained in the report Cambridge and South m Report Annex 1 Site Assessment Proformas

xclude the County Wildlife Site it is likely that ility effects than previously so should be re-

ige to the results of the SA which re-

contained in the report Cambridge and South m Report Annex 1 Site Assessment Proformas amended pro-forma added in the land area of s pro-forma contains an error in relation to original had it scored red, as the school is over na said green, assumed provision on site. This a primary school on site. There are also to 'nearest play space for children and

nge to the results of the SA for site GB2 orting.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM189	241	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule: Site GB1: Land north of Worts' Causeway and Site GB2: Land south of Worts' Causeway	Amend provisional issues for both sites GB1 and GB2 to read: Consider on site community and service provision, jointly with GB1/GB2 o <u>Contributions should be made towards improved community facili-</u> ties and services in this part of the city.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission of SA due to the minor nature of the modific
CC-MM190	241 - 253	Footnote ¹ to Appendix B: Proposals Schedule (in header line and underneath ta- ble on page 241)	Amend text to read: Approximate number based on initial assessment in Strategic Housing Land Availability Assessment (SHLAA); final number may be greater or smaller depending on detailed assessment and detailed design. For mixed use sites, the uses and figures guoted should be regarded as giving a broad indication of the council's expec- tations.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission of SA due to the minor nature of the modific
CC-MM191	243	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule Site R10: Mill Road Depot and adjoining prop- erties, Mill Road	 Amend text to read: Abuts Within Mill Road Opportunity Area Contamination requires remediation Retain listed library building Open space requirements to reflect location in an area of open space deficiency Potential location for district energy centre Site will need careful review of highway access Vehicular access to be from Mill Road only, subject to detailed testing Provide room for the Chisholm Trail Range of housing typologies to be provided across the site The site promoters will be expected to prepare a planning and development brief for the site demonstrating how development will successfully integrate with the existing residential area as well as addressing the constraints and opportunities of the site. Scale, massing and density considerations in the design and disposition of new and existing housing will be expected to have regard to the character of the existing area. 	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doo changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM192	244	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule, Site R12 Ridgeons, 75 Cromwell Road	 Amend text to read: Contamination requires remediation and may limit type of housing Open space requirements to reflect location in an area of open space deficiency Primary Aaccess onto Cromwell Road, subject to detailed testing Within the air quality management area Range of housing typologies to be provided across the site The site promoters will be expected to prepare a planning and development brief for the site demonstrating how development will successfully integrate with the existing residential area as well as addressing the constraints and opportunities of the site. Scale, massing and density considerations in the design and disposition of new and existing housing will be ex- 	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doo changes following proposed submission con due to the minor nature of the modification

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed n consultation and classified as not requiring ifications.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed n consultation and classified as not requiring ifications.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA cions.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			pected to have regard to the character of the existing area.	
			Under planning status, amend reference to Local Plan 2006 allocation. Should read ' <u>5.14'</u> not ' 5.15'.	
	245	Site R17, Mount Pleasant House, Mount Pleasant, Appendix B:	Amend text of Site R17 to read: Capacity:	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge Lo ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that the lates
		Proposals Schedule		in the report Cambridge and South Cambrid Annex 1 Site Assessment Proformas & Sum
			50 dwellings 88 dph	assessment made the assumption that the accommodation. The site's proposed use h
CC-MM193			270 student rooms	and a new site assessment proforma has be account. This is contained in Appendix 1 of not changed significantly. The main change educational facilities and employment centra accommodation. This means that the site
СС-ММ193				capacity in the area is not sufficient meaning site were developed for residential developed number of Colleges of the University of Can accommodation is positive, given the locati would have less negative effects on the lever residential accommodation and supports an This allocation assists the Council in meeting city.
				Screening conclusion: insignificant ch the fact that the site use has changed referenced report.
	247	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule	Amend text to read:	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doo changes following proposed submission cor
			Capacity: 1,780 <u>1,696</u> dwellings	due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM194		Site R43: NIAB <u>1_Land between</u> <u>Huntingdon</u> <u>Road and Histon</u> <u>Road</u>		
	247	Appendix B: Proposals	Insert in Capacity column:	The modification could potentially cause chat the results of the SA have been validated b
		Schedule	B1 (a) and B1 (b) employment, 156 dwellings, and retail uses	that contained in the report Cambridge and Addendum Report Annex 1 Site Assessmen 2016). This assessment had already ackno
CC-MM195		Site R44: Bet- jeman House		retail is not significant. This also has been allows for retail in this area. Therefore, the sustainability performance of the plan.
				Screening conclusion: no change to the

ct to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

est assessment of this site is that contained ridgeshire Local Plans SA Addendum Report mmary Results (March 2016). The site he site was to be developed for residential has now changed to student accommodation been completed taking this change into of the above report. The assessment has nge is that the distance to play and ntres is not applicable for student performs slightly more positively as school ning that mitigation would be required if the opment. The site lies in close proximity to a ambridge. Its potential use for student ational benefits for existing Colleges. This evel of use of the local highway network than an important sector of the local economy. ing other known development needs in the

changes to the site performance due to ed. Please see Appendix 1 of the above

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge occument (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ons.

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, I below. The latest assessment of this site is nd South Cambridgeshire Local Plans SA ent Proformas & Summary Results (March nowledged employment uses and the area of en tested as part of the SA of policy 20, which the modification would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM196	247	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule Site R44: Bet- jeman House	 Insert in provisional issues identified column: Not applicable Development adjacent to Grade II* historic park and garden at Cambridge University Botanic Gardens needs careful consideration. Regard should be had to structures on site, which by virtue of their character, quality and location, make a positive contribution to the character and appearance of the conservation area. 	See above
CC-MM197	249	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule Site R21: 315- 349 Mill Road and Brookfields	Amend Capacity to read: 128 78 dwellings 46 60 dph	The latest assessment of this site is that of Cambridgeshire Local Plans SA Addendum & Summary Results (March 2016). Due to the changes to the site size and us ent sustainability effects than previously so Screening conclusion: Potential chan quires re-assessment / reporting.
CC-MM198	250	Appendix B: proposals Schedule Site M13: West Cambridge Site	Remove text from the existing uses column within Appendix B relating to Site M13 West Cambridge Site: Agricultural, University and research institutes	This is a minor factual modification and we performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
СС-ММ199	253	Site U1 Old Press/Mill Lane, Appendix B: Proposals Schedule	Amend text of Site U1 to read: Capacity: Up to 150 dwellings, Student accommodation: Indicative capacity of 350 stu- dent rooms* up to 6,000 sq m commercial use, up to 75 bedroom hotel and up to 1,000 sq m other uses * The indicative capacity of this site is subject to detailed testing, including consideration of the site's constraints, particularly the historic environment.	This modification has already been subject Proposed Modifications to the Cambridge L ing (January 2017). The screening in 2017 stated that The late in the report Cambridge and South Cambri Annex 1 Site Assessment Proformas & Sun assessment made the assumption that the uses. The site's proposed use has now cha clarify the commercial use, hotel use and c assessment proforma has been completed contained in Appendix 1 of this report. The The main change is a more positive score commercial uses. The site lies in close pro: University of Cambridge. Its potential use given the locational benefits for existing Co effects on the level of use of the local high accommodation and supports an important allocation assists the Council in meeting ot Screening conclusion: insignificant co the fact that the site use has change mentioned report.

t contained in the report Cambridge and South m Report Annex 1 Site Assessment Proformas

uses it is likely that the site could have differso should be re-assessed.

ange to the results of the SA which re-

would not change the sustainability

the results of the SA.

ect to SA screening as part of RD/CFM/020: e Local Plan – Sustainability Appraisal Screen-

atest assessment of this site is that contained bridgeshire Local Plans SA Addendum Report Summary Results (March 2016). The site the site was to be developed for university thanged to student accommodation, and to d other uses for the site so a new site ed taking this change into account. This is The assessment has not changed significantly. re for employment as the site will now provide proximity to a number of Colleges of the use for student accommodation is positive, Colleges. This would have less negative ghway network than residential ant sector of the local economy. This other known development needs in the city.

changes to the site performance due to ged. Please see Appendix 1 of the above

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM200	252	Appendix B: Proposals Schedule Site U3; Grange Farm off Wilber- force Road	 Amend provisional issues identified to read: Surface water flood risk would require careful mitigation; Access arrangements would require careful mitigation-; Site contains features of biodiversity importance. Development will only be permitted where it can be adequately demonstrated that proposals will not have an adverse impact on biodiversity. 	The modification could potentially cause ch the results of the SA have been validated b that contained in the report Cambridge and dendum Report Annex 1 Site Assessment F 2016). This assessment reported that the site s criteria with need for mitigation highlighte conclusions. Screening conclusion: no c
Appendix C: D	Designation	is schedule		
CC-MM201	257	Appendix C: Designations Schedule	Amend text to read: <u>The schedules as listed below are all shown on the Policies Map, except for the</u> <u>List of Protected Public Houses.</u> Other important schedules relevant to plan- ning include listed buildings, scheduled monuments, and registered parks and gardens. The maintenance of these schedules is not within the council's re- sponsibility, but they can be found by contacting Historic England. Not all Parks and Gardens identified in the Council's Designation Schedule are included on the national Register of Parks and Gardens. It should be noted that a number of Parks and Gardens also fall within the Council's Protected Open Space designa- tion as Parks and Gardens.	This is a minor change to supporting inforn sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM202	272	Appendix C: Designations Schedule, Site P&G22	Replace P&G22 Coldham's Common with NAT44 Coldham's Common	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM203	276	Appendix C: Designations Schedule - List of protected public houses (Policy 76)	Delete PH009 The Ranch	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ S Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Docu changes following proposed submission cor due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM204	276	Appendix C: Designations Schedule - List of protected public houses (Policy 76)	Delete PH019 The Rosemary Branch	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM205	276	Appendix C: Designations Schedule - List of protected public houses (Policy 76)	Delete PH025 Penny Ferry	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM206	277	Appendix C: Designations Schedule - List of protected public houses (Policy 76)	Delete PH066 The Zebra	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

changes to the results of the SA. Therefore, d below. The latest assessment of this site is and South Cambridgeshire Local Plans SA Adat Proformas & Summary Results (March

scores amber in relation to the biodiversity nted. The modification does not change these change to the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

0/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge ocument (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM207	278	Appendix C: Designations Schedule - List of protected public houses (Policy 76)	Add PH105 The Pint Shop 10 Peas Hill Market	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM208	278	Appendix C: Designations Schedule - List of protected public houses (Policy 76)	Add PH106 The Old Bicycle Shop 104 Regent Street Peas Hill Market	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
Appendix D: S	outhern Fri	nge Area Develop	ment Framework	
CC-MM209	281	Appendix D: Southern Fringe Area Develop- ment Frame- work, paragraph D.1, first para- graph	Amend text to read: This appendix is based on the Southern Fringe Area Development Framework (ADF) document produced by Cambridge City Council in 2006. <u>The wording of this appen-</u> <u>dix is predominantly based on the 2006 document, but updated slightly where</u> <u>it is appropriate to do so. It has been included in the local plan to reiterate the</u> <u>council's support for the Framework's content (as updated) and to ensure its</u> <u>status is strengthened by virtue of it being included in a local plan.</u> This appen- dix will help direct the preparation of future planning applications and the planning of	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
			services and infrastructure. The vision for this area is:	
Appendix F: T	all building	s and the skyline	services and infrastructure. The vision for this area is:	
Appendix F: T	all building 309	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and The Skyline, F.4	Amend text to read: Cambridge has not experienced pressure for exceptionally tall structures as in larger cities such as London, Birmingham and Manchester. However, it does face pressure for buildings that, at between five and ten residential storeys are taller than the pre- vailing built form across the city at between five and ten residential storeys. How- ever, it does face pressure for buildings that, at between five and ten residen- tial storeys, are taller than the prevailing built form across the city. Given the relatively modest scale of buildings in Cambridge, this increased height has the potential to impact on both the immediate and wider skyline.	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
	-	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and	Amend text to read: Cambridge has not experienced pressure for exceptionally tall structures as in larger cities such as London, Birmingham and Manchester. However, it does face pressure for buildings that, at between five and ten residential storeys are taller than the pre- vailing built form across the city at between five and ten residential storeys. How- ever, it does face pressure for buildings that, at between five and ten residen- tial storeys, are taller than the prevailing built form across the city. Given the relatively modest scale of buildings in Cambridge, this increased height has the	sustainability performance of the plan.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

ormation which would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			is not a tall building. It is clearly the case that a ten storey building in a mainly two storey neighbourhood will be thought of as a tall building by those affect- ed, whereas in the centre of a large city it may not."	
			<u>"What might be considered a tall building will vary according to the nature of the local area. A ten-storey building in a mainly two-storey neighbourhood will be thought of as a tall building by those affected, whereas in the centre of a large city it may not."</u>	
CC-MM213	311	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and The Skyline, F.10	 Amend text to read: <u>An appraisal of the existing characteristics of the Cambridge skyline is set out</u> <u>below. On the basis of that information:</u> Within the historic core, <u>as identified on Figure F.1</u>, building <u>proposals</u> of six storeys or more (assuming a flat roof with no rooftop plant and a height of 19m above ground level) would automatically trigger the need to address the criteria set out within the guidance. However, dependent on the exact location within the historic core, buildings of four to six storeys may also need to be evaluated against the assessment criteria herein, due to proximity to heritage assets and potential impacts on key views. Within the suburbs, buildings of four storeys and above (assuming a flat roof with no rooftop plant and a height of 13m above ground level) will automatically trigger the need to address the criteria set out within the guidance. 	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM214	313	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and The Skyline, F.20, criterion (d)	 Amend text to read: d. Views from the west: Madingley Road (intermittently); Red Meadow Hill – open panoramic views of the city are afforded from Red Meadow Hill within Coton Countryside Reserve; and Barton Road, east of the junction 12 of the M11. <u>Coton footpath, and</u> <u>M11 between junction 12 and junction 13</u> 	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM215	316	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and The Skyline, F.31	Amend text to read: Tall buildings have the potential to act as positive landmarks that aid legibility and make it easier for people to orientate themselves around the city. Appropriate 'conditions' for a tall building could, for example, include local nodes, key city street junctions, the ends of important vistas, and in and around principal transport junctions. <u>However, this ap- proach would not generally be appropriate within the Historic Core due to the higher level of sensitivity in this area resulting from the presence of a signifi- cant number of heritage assets.</u>	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM216	317	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and The Skyline, Criterion 2: His- torical Impact	Amend text to read: Criterion 2<u>b</u>: Historical i Impact <u>on the historic environment</u>	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM217	317	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and The Skyline, F.34	Amend text to read: Applicants need to refer to the Cambridge Historic Core Appraisal, the various current conservation area appraisals and suburbs and approaches studies for Cambridge. These documents provide detailed assessments of the parts of the city in respect of history, urban form, character, key buildings and views, among others. Any application that re- sults in potential harm to impacts on heritage assets needs to be accompanied by a separate heritage statement or address such issues within the design and access state-	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th

ormation which would not change the the results of the SA. ormation which would not change the the results of the SA. ormation which would not change the the results of the SA. ormation which would not change the the results of the SA. ormation which would not change the the results of the SA.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			ment, dependent on the scale of the impact.	
	317	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and	Amend text to read: In summary, tall building proposals which have the potential to impact on the setting	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan.
CC-MM218	CC-MM218 The Skyline, F.35		and significance of heritage assets will need to demonstrate and quantify the impact on the heritage asset, be it a listed building, scheduled monument, conservation area, registered historic park and garden and non-designated heritage assets, including but not limited to buildings of local interest.	
CC-MM219	318	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and The Skyline, F.41	Amend text to read: Tall buildings should be good neighbours. Careful consideration must be given to the design of any new tall building to ensure neighbouring properties are not adversely af- fected due to the loss of aspect, outlook or privacy (overlooking), loss of daylight and sunlight to adjacent properties, overshadowing of gardens and the public realm , noise, or any other relevant amenity.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Doo changes following proposed submission cor due to the minor nature of the modification
	322	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and	Insert new Figure F.1 to show Cambridge Historic Core Area See amended Figure F.1 overleaf.	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan.
CC-MM220		The Skyline: Insert new Fig- ure F.1		Screening conclusion: no change to the

rmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ions.

rmation which would not change the





Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM221	322	Buildings and The Skyline:	Amend text to read: F. ±2 Existing Landmark Buildings See amended Figure F.2 below.	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

rmation which would not change the





Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM222	323	Appendix F: Tall Buildings and The Skyline: Figure F.2 To- pography and Strategic View- points	Figure F. 23 Topography and Strategic Viewpoints Insert new viewpoints from Coton footpath, and M11 between junction 12 and junction 13. See amended Figure F.3 below.	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

prmation which would not change the





	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
Appendix G: Loc	cal heritag	e assets criteria a	and list	
CC-MM223	327	Appendix G: Local Heritage Assets Criteria and List, G.3, insert new crite- rion after criteri- on (h)	Insert new criterion i after criterion h to read: i) designed landscapes - relating to the interest attached to locally important designed landscapes, parks and gardens.	This is a minor change to supporting information sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
	328 -	Appendix G: Local Heritage Assets Criteria and List List of Local Heritage Assets	Add following sites to list as they have met the criteria for local heritage assets: All Souls Lane – Mortuary Chapel of All Souls; Buckingham Road – Blackfriars; Chaucer Road – No. 6, Dalberg; Chaucer Road – No. 6, Dalberg; Chaucer Road – No. 6, Dalberg; Chaucer Road – Songe Court and Manor Court, Pinehurst; Eden Street – No. 68; Grange Road – Grange Court and Manor Court, Pinehurst; Hemingford Road – Romsey Mill; Market Passade – Baroosh Bar; Newnham Walk, Principal's Lodge; Northampton Street – The Punter; Parkside – Parkside Community College St Barnabas Road – The Old School, Rear of St Barnabas Church; Thompson's Lane – La Mimosa; Trumpington Street – Hobson Building, St Catharine's College; Trumpington Street – Mood Dark Building, St Catharine's College; Amend the names and addresses of the following sites to better reflect the location and k Downing College – Baker, and Scott Buildings and Chapel (was listed as Baker Building; Elm Street – Nos. 8e 1 to 8 consecutive (was listed as No. 8a); Emmanuel Road – Cambridge Unitarian Memorial Church (was listed as Sinclair Building, Anglia Ruskin University (was listed as Sinclair B ty); Willis Road – No. 1 - 23 25 (odd) (was listed as No. 1 - 25 (odd)). Delete following sites from list as they have met the criteria for statutory listing: Fraser Road – St. George's Church; Hill Lane – The Graduate Centre; Hill Road – No. 51, 23 25 (odd) (was listed as Nos. 1 - 25 (odd)). Delete the following sites as the building has been demolished: Belvoir Terrace, Trumpington Road – No. 6, Vine Cottage; Hill Road – Nos 172 – 174; Parkside – Fire Station; Hill Road – Nos. 5. 	

