



CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL

South Area Committee (City Councillors representing Cherry Hinton, Queen Edith's, Trumpington Wards) 18 May 7.30pm – 10.30pm Minutes & Actions

Present: Councillors
Russ McPherson (Cherry Hinton)
Alan Baker, Richard Stebbings, Amanda Taylor (Queen Edith's)
Philippa Slatter, Sheila Stuart (Trumpington)

Also Present: County Councillors Christine Carter (Cherry Hinton), Geoffrey Heathcock (Queen Edith's) and Anne Kent (Trumpington)

Additional information for public: City Council officers can also be emailed firstname.lastname@cambridge.gov.uk

The Committee Manager for Area Committee South is gary.clift@cambridge.gov.uk

Members of the City Council have individual email addresses which are listed on the City Council website:

www.cambridge.gov.uk/councillors/members.htm

Members of the County Council can be emailed:

Firstname.lastname@cambridgeshire.gov.uk

**Action by
(see
action
sheet
attached
also)**

06/18 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE

Apologies were received from City Councillors Stuart Newbold and Robert Dryden (Cherry Hinton) and Edrich Adigun-Harris (Trumpington).

06/19 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

06/24 (2) Cllr Slatter declared a personal interest in that she knew the person speaking in objection to the application.

06/20 MINUTES & MATTERS ARISING

06/08 Nightingale Avenue Recreation Ground

Cllr Baker wished the following amendment to be made on page 6, second bullet point:

The latest consultation achieved a 25% response, much more than the 10% response which would be regarded as acceptable in market survey terms.

Subject to this amendment, the minutes of the meeting held on 26 January and the minutes of the meeting on 23 March were approved by the Committee and signed by the Chair.

06/21 OPEN FORUM

Station Area Development - Councillor Baker answered that an application for redevelopment of the site by Ashwells had been refused by the Planning Committee on 5 April. The options available to the applicant were (i) to appeal that decision (ii) to submit a revised proposal (iii) to submit a series of applications rather than one for the entire site. The applicant could pursue any number of the these options.

Concessionary Bus Fares – Councillor Slatter answered that District Councils were responsible for administering the scheme. South Cambridgeshire District Council had been unable to work with the City Council on cross border concessionary fares because of its financial position, having had its budget capped in 2005/06. It was hoped that a deal amongst Councils could be reached before the current County-wide scheme planned for 2008.

Water supply with extra housing – Councillor Slatter answered that Anglia Water and Cambridge Water had assured councillors at a recent presentation that there would be sufficient water supply in Cambridge as long as sustainable drainage systems were used in the new developments.

06/22 NEW CAMBRIDGE LOCAL PLAN

Dave Roberts, Planning Policy Manager gave a presentation on the new Local Plan.

Members of the public made the following points, the answers to which are to be found in the Local Plan itself see:

<http://www.cambridge.gov.uk/ccm/navigation/environment/planning/cambridge-local-plan>

Can the Council provide a better explanation of how affordable housing works – how does it stay affordable?

[The Chair suggested that the officers should look at producing a leaflet explaining the various affordable housing options available to the public and how housing will stay affordable if sold on]

What guidance is there on new open space/recreation space?

Will the green lung between Addenbrookes and Trumpington be kept green or are there development pressures already being put upon it – what will be the impact on biodiversity?

Was the Bell School site expected to be for open space provision?

County Councillor Carter and Councillor McPherson reported the Cherry Hinton Councillors continuing objection to the proposals in the Local Plan for Cambridge East. She also thought that it would have been useful to have County Council officers present at such presentations.

06/23 ENVIRONMENTAL IMPROVEMENT GRANTS

Cherry Hinton High Street – this was supported by Cherry Hinton Ward Councillors. The Committee agreed that as the scheme was in excess of the funding available, that the Chair write to the Executive Councillor for Planning & Transport to seek funding from central budgets. The Chair asked for contributions from Cherry Hinton Ward Councillors before she wrote to the Executive Councillor.

Cllr Slatter
+
Cherry
Hinton
Councillors

Mowbray Road/Fendon Road – the Committee noted that the cost of this scheme was in the region of £3,000. If the scheme were approved the Committee agreed it would be sensible to introduce it after the bye-law had been introduced.

Foster Road allotments – the Committee noted plans and a quote of £8,000 plus £1,600 for trees, which had been circulated by a representative of the applicants.

Land between Newton Road and Hobson's Brook – Committee wanted further investigation re public access as it was thought to be land owned by Clare College. County Council and Hobson's Conduit Trust also need to be advised. Committee noted that match funding had been applied for from Sustainable City Grants.

Resolved -

(i) Cherry Hinton High Street – Chair to write to Executive Councillor for Planning & Transport (see above)

(ii) Mowbray Road/Fendon Road – to consider a detailed bid at 13 July meeting.

(iii) Foster Road allotments – to consider a detailed bid at 13 July meeting.

(iv) Land between Newton Road and Hobson's Brook – to consider at 13 July meeting, having taken on board Committee's comments.

John
Broad,
Landscape
Architect
01223
457322

06/24 APPLICATIONS FOR PLANNING PERMISSION

1. Application no. 06/0255/FUL

Site 157 Shelford Road trumpington CB2 2ND

Proposal Change of use of six rooms for the use of bed and breakfast

Applicant – Mr Kuwaidar, c/o The Tram Shed, East Road, CB1

Public speakers - Mr Kuwaidar, Ms Barron (objector)

The Planning Officer referred to the following text from the amendment sheