



North Area Committee

Minutes

At Manor Community College

12 November 2009

6.30pm – 10.45pm

THOSE PRESENT FOR THE CONSIDERATION OF APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

City Cllrs: Armstrong, Blair, Boyce, Kerr, Levy, Liddle, McGovern, Nimmo-Smith, Pitt, Upstone and Ward

Other Cllrs Present: Todd-Jones

County Cllrs: Wilkins

THOSE PRESENT FOR THE REMAINDER OF THE MEETING

City Cllrs: Armstrong, Blair, Boyce, Kerr, Levy, Liddle, McGovern, Nimmo-Smith, Pitt, Smart, Todd-Jones, Upstone and Ward

09/51 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

County Cllrs: Moss-Eccardt, Pellew and Wijssenbeek

09/52 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Planning)

Councillor	Item	Interest
Todd-Jones	09/0534/FUL,	Had been contacted about the applications by the Applicant and Objector
Todd-Jones	09/0731/FUL	Had been contacted about the applications by the Applicant

09/53 APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

These minutes and the appendix should be read in conjunction with the reports on applications to the committee, where the conditions to the approved applications or reasons for refusal are set out in full and with the Amendment Sheet issued at the meeting. Any amendments to the recommendations are shown.

Full details of the decisions, conditions of permissions and reasons for refusal may be inspected in the Environment and Planning Department, including those that the committee delegated to the Head of Development Control to draw up.

Under paragraph 4.2.1 of the Council Procedure Rules, the Chair used her discretion to alter the order of the planning applications. However, for ease of the reader, these minutes will follow the order of the agenda.

Site Address: Land to rear of Chelsea Mews and Bermuda Road, Cambridge
Application Number: 09/0707/FUL
Proposal: Erection of three bed detached house on existing car park to the side of Chelsea Mews
Officer Recommendation: APPROVE subject to the satisfactory completion of the s106 agreement by 8 January 2010 and subject to conditions
Public Speakers:
Decision: Please note that this application has been withdrawn from the Agenda because the only letter of objection has been withdrawn and the application will now be dealt with under delegated powers.

Site Address: 11 Roseford Road, Cambridge
Application Number: 09/0534/FUL
Proposal: Single storey side and rear extension and window to roof extension (retrospective)
Officer Recommendation: APPROVE subject to conditions
Public Speakers: Dr Jennifer Fellows (Objector) and Mrs Lang (Applicant)
Decision: APPROVED (by 9 votes to 1) as per Officers report with the following amendment to text: Para 1.1 – the property is finished in white render and not red brickwork.

Site Address: 107 Darwin Drive, Cambridge
Application Number: 09/0731/FUL
Proposal: Two storey rear extension and single storey side extension to community house and first floor flat (key worker)
Officer Recommendation: REFUSE
Public Speakers: Shima Paul (on behalf of the Bangladeshi Community House Officers)
Reasons for approval raised in debate: Members who spoke against the Officer recommendation said that the application should lead to more flexible building usage, rather than a change to usage and increase in numbers of visitors. Members felt context and successful places criteria did not justify refusal and the application was not contrary to policies 3/4 and 3/7 of the Cambridge Local Plan (2006).
Decision: APPROVED (by 10 votes to 0) against officer recommendation. Reasons for approval and planning conditions to be agreed by the Chair. The following draft planning conditions and reasons for approval have been recommended: Planning Conditions and Informatives: CO1A Standard Time (248 onwards)

The development hereby permitted shall be begun before the expiration of three years from the date of this permission.

Reason: In accordance with the requirements of section 51 of the Planning and Compulsory Purchase Act 2004.

Cycle parking revised

No development shall commence until details of facilities for the covered, secured parking of bicycles for use in connection with the development hereby permitted shall be submitted to and approved by the Local Planning Authority in writing. The approved facilities shall be provided in accordance with the approved details before use of the development commences.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the secure storage of bicycles. (Cambridge Local Plan 1996 policy TR18)

Permitted dev exclusion (windows etc)

Notwithstanding the provisions of the Town and Country Planning (General Permitted Development) Order 1995 (or any order revoking and re-enacting that order with or without modification), no windows or dormer windows other than those expressly authorised by this permission shall be constructed.

Reason: To protect the amenity of adjoining properties. (Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policies 3/4 and 3/14)

Construction Hours

Except with the prior written agreement of the local planning authority in writing no construction work or demolition shall be carried out or plant operated other than between the following hours: 0800 hours to 1800 hours Monday to Friday, 0800 hours to 1300 hours on Saturday and at no time on Sundays, Bank or Public Holidays.

Reason: To protect the amenity of the adjoining properties. (Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policy 4/13)

Refuse storage

No development shall commence until details of facilities for the on-site storage facilities for waste including waste for recycling shall be submitted to and approved in writing by the local planning authority. Such details shall include details of the bin storage structure, the location of which is shown on the approved plans, and identify the specific positions of where wheelie bins, recycling boxes or any other means of storage will be stationed and the

arrangements for the disposal of waste. The approved facilities shall be provided in accordance with the approved details before use of the development commences.

Reason - To protect the amenities of nearby residents and in the interests of visual amenity. (Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policy 4/13)
Management Plan

Prior to the commencement of occupation of the extension hereby approved, a Management Plan shall be submitted to and approved by the local planning authority. The Management Plan shall detail the day to day management of the community house including times of meetings, classes and prayers and the means by which the number of people occupying the building will be limited to 20 and the measures that are to be taken to ensure the noise generated by users of the community house is kept to a minimum, including noise associated with comings and goings to the community house. The use of the community house, including the ground floor element of the extension hereby approved shall be managed in accordance with the approved Management Plan unless otherwise agreed in writing by the local planning authority prior to any proposed alterations to the management arrangements.

Reason - To protect the amenities of nearby residents. (Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policy 3/4 and 4/13).

Considerate Contractors

{\b INFORMATIVE:} New development can sometimes cause inconvenience, disturbance and disruption to local residents, businesses and passers by. As a result the City Council runs a Considerate Contractor Scheme aimed at promoting high standards of care during construction. The City Council encourages the developer of the site, through its building contractor, to join the scheme and agree to comply with the model Code of Good Practice, in the interests of good neighbourliness. Information about the scheme can be obtained from The Considerate Contractor project Officer in the Planning Department (Tel: 01223 457121).

Party Wall Act

{\b INFORMATIVE:} The applicant is advised that where a proposal involves works on an existing wall shared with another property, building on the boundary with a neighbouring property or excavating near a neighbouring building, the provisions of the Party Wall Act 1996 shall apply. The granting of planning permission does not override any obligation arising from this or other legislation.

INFORMATIVE

The applicants are reminded that the planning conditions attached to the Notice of Decision under reference 06/0473/FUL remain relevant to this approved development.

Reason for approval:

{\b Reasons for Approval}

1. This development has been approved, conditionally, because subject to those requirements it is considered to generally conform to the Development Plan, particularly the following policies:

East of England Plan 2008: ENV7

Cambridge Local Plan 2006: 3/1, 3/4, 3/7, 3/11, 3/14, 5/12, 8/2, 8/4, 8/6 and 8/10.

2. After representations had been made by both the applicant and the Planning Officer, and with knowledge of the local area, Committee took the view that the proposed extension would not give rise to a significant increase in the intensity of use of the community house given the restrictions imposed by the planning conditions attached to the original consent for permanent change of use. Committee took the view that the use of the proposed extension would not give rise to significant adverse impacts on the residential amenities currently enjoyed by occupiers of dwellings in the vicinity of the site. Therefore the development could be regarded as in compliance with policies 3/4 and 3/7 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2006.

The decision has been made having had regard to all other material planning considerations, none of which was considered to have been of such significance as to justify doing other than grant planning permission.

These reasons for approval can be a summary of the reasons for grant of planning permission only. For further detail on the decision please see the officer report by visiting the Council Planning Department.

Site Address: 1 Scotland Close, Cambridge

Application Number: 09/0665/FUL

Proposal: Erection of new dwelling adjacent to 1 Scotland Close

Officer Recommendation: APPROVE subject to the satisfactory completion of the s106 agreement by 8 January 2010 and subject to conditions

Public Speakers: Jeremy Tanner (Objector)

Decision: **REFUSED (by 11 votes to 0)** contrary to recommendation. Reasons for refusal to be agreed by the Chair. The following draft reasons for refusal

have been recommended:

The proposed development by virtue of the narrowness of the space between the proposed house and the site boundary precludes direct access to the rear garden for cycle parking and refuse bin storage. Therefore the development only provides a circuitous and inconvenient access to these facilities and does not provide for the easy manoeuvring of cycles and wheelie bins. In so doing the proposed development has failed to respond positively to the site constraints and would be unlikely to encourage the use of sustainable means of transport and fails to provide a satisfactory level of residential amenity. The development is therefore contrary to policies 3/4, 3/7, 3/10 and 8/6 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2006.

The s106 has not been completed therefore a second reason for refusal is required:

The proposed development does not make appropriate provision for public open space and community development facilities in accordance with the following policies, 3/7, 3/8, 5/14 and 10/1 of the Cambridge Local Plan 2006; and policies P6/1 and P9/8 of the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003.

Site Address: Land adjacent to 182 Milton Road, Cambridge

Application Number: 09/0800/FUL

Proposal: Erection of two storey detached dwelling

Officer Recommendation: APPROVE subject to the satisfactory completion of the s106 agreement by 8 January 2010 and subject to conditions

Public Speakers: Mr Wade (Objector)

Decision: **APPROVED (by 9 votes to 1)** as per Officers report subject to completion of s106 Agreement, and with additional condition relating to the use of cedar shingles to be agreed by Chair. The following draft condition has been recommended:

12. Notwithstanding the details shown on the approved plans the use of cedar shingles is not permitted. Prior to the commencement of development full details of an alternative treatment to the front elevation shall be submitted to and approved by the local planning authority in writing. The development shall be carried out in accordance with the approved details.

Reason The use of cedar shingles does not reflect the character of materials used in the vicinity of the site and an alternative external treatment is required. (Cambridge Local Plan 2006 policies 3/4 and 3/12).

Exclusion of the press and public

Before considering item 3.6 the Area Committee agreed to exclude members of the public from the meeting on the grounds that, if they were present, there

would be disclosure to them of information defined as exempt from publication by virtue of paragraph 5 & 6 of Part 1 of Schedule 12A of the Local Government Act 1972 as amended by the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006.

3.6 PLANNING ENFORCEMENT CONTROL - PLANNING CONTRAVENTION REPORT BY HEAD OF DEVELOPMENT SERVICES IN ARBURY, EAST CHESTERTON, KINGS HEDGES, WEST CHESTERTON WARDS

The Committee approved the recommendations as set out in the Officer's confidential report.

09/54 PRESENTATION

A presentation was made to two City Rangers as a token of appreciation for their hard work.

09/55 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST (Main Agenda)

Councillor	Item	Interest
Boyce	09/61	Member of the Kelsey Kerridge Board
Blair	09/63	Personal interest in Old Chesterton Residents Association and NCSA
Kerr	09/63	Member of Friends of Histon Road Group
Levy	09/63	On Committee of Friends of Histon Road

09/56 MINUTES

The minutes of the 27 August 2009 meeting were approved and signed as a correct record.

09/57 MATTERS ARISING FROM THE PREVIOUS MINUTES

- **09/47 Open Forum “What progress has been made on the problem of ‘L’ drivers using Woodhead Drive?”**

Councillor Armstrong had sought a response to this query, but details were not forthcoming. Councillor Armstrong will seek to bring further details to the next Committee meeting.

- **09/47 Open Forum “I first raised this issue relating to Garry Drive back in 2003 and revived the issue at a North Area Committee meeting in October 2008. The issue revolved around 3 council departments and we are now back to square one”**

To be addressed under section 09/60 of minutes.

- **09/47 Open Forum “Have Councillors received the full speeding statistics from the police as promised at the last meeting? Will these be published?”**

Councillor McGovern has received various police statistics concerning speeding. He has requested specific details on Kings Hedges. Statistics are available in agenda item 8 Safer Neighbourhoods report.

- **09/48 Environmental Improvements Programme Victoria Avenue / Trafalgar Street Bollards**

Bollards are in place minus the most northerly one as requested.

09/58 OPEN FORUM

Q1) Is it a coincidence that the day the guided bus service finally starts to operate, the main city services and some of the buses that normally use the guided bus route are altered? We are told the changes are because of cuts by the “Council”, yet Stagecoach have publicly said that the guided bus system will not change the rest of its service.

A) Councillor Armstrong stated she had made the point to Stagecoach that it is strongly desirable for the Citi 4 bus service to run in the evenings. The initial response from Andy Campbell (Managing Director Stagecoach Cambridgeshire) was “If you are referring to the recent changes made to the Cambourne end of the Citi 4 service, up until recently we had an agreement with the Cambourne Consortium which included funding for evening and Sunday operation. This funding is being withdrawn from 29th November 2009 therefore we have curtailed our Sunday evening service and will review the commercial sustainability of the Monday to Saturday evening and Sunday daytime operation when we have had chance to view the patronage figures in the new year”.

In answer to a subsequent enquiry for more details, Andy Campbell stated “The changes to the Citi 4 are not a temporary measure. Depending on where people live on Milton Road there is the Citi 2 serving Green End Road and beyond towards Milton. The Milton Park & Ride operates until 20:40 (last departure Drummer Street) serving all stops on route after 18:30. In addition to this there is a later service 9 leaving the bus station at 23:10 serving all stops along Milton Road”.

Councillor Armstrong will meet with Stagecoach in future to discuss issues. Councillor Blair asked for the infrequency of Citi 2 services to be discussed at the same time.

Councillor Nimmo-Smith suggested that Stagecoach services had been reduced as Central Government 'Kickstart' funding came to an end with the introduction of the guided bus. This showed the need for an integrated transport policy.

Q2) At an Area Committee (2 meetings ago), I asked the question why is there no crossing in Drummer Street? Why has the level of the pavement in Regent Street been raised so high? This has made the footpaths unsuitable for the elderly and disabled. I have had no reply to this question to date.

A) The Committee noted that the question had been raised under 09/46 of the 27 August 2009 minutes relating to matters arising from the previous minutes.

The high pavement is designed to allow people to get onto buses, but this can require people to take a high step onto the pavement. The Committee were advised the current traffic island crossing meets DDA requirements.

Councillor Pitt asked why the previous zebra crossing had been changed into a traffic island. Councillor Wilkins answered that Councillor Moss-Eccardt had raised this issue with the County Council but not received a satisfactory answer.

Q3) Will Riverside be gritted for cyclists this winter?

A) No gritting is anticipated.

Q4) Can the flood/street lights at the zebra crossing by Jesus lock be fixed? I reported the problem in July and September.

A) Councillor Wilkins offered to take up the issue and report back at the 7 January 2010 Committee.

Q5) There are significant safety issues with traffic calming measures on Fenn Road. Can the County council address these?

A) Residents agree that the Green End Road and Scotland Road junction has safety issues as traffic calming measures are ineffective. A safety audit and re-introduction of road markings was suggested.

09/59 POLICING AND SAFER NEIGHBOURHOODS

The Community Safety Strategy Officer introduced the item.

Police Inspector Steve Kerridge and Sergeant Jason Wragg presented the report and outlined actions taken since the Committee on 27 August 2009. The current emerging issues for each ward were also highlighted (see report for full

details). They covered vehicle crime in Nuns Way, criminal damage in Arbury/Kings Hedges, development of CRC community cohesion, Cambridge wide priorities and emerging issues/neighbourhood trends.

Members of the public asked a number of questions, as set out below.

Q1) Suggest focussing priority on criminals rather than reporting of crime. The follow up service to crime reporting and methodology of crime statistic reporting may affect figures.

A) Inspector Kerridge offered to discuss issues with the questioner post meeting with a view to addressing concerns and seeking ways to improve the service offered by the Police.

The goal of the Constabulary is to reduce crime and levels of crime have dropped in the North Area as a result of the Police changing their focus from a geographical basis to the minority of individuals who are most likely to commit crimes.

Q2) St Luke's School has suffered from vandalism and anti-social behaviour experience by trespassers. It is not the role of staff to address these. In result the playground has been closed, but problems continue. How can these be addressed?

A) The police are aware of the issues. Groups who camped in the playground were moved on earlier in the year, but they have now returned. Further action will be taken in future and Sergeant Wragg offered to discuss issues with the questioner post meeting.

Councillor Blair observed it was important that school staff felt safe, and that public money had been put into the playground so it should be open and used. St Lukes was a green space used by all, but the playground was closed due to recent problems. Councillors met W/C 2 November 2009 to discuss actions. A follow up meeting will be held with partner agencies, including the police, to discuss general anti-social behaviour problems in the areas and actions to be taken to address these.

Q3) There is no reference to prostitution in the Arbury anti-social behaviour statistics.

A) Histon Road is split between North and West/Central Areas. The latter identified prostitution as a priority to be addressed in the neighbourhood. The Police monitor activity in the North Area and will review if action is required in future if the situation deteriorates. SWAN Group was set up to look at issues that lead to people becoming sex workers.

Q4) How to address vandalism issues (specifically affecting bus shelters) impacting on houses near Primary Court?

A) Councillor Kerr responded that a consultation had been undertaken with residents and the ward fence should be replaced in future. An application will be made for Safer City Grant funding.

The Committee discussed options for future policing priorities and agreed the following:

- Anti-social behaviour affecting St Lukes School and surrounding area.
- Addressing speeding issues in the North of the City through partnership work.
- Crime and antisocial behaviour issues affecting CRC.

09/60 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAMME + CAMPKIN ROAD SHOP FORECOURT CONSULTATION

Members considered a number of schemes put forward for consideration, a number of which required approval.

Following discussion, members determined the schemes as follows:

1 Nun's Way Parking Area refurbishment

Although work is due to be implemented next financial year, progress has been made whilst other projects have temporarily been put on hold. The project should be in a position to be implemented shortly.

Agreed to implement the scheme at an estimated cost of £75,000.

2 Campkin Road verges

Agreement sought to implement the scheme should the intended public consultation be supportive. Councillor Pitt sought clarification that the consultation information would be posted in the Community Centre.

Agreed to implement the scheme at an estimated cost of £27,000 following a supportive public consultation.

3 Campkin Road shop forecourts

The project includes proposals to fell the two Central Indian Bean trees and replace with semi-mature trees of the same species following completion of the environmental improvement.

Q) As an alternative approach, could the two central trees be retained and current ruptured surrounding area be resurfaced?

A) This approach was taken eight years ago and the tree roots have re-ruptured the surface. This proposal aims to implement a completely new, robust and sustainable surface that will last for a long time. This item has been discussed at Planning Committee who recommended felling and replacing current trees with semi-mature trees of the same species.

Agreed by Executive Councillor for Housing to approve the implementation of tree works (to fell and replace).

Agreed by the Committee to approve the implementation of the environmental improvement scheme.

4 Stretten Avenue/Akeman Street

The original proposal was to re-arrange the planting areas at the cross-roads so pedestrians had a more direct route. The existing layout uses the planting beds to filter pedestrians to cross the street slightly away from the junction to improve safety.

Councillors felt the scheme was not meeting its objective to improve safety as pedestrian's habits of walking in the road had not been altered. The Principal Landscape Architect was asked to clarify at the next Committee why agreement to re-arranging the planting beds at the junction had not been accepted and instead the project had shifted to a different phases of the project.

Agreed to defer implementation of the planting scheme at an estimated cost of £4,750.

5 Chesterton High Street hanging baskets

Councillors debated the merits of the proposal for summer 2010.

Agreed by 9 votes to 2 to fund Chesterton High Street hanging baskets at an estimated cost of £4,944.

6 Riverside Cycle Conflict Reduction and EIP Scheme

This scheme was first considered in March 2009 where it was agreed to provisionally allocate £81,700. The Committee were asked to reconsider this scheme following further funding information and analysis.

Agreed to implement the Option 1 at a further cost of £20,000.

7 New Scheme: Arbury Road/Meadows Community Centre access

The Committee were asked to consider an additional project.

Q) (via email) I am referring to the area of Arbury Road and the section which links the Meadows Centre and the pedestrian crossing opposite St Laurence's Primary School, which my two daughters attend in years 4 and 6.

As a mum, I sometimes use one of the three informal access points and it is quite difficult especially for people carrying babies, pushing buggies, or with a toddler, with a risk of stumbling over such uneven surfaces. I hope there can be some improvement made to this access point, which would be great, especially at school times.

I sincerely hope the North Area Committee will consider this at their meeting tonight, unfortunately I don't think I will be able to attend as I have other commitment.

We have been living in Banff Close for two years and are keen to see this area well looked after and improved in minor ways that can make life easy.

A) The County Council are considering allocating funding to this scheme. The City Council propose to review planned work before committing resources, and so add value to outcomes rather than duplicating effort. Councillor Ward volunteered to act as lead contact if work goes ahead.

Agreed that Committee agreed to add to the newly suggested schemes list for review in the spring. Councillor Ward to act as Project Lead Councillor if work goes ahead.

8 New Scheme: Garry Drive verges

The Committee were asked to consider an additional project.

Councillor McGovern proposed Garry Drive as an environmental improvement project concerning verges.