Appendix I: Open space and recreation standards

rmation which would not change the

he results of the SA.

D/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge ocument (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA ons.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
CC-MM225	355	Appendix I: Open Space and Recreation Standards, par- agraph I.2	Amend text to read: Policy 68 requires that all residential developments should <u>contribute to the make</u> provision—for <u>of</u> open space and <u>recreation sites/sports</u> -facilities in accordance with the Council's Open Space and Recreation Standards— <u>as set out below.</u> , <u>the</u> <u>Playing</u> <u>Pitch Strategy and the Indoor Sports Facility Strategy</u> . The Council's Open Space and Recreation Strategy provides further detail on the justification for these standards and includes guidance on accessibility and quality— <u>It also includes further guidance</u> <u>as to when open space should be provided on site, and when it should be pro-</u> <u>vided through commuted payments</u> . Table I.1 sets out the standards for different types of open space and recreation provision. They apply to all schemes for new residen- tial developments and the requirement is based on the net number of residents accom- modated in the new development.	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM226	355	Appendix I: Open Space and Recreation Standards, after paragraph I.2	Insert new paragraph after paragraph I.2: The Playing Pitch Strategy and Indoor Sports Facility Strategy take into ac- count planned growth to 2031. However, there will be instances where large windfall sites come forward which have not been accounted for in the strate- gies. Where this is the case, applicants should provide a sports strategy (also known as a facilities development plan) setting out the details of specific facili- ties to be developed, the rationale and need for these. The process will involve consultation with Sport England and the relevant National Governing Bodies for sport.	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM227	355	Table I.1: Open Space and Rec- reation Stand- ards	The definition of informal open space should be amended to read: Informal provision, including recreation grounds, parks and, natural greenspaces and, in town centres or urban locations, usable, high quality, public hard surfaces. *If on site, a deduction from Community Infrastructure Levy liability will be made. In addition to deleting the sentence under Table I.1 with the asterix, the asterix should be removed from the fourth column, second and third rows. + <u>Subject to corporate approval of these</u> delivery mechanisms- Indicative, and subject to the adoption of the Community Infrastructure Levy and associated Regulation 123 list	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM228	356 - 357	Appendix I: Open Space and Recreation Standards, par- agraphs I.3 to I.7	 Amend text to read: Outdoor sports facilities The 1.2 hectares per 1,000 people standard is an amalgamation of standards for different sports, based on team generation rates and current provision. This comprises: grass <u>outdoor</u> pitches for football, <u>hockey</u>, cricket and rugby: 1.1 hectares per 1,000 people; artificial turf pitches: 1 floodlit pitch (0.9 hectares) per 25,000 people; tennis <u>courts</u> /multi-use games areas (MUGAs) / bowling greens: 0.1 hectares per 1,000 people 	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

ormation which would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			per 3,000 people; and	
			 1 bowling green (0.14 hectares) per 11,000 people. 	
			Grass Outdoor pitches, including Artificial Turf Pitches (ATP)	
			This <u>standard</u> is based on <u>the findings of the latest Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire Playing Pitch Strategy. The standard is indicative. The de- livery of on-site outdoor playing pitches should be made with regard to the most recently adopted Playing Pitch Strategy. Artificial turf pitches will also meet other needs, including football training. Floodlighting is essential on public artificial turf pitches, and changing rooms, toilets and storage should be provided. existing team generation rates with an allowance of 15 per cent to cater for increased demand. It comprises 0.88 hectares per 1,000 people for football, 0.14 hectare per 1,000 peo- ple for cricket and 0.1 hectares per 1,000 people for rugby. Artificial turf pitches should be planned through the Playing Pitch Strategy mecha- nism.</u>	
			Artificial turf pitches (ATPs)	
			I.5 This is based on the existing team generation rates for hockey, which is almost entirely played on ATPs, with an allowance for increased de- mand. The <u>se</u> pitches will also meet other needs, including football train- ing. Floodlighting is essential on public ATP pitches, and changing rooms, toilets and storage should be provided.	
			Tennis courts/MUGAs <u>/Bowling Greens</u>	
			I.6 The provision of outdoor community tennis courts should be on a multi-court basis, which helps facilitate the development of clubs. The standard of three tennis courts (0.18 hectares) per 3,000 population is based on the catchment population required to generate sufficient regular tennis activity. MUGA sites should vary in form based on local need. Bowling greens should consider providing ancillary facilities to support play and competitions. Provision for all types of outdoor sports areas should be well related in geographical terms to the population is it intended to serve and is best located in areas which are also the focus for other sports and recreational activity.	
			Bowling greens	
			I.7 The standard is based on the existing level of provision of one outdoor bowling green for every 11,000 people. New provision should be well re- lated in geographical terms to the population is it intended to serve. Most housing development sites within the existing built-up area of Cambridge are too small to be able to make much contribution to the provision of outdoor sports facilities on site. Accordingly, it is likely that provision will be in the form of commuted payments.	
CC-MM229	357	Appendix I:	Amend text to read:	No SA required Submitted as part of:
		Open Space and	The main components of formal indoor facilities are swimming pools and sports halls.	Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission

of: RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge ion Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
		Recreation Standards, par- agraph I.8	The standard consists of: • one swimming pool for every 50,000 people; and • one sports hall for every 13,000 people. The standard is based on existing and committed provision of swimming pools and sports halls. These will meet demand, but there is little spare capacity. All new developments should therefore contribute through commuted payments based on the provision of new sports halls and swimming pool.	changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM230	360	Appendix I: Open Space and Recreation Standards, par- agraph I.10	Amend text to read: This reflects existing provision. Provision for new allotments should be made in the ex- isting built-up area of the city and for urban extensions. Where new residential devel- opment is brought forward in the existing built-up area of the city, it is recognised that it would be difficult to achieve full provision against the standards in a densely developed area. In the absence of sufficient land for new allotments, monies should be paid to- wards the enhancement of existing allotment sites. The council should-may also seek to identify land which might be brought forward for new allotments in areas of deficiency.	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
Appendix K: N	larketing, l	local needs assess	ment and viability appraisal	
CC-MM231	371	Appendix K: Marketing, Local Needs and Via- bility Appraisal, paragraph K.8	Criterion d of paragraph K.8 should be amended to read: <u>unless previously agreed in writing with the council as a local planning authori-</u> <u>ty</u> , the marketing exercise should be sufficiently thorough and use all available forms of advertising media and therefore include as a minimum:	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification
CC-MM232	373	Appendix K: Marketing, Local Needs Assess- ment and Viabil- ity Appraisal, before para- graph K.9	New heading and paragraph to be inserted after the heading "Specific requirements cor- responding to site/land use" and before the heading "Community facilities (not public houses/public house sites)" and paragraph K.9: Specialist housing The asking price/rental charge should be pre-agreed in writing with the local planning authority following independent valuation (funded by the developer) by a professional Royal Institution of Chartered Surveyors (RICS) valuer with expertise in the specialist housing sector and who is not engaged to market the property. Specialist housing can take different forms as indicated in paragraph 6.16, and it is important that the marketing exercise is not restricted to only one form of specialist housing. Consequently, the marketing exercise should be aimed at potential occupiers being from any one of the forms of development detailed in paragraph 6.16.	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM233	374	Appendix K: Marketing, Local Needs and Via- bility Appraisal, paragraph K.13	Amend text to read: Both freehold and leasehold options should be made available without a 'tie' requiring the purchase of drinks through the vendor and without restrictive covenants that would otherwise prevent re-use as a public house such that other pub operators, brew- eries, local businesses or community groups wishing to take over the premises and trade it as a pub are not excluded.	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM234	376	Appendix K: Marketing, Local Needs and Via- bility Appraisal, paragraph K.18	Amend text to read: Applicants should note the following in terms of carrying out community consultation as part of an independent local needs assessment. The consultation exercise should: a. <u>take all reasonable steps to</u> notify all residents, businesses and resideneets'	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th

consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

ormation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			associations (in order to take account of employees who might visit the pub) with- in a 400m radius of the public house site about the relevant proposal;	
			b. gather all the opinions and comments of all consultees on the loss of the existing or former public house facility. The results of this exercise shall be submitted to the local planning authority as part of the application submission; and	
			c. complete the consultation at least six months before any related planning applica- tion is formally submitted.	
			All reasonable steps would include local advertisement, provision of a site no- tice, and a letter drop to all addresses within a 400 metre radius of the site.	
Appendix L: C	ar and cycle	e parking requirer	nents	I
CC-MM235	386	After table L.9, Appendix L	Amend sub-heading to read: Residential Cycle parking standards <u>(for both residential and non-residential)</u>	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
	386 & 387	Appendix L, Prior to and including Para- graph L.16	Some of the text below has been moved from other parts of Appendix L. Where this occurs, the original paragraph number has been quoted in italics and brackets after the paragraph. Prior to paragraph L.16, insert the following paragraphs and titles to read: Cycle parking should:	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM236			 avoid being located in the basement unless it can be shown to be convenient and easy to use, with ramps of a gradient of no more than 1 in 4 on both sides of any stepped access. Any basement cycle parking must also provide alternative parking on the ground floor for less able users and those with non-standard cycles; and reference to staff or students should be taken to mean the peak number ex- pected to be on the site at any one time. All cycle parking should minimise conflicts between cycles, motor vehicles and pedestrians. Short-stay cycle parking, e.g. for visitors or shoppers, should be located as close as possible to the main entrances of buildings (no more than 10 metres) and should be subject to natural surveillance. For larger develop- ments, covered cycle parking should be considered. (Originally Appendix L: Para- graph L.18 on page 388) In addition to the above, sSome flexibility is applied to applications of the standards, in the following instances: 	
			 where strict adherence to the standards for a mixed-use site is likely to result in duplication of provision; and for the historic core area of the city, where constraints may make application of the standards difficult for change of use or refurbishment. (Originally Appendix L: Paragraph L.19 on page 388) Vertical or semi-vertical cycle racks are not acceptable. Sheffield stands are the preferred option, for cycle parking, and the dimensions required for these can be found in the Council's Cycle Parking Guide for New Residential Developments and its successor documents. However, but the use of 	
			high-low and two-tier/double decker/double stacker racks will be considered on a case-by-case basis for new non-residential and large student develop- ments. (Originally these paragraphs were Appendix L: Paragraphs L.20 and L.21 on page 391) A minimum of 20% of the cycle parking spaces required should be Sheffield stands for less able users and those with non-standard cycles. (Similar text was originally included in Appendix L: Paragraph L.25 on page 391) High-low racks should be a minimum of 400mm between centres at 90 degrees	

ormation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			and 500-600mm between centres at 45 degrees. Any such stands must allow for cycles fitted with baskets and require as little lifting as possible. They must be of a design that supports the front wheel of cycles and allows the frame of the cycle to be locked to the rack. (Originally Appendix L: Paragraph L.22 on page 391)	
			<u>Two tier/ double decker/double stacker racks must be designed to allow the frame of the cycle to be locked to the rack and accommodate cycles with baskets. An aisle width of 2,500mm is required to enable the cycle to be turned and loaded easily. (Originally Appendix L: Paragraph L.23 on page 391)</u>	
			As with Sheffield stands, drawings and illustrative dimensions to guide the im- plementation of high-low and two tier/ double decker/double stacker stands can be found in the Council's Cycle Parking Guide for New Residential Devel- opments (and any successor document). (Originally Appendix L: Paragraph L.24 on page 391)	
			In instances where part of a site with a known shortfall in cycle parking is redeveloped, provision in excess of the standards will be strongly recommended. (Originally last sentence of Appendix L: Paragraph L.19 on page 388)	
			Residential cycle parking	
			L.16 As well as according with this standard, residential cycle Cycle parking should accord with have regard to designs, layouts, drawings and dimensions established in the Council's Cycle Parking Guide for New Residential Developments. It should:	
			• be located in a purpose-built area at the front of the house or within a garage (appropriate garage dimensions are shown in this appendix);	
			• only be located within a rear garden if locating it at the front of the house is shown to not be in keeping with the character of the surrounding area, and there is no garage provision; and	
			• be at least as convenient as the car parking provided.	
CC-MM237	387	Appendix L, Table L.10	Amend table heading to read:	This is a minor change to supporting info sustainability performance of the plan.
66 111257			idential Dwellings and other residential uses <u>-</u> cycle parking standards	Screening conclusion: no change to t
CC-MM238	388	Appendix L, After table L.10	Amend sub-heading to read:	This is a minor change to supporting info sustainability performance of the plan.
			Non-residential <u>use</u> cycle parking standards	Screening conclusion: no change to t
	388	Appendix L, Paragraph L.17	Amend paragraph to read: As well as according with this standard, the c <u>C</u> ycle parking for-non-residential de- velopment should:	This is a minor change to supporting info sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to t
CC-MM239			 reflect the design and dimensions for cycle parking established in the Council's Cycle Parking Guide for New Residential Developments; accord with the guidelines for use of high capacity or two tier (double stacker/double decker) stands (see Appendix 1); 	
			 include parking for employees and students in a convenient, <u>secure</u> and covered location, <u>subject to natural surveillance</u>. A proportion of the cycle parking (<u>minimum of 20%</u>) should be provided within a secure location. access to cycle parking should be as close as is practical to staff entrances, and closer than non-disabled staff car parking; avoid being located in the basement if steps with ramps are the only access 	
			provided, unless it can be shown to be convenient and easy to use, and that alternatives are provided on the ground floor for less able users and those	

g information which would not change the

to the results of the SA.

g information which would not change the

to the results of the SA.

information which would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan	Policy/		SA approach
	Page	Paragraph	Proposed Modification	
			 with tricycles; and reference to staff or students should be taken to mean the peak number expected to be on the site at any one time. 	
CC-MM240	388	Appendix L, Paragraphs L.18 and L.19	Delete paragraphs. These paragraphs have been moved to the section relating to both residential and non-residential uses prior to paragraph L.16 (see above).	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan.
				Screening conclusion: no change to the
	389	Appendix L, Table L.11	Amend table entry under 'Food and drinks' category to read: 2 spaces for every 5 members of staff	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan.
CC-MM241			1 short stay space for every 10 sq m of dining area in the historic core area	Screening conclusion: no change to the
			<u>1 short stay space for every 15 sq m for the rest of the city</u>	
CC-MM242	391	Appendix L, Paragraphs L.20	Delete paragraphs L.20 – L.25 . These paragraphs have largely been moved to the section relating to both residential and non-residential uses prior to paragraph L.16 (see	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan.
		– L.25	above).	Screening conclusion: no change to the
Appendix M:	Monitoring a	and implementation	on	
	395-459	Monitoring and Implementation	Modifications to monitoring appendix to create SMART indicators.	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan.
CC-MM243			A Clean version of Appendix M and a tracked Changes Version of Appendix M can be found in section 3 of RD/Strat/530 .	Screening conclusion: no change to the
			To comment on an indicator please reference the Policy that it applies to in your response.	
Appendix N:	Five Year Ho	ousing Land Suppl	y	
	After page 459	After Appendix M: Monitoring	Add a new Appendix N after Appendix M: Monitoring and Implementation.	This is a minor change to supporting inform ability performance of the plan.
CC-MM244	page	and Implemen- tation	Refer to new appendix at the end of this schedule	Screening conclusion: no change to the
Glossary				
	463	Glossary	Delete glossary term for Aparthotel and serviced apartments:	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the
CC-MM245			Aparthotels and serviced apartments offer a higher level of service than normal rented apartments, such as cleaning, laundry, food hampers, toiletries and the provision of towels. The letting is normally on a daily short term basis, alt- hough some might require a minimum 2–3 night stay. There are also reception facilities and a hotel-style booking system.	
CC-MM246	465	Glossary	After definition of Carbon Footprint insert definition of City Centre as: <u>The City Centre is the historic, cultural and retail centre of Cambridge.</u> <u>Two</u> <u>main shopping areas can be found within the City Centre: the Historic Core and</u> <u>the Fitzroy/Burleigh Street/Grafton area.</u> <u>The boundary of the City Centre is</u>	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

rmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

rmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

rmation which would not change the

he results of the SA.

rmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

rmation which would not change the sustain-

the results of the SA.

rmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

rmation which would not change the

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			defined on the Policies Map.	
CC-MM247	465	Glossary	After definition of Carbon Footprint insert definition of Chalk hills as: Chalk hills occurs to the south and south east of Cambridge extending from the south of Addenbrooke's eastwards towards Newmarket. The chalk hills form part of the East Anglian Heights and are an extension of the chalk hills form north from the Chiltern Hills. The arrival into Cambridge from the chalk hills to the south-east, dropping down from the higher land, allows for expansive views across the city. The hills are gently rounded and rolling, reaching 74 me- tres above sea level at Wandlebury. The springs that occur at the junction of the chalk and clay, such as at Nine Wells are an important habitat, and the dry valleys of the chalk are important landscape features. The fields are large, en- closed by generally very closely maintained low thorn hedges with few hedge- row trees. Shelter belts, often of beech, and hill top copses are an important feature of this landscape, the latter often acting as reference points. The roads tend to be straight and towards Cambridge run across the contours, thus com- manding some excellent panoramic views of the city and its environs.	This is a minor change to supporting information sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the plan in the plan is a second structure of the plan in the plan is a second structure of the plan in the plan is a second structure of th
CC-MM248		Glossary	Delete glossary term for Code for Sustainable Homes: Developed to enable a change in sustainable building practice. It is intended as a single national standard to guide industry in the design and construction of sustainable homes. There are six levels of the code, with Level 6 equating to a zero carbon home. At each level, there are minimum energy efficiency/carbon emissions and water efficiency standards.	
CC-MM249	469	Glossary	Description of a heritage asset should be amended to read: A building, monument, site, place, area or landscape identified as having a degree of significance meriting consideration in planning decisions because of its heritage interest. Heritage asset is a term that includes designated heritage assets (e.g. listed buildings, world heritage sites, conservation areas, scheduled monuments, protected wreck sites, registered parks and gardens and battlefields) and non-designated assets which are identified by the local planning authority. Non-designated heritage assets include sites of archaeological interest,(including local listing)- build- ings, structures or features of local heritage interest listed by, or fulfilling crite- ria for listing by the local planning authority.	This is a minor change to supporting inform sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM250	469	Glossary	After definition for high quality hotel, insert definition of historic core as: <u>The historic core of Cambridge is part of the large Central Conservation Area,</u> <u>which is one of eleven conservation areas in Cambridge. The current bounda-</u> <u>ries of the historic core can be found in Appendix F of the Local Plan and in the</u> <u>Council's Historic Core Conservation Area Appraisal.</u>	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM251	470	Glossary	Between 'Knowledge based economy' and 'Landscape scale', insert: Landfill sites: Landfill sites were originally small, informal and uncontrolled tips used by local authorities or industry for the disposal of waste. As urban sites became scarcer, larger sites were developed towards the edge of towns and cities. The Report of the Working Party on Refuse Disposal (DOE 1971) defined controlled landfilling as 'the deposit and compacting of waste on land in shallow layers, and covering the exposed surfaces with inert material.' Prior to the 1970s, the majority of landfill sites had little or no engineering con-	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD, Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Do changes following proposed submission co due to the minor nature of the modification