Q) What was the response to Councillor McGovern's question to the residents of the Drive, and is any action being taken? RE: Councillor McGovern's memo of 28/10/09 - Ref DS/NM/AF.

A) Councillor McGovern to provide a written response post meeting.

Agreed that Committee agreed to add to the newly suggested schemes list for review in the spring.

9 New Scheme: Woodhead Drive/Milton Road community park and urban space

The Committee were asked to consider an additional project.

Councillor Pitt proposed Woodhead Drive/Milton Road as an environmental improvement project concerning the community park and urban space.

Agreed that Committee agreed to add to the newly suggested schemes list for review in the spring.

09/61 'IMPROVE YOUR NEIGHBOURHOOD' PROPOSED PROJECT LIST FOR FUTURE S106 FUNDED RECREATION AND OPEN SPACE PROJECTS

The Recreation Officer introduced the item as per the agenda. The Officer clarified that members were being asked only to decide if a project was a viable proposal worthy of further investigation. Any projects agreed would be subject to consultation and scrutiny at a later date.

Members were referred to the tabled amendment document, which outlined background and process information on how S106 can fund neighbourhood improvement projects. In answer to member's questions, the Recreation Officer explained his team endeavours to keep applicants up to take on progress concerning their projects. The scoring scheme to bring projects forward is linked to Cambridge City Council Medium Term Objectives and takes into account advice from the Planning Obligations Team.

Members observed that limited information was provided concerning projects, and this led to some appearing to be duplicates. The Recreation Officer clarified that all projects outlined in his report were separate, but will add further details to future reports in order to clarify project aims. The scheme is open to a wide variety of eligible projects, all of which will be listed in the Recreation Officer's report. The Recreation Officer will work with applicants to encourage projects that will deliver something people will want and be fit for purpose. If Members desire, Planning Obligations Team representatives can be invited to attend future meeting to clarify project eligibility criteria.

Members asked Officers to signpost projects ineligible for S106 funding to more suitable funding. Also, to use the scoring scheme to remove ineligible projects from the list of projects coming forward.

Members APPROVED the following North Area projects:

1. Dedicated Special Needs Play Area - Chesterton Area. Very little disabled play provision within the city
2. Woodhead Drive - Improved disabled access and refurbishment
3. Cameron Road Play area refurbishments
4. Improved recreation provision for young people on Nunns Way
5. Chestnut Grove Play area refurbishment
6. More/better seating around pavilion and in play area Nunns Way
7. Better lighting Nunns Way

Members APPROVED the following CITYWIDE projects:

1. Kelsey Kerridge Refurbish Climbing Wall & build new bouldering wall
2. Jesus Green Path works / to main London Plane Ave
3. Christ Pieces - creation of winter garden along Pikes Walk
4. Second full-size floodlit all weather pitch at the University Sports Complex at Wilberforce Road/ access for public use
5. Nest boxes scheme / Identify suitable council owned buildings for boxes
6. Bee Friendly Wildlife Areas
7. Demonstration Wildlife Garden / to show how to plant one

09/62 'RECYCLING CHAMPIONS' PRESENTATION

The Recycling Champions Coordinator introduced the item. The aim of the project was to recruit recycling champions from city residents to talk to friends and family and encourage recycling. If interested, please contact Mark Buckton, 01223 457673, Mark.Buckton@cambridge.gov.uk

The first training workshop for all city wards will occur 26 November 2009. Actions will then be targeted for individual wards.

09/63 COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND LEISURE GRANTS

The Grants Manager introduced the report to members and covered each application separately.

Old Chesterton Residents' Association

Members unanimously approved the Officer recommendation to offer £640 towards a number of ongoing activities including continued development of Chesterton Community Association, forming a Friends of Stourbridge Common group, formalising the Cambridge Federation of Residents Associations and developing relations with the traveller community.

Chesterton Community Association

Members unanimously approved the Officer recommendation to offer £2,487 towards the costs of establishing the group.

Friends of Histon Road Cemetery

Members unanimously approved the Officer recommendation to offer £282 towards a project increasing opportunities for wildlife diversity and increasing the available water supply through collecting rainwater.

NCSA North Cambridge Sports Association

Members unanimously approved the Officer recommendation to offer £1,565 for informal but properly supervised football play and coaching sessions for children ages 13 to 16 using the Multi-Use Games Area at the Manor Sports Centre.

The meeting finished at 10.45pm.

Chair