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

ormation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed consultation and classified as not requiring SA tions.

Mod Ref.	Local Plan Page	Policy/ Paragraph	Proposed Modification	SA approach
			trol of waste beyond that provided by the local topography and geology. How- ever, the introduction of the Deposit of Poisonous Waste Act 1972 and Control of Pollution Act 1974 introduced regulation of landfill. Modern landfills are regulated under the Landfill Directive 1999 and the Landfill Regulations 2010.	
	475	Glossary	Insert after Specialist schools: Starter Homes Exception Sites	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to th
CC-MM252			The Government's exception site policy enables applications for development for Starter Homes on under-used or unviable industrial and commercial land that has not been currently identified for housing. Suitable sites are likely to be under-used or no longer viable for commercial or industrial purposes, but with remediation and infrastructure costs that are not too great so as to render Starter Homes financially unviable.	
			The types and sizes of site suitable for Starter Homes are likely to vary across the country, and will reflect the pattern of existing and former industrial and commercial use as well as local market conditions. Land in both public and private ownership can be considered.	
CC-MM253	475	Glossary	Amend the definition Student hostel accommodation to read: Student hostel accommodation	This is a minor change to supporting inforr sustainability performance of the plan. Screening conclusion: no change to the

prmation which would not change the

the results of the SA.

prmation which would not change the

Mod Ref	Local Plan Page	Policies Map:	Proposed modification	SA Approach
CC-MM254	Policies Map	Policies Map: Cam- bridge East (R47)	Increase the size of the current allocation for R40 (see extract from original Policies Map, July 2013 shown below) as shown in the Policies Map extract at the end of this schedule and rename site R47.	This is a minor change to figures which would not change the sustainability performance of the plan. The modification to the site has been screened as part of Policy 12. Screening conclusion: no change to the results of the SA.



Mod Ref	Local Plan Page	Policies Map:	Proposed modification	SA Approach
	Policies Map	Policies Map: Site GB2	Increase the size of site GB2 (original boundary illustrated below) to include Newbury Farm (0.9 hectares). See amended site map excerpt from the Cambridge Draft Sub- mission Policies Map July 2013.	See CC-MM187
			Excerpt of original boundary for GB2 (below).	
CC-MM255			Excerpt of amended boundary for GB2 (below).	

Mod Ref	Local Plan Page	Policies Map:	Proposed modification	SA Approach
CC-MM256	Policies Map	Policies Map: Site U1: Old Press/Mill Lane	This site is currently shown only as an allocation (see extract from original Policies Map, July 2013 shown below). Orange hatching should also be used to denote the Old Press/Mill Lane Opportunity Area (Policy 25). Excerpt of original policies map	No SA required Submitted as part of: RD/ Sub/C/050 – Addendum to the Cambridge Local Plan 2014 Proposed Submission Document (July 2013): Schedule of proposed changes following proposed submission consultation and classified as not requiring SA due to the minor nature of the modifications.

Mod Ref	Local Plan Page	Policies Map:	Proposed modification	SA Approach

Mod Ref	Local Plan Page	Policies Map:	Proposed modification	SA Approach
CC-MM257	Policies Map	Policies Map: Cam- bridge Northern Fringe East Area of Major Change		See CC-MM044



Appendix N (Cambridge Local Plan): Five-Year Housing Land Supply

Five-year housing land supply will be calculated using the Liverpool methodology, which has been determined as appropriate for Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire and which spreads any short-fall in supply at the point of calculation over the remainder of the plan period. A 20% buffer is also included in response to historic levels of delivery.

The methodology for calculating five-year housing land supply is set out in figure N1 below:

(a) Housing require- ment in the plan peri- od	Net number of new homes required in the plan period (2011 to 2031) as set out in Policy S/5 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan and Policy 3 of the Cambridge Local Plan.
(b) Completions so far in the plan period	Net number of new homes completed so far in the plan period, as set out in the Annual Monitoring Report.
(c) Number of dwell- ings left to deliver in the plan period (= a - b)	Calculated by subtracting the net number of homes completed so far in the plan period from the housing requirement.
(d) Number of years of plan period left	Number of years of the plan period left in which to deliver the housing requirement.
(e) Annualised aver- age requirement for the remainder of the plan period (= c ÷ d)	Calculated by dividing the number of dwellings left to deliver by the num- ber of years of the plan period left.
(f) Five year supply requirement (= $e \times 5$)	The requirement to meet in the next five year period. Calculated by multi- plying the annualised average requirement by five.
(g) 20% buffer to be added to the five year supply requirement (= f x 0.2)	A 20% buffer in addition to the five year supply requirement should be added in response to historic levels of delivery
(h) Five year supply requirement with 20% buffer (= $f + g$)	Five year supply requirement plus 20% buffer, against which predicted housing supply is assessed.
(i) Number of dwell- ings predicted to be completed in the five year period	Net number of new homes predicted to be completed in the five year peri- od, as set out in the housing trajectory published in the Annual Monitoring Report.
(j) Five year supply (= i \div h x 5)	Calculated by dividing the number of dwellings predicted to be completed in the five year period by the five year supply requirement with the 20% buffer, and then multiplying by five.

Figure N1: Methodology for Calculating Five-Year Supply

Figures N2 and N3 below summarise the housing land supply position for South Cambridgeshire and Cambridge individually and jointly as at November 2017 for the five-year periods 2017-2022 and 2018-2023, as contained in the Annual Monitoring Reports 2017. The Councils both individually and jointly demonstrate a five year housing land supply using the methodology above. The Council's five year supply will be published each year in their Annual Monitoring Reports. These should be consulted for the most up to date position on housing supply.

	Cambridge	South Cambridge- shire	Cambridge & South Cambridge-shire
(a) Housing requirement 2011 to 2031	14,000	19,500	33,500
(b) Completions up to 31 March 2017	4,932	3,970	8,902
(c) Number of dwellings left to deliver in the plan period (= a - b)	9,068	15,530	24,598
(d) Number of years of plan period left	14	14	14
(e) Annualised average requirement for the re- mainder of the plan peri- od (= $c \div d$)	648	1,109	1,757
(f) Five year supply re- quirement (= e x 5)	3,239	5,546	8,785
(g) 20% buffer to be added to the five year supply requirement (= f x 0.2)	648	1,109	1,757
(h) Five year supply re- quirement with 20% buffer (= f + g)	3,886	6,656	10,542
(i) Number of dwellings predicted to be complet- ed in the five year period (1 April 2017 to 31 March 2022)	4,201	7,235	11,436
(j) Five year supply (= i \div h x 5)	5.4	5.4	5.4

Figure N2: Five-Year Housing Land Supply Position at November 2017 for 2017-2022

Source: Cambridge Annual Monitoring Report 2017 and South Cambridgeshire Annual Monitoring Report 2017

	Cambridge	South Cambridge- shire	Cambridge & South Cambridgeshire
(a) Housing requirement 2011 to 2031	14,000	19,500	33,500
(b) Completions up to 31 March 2018	6,267	4,629	10,896
(c) Number of dwellings left to deliver in the plan period (= a - b)	7,733	14,871	22,604
(d) Number of years of plan period left	13	13	13
(e) Annualised average	595	1,144	1,739

	Cambridge	South Cambridge- shire	Cambridge & South Cambridgeshire
requirement for the re- mainder of the plan peri- od (= $c \div d$)			
(f) Five year supply re- quirement (= e x 5)	2,974	5,720	8,694
<pre>(g) 20% buffer to be added to the five year supply requirement (= f x 0.2)</pre>	595	1,144	1,739
(h) Five year supply re- quirement with 20% buffer (= f + g)	3,569	6,864	10,433
(i) Number of dwellings predicted to be complet- ed in the five year period (1 April 2018 to 31 March 2023)	3,874	8,197	12,071
(j) Five year supply (= i \div h x 5)	5.4	6.0	5.8

Source: Cambridge Annual Monitoring Report 2017 and South Cambridgeshire Annual Monitoring Report 2017

Figure N4 below shows that it is anticipated that based on the housing trajectory as at November 2017 it is anticipated that there will be a rolling five-year housing land supply continuing for the remainder of the plan period. The housing supply data will be updated annually and published in the Annual Monitoring Report.

	2017- 2022	2018- 2023	2019- 2024	2020- 2025	2021- 2026	2022- 2027	2023- 2028	2024- 2029	2025- 2030	2026- 2031
Cambridge	5.4	5.4	6.0	6.2	6.2	6.2	5.8	5.3	5.3	5.5
South Cam- bridgeshire	5.4	6.0	6.3	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.9	7.7	8.9	11.4
Cambridge & South Cam- bridgeshire	5.4	5.8	6.2	6.3	6.3	6.4	6.5	6.8	7.6	9.1

Figure N4: Rolling Five Year Supply

Source: Cambridge Annual Monitoring Report 2017 and South Cambridgeshire Annual Monitoring Report 2017

Figure N5 below shows past and projected completions for Cambridge and South Cambridgeshire over the plan period (2011/12 to 2030/31). In total, the plans must make provision for a minimum of 33,500 homes over this period, which is represented in the graph by the black 'plan' line (the

combined annual housing requirement of 1,675 net homes). It also includes a 'manage' line, which shows the outstanding balance of completions relative to cumulative delivery.



Figure N5: Past and Projected completions 2011/12 to 2030/31

Figure N6 below provides a summary of the broad distribution and main sources of supply of the housing provision set out in the plans.

Figure N6: Distribution and supply of housing provision							
	2011/12 - 2015/16	2016/1 7- 2020/2 1	2021/22 - 2025/26	2026/27 - 2030/31	Post 2031	Totals	
Actual Completions							
Cambridge	3,754	1,178	-	-	-	4,932	
South Cambridgeshire	3,427	543	-	-	-	3,970	
Cambridge Urban Area							
Cambridge - existing allocations	-	226	304	289	0	819	
Cambridge - new allocations	-	131	613	579	0	1,323	
South Cambridgeshire - existing allocations	-	42	105	0	0	147	
Cambridge Fringe Sites							

Figure N6:	Distribution	and supply	of housing	provision
------------	--------------	------------	------------	-----------

	2011/12 - 2015/16	2016/1 7- 2020/2 1	2021/22 - 2025/26	2026/27 - 2030/31	Post 2031	Totals
Cambridge - existing allocations	-	2,227	2,595	521	0	5,343
Cambridge - new allocations	-	190	240	0	0	430
South Cambridgeshire - existing allocations	-	894	1,879	1,378	250	4,151
New Settlements						
South Cambridgeshire - existing allocations	-	703	1,250	1,250	6,784	3,203
South Cambridgeshire - new alloca- tions	-	0	1,660	2,000	8,840	3,660
Rural Area						
South Cambridgeshire - existing allocations	-	522	100	53	0	675
South Cambridgeshire - new alloca- tions	-	674	1,185	750	935	2,609
Unallocated Sites with Planning Permission or Resolution to Grant Planning Permission						
Cambridge	-	313	40	0	0	353
South Cambridgeshire	-	2,608	613	0	0	3,221
Windfall Allowance						
Cambridge	-	123	553	618	0	1,294
South Cambridgeshire	-	50	900	1,000	0	1,950
	7,181	10,424	12,037	8,438	16,80 9	38,080

For more details on the individual sites that comprise the above joint housing trajectory, please refer to the latest Annual Monitoring Report for each authority.

Figure 3.3: Amended figure site area, title and legend.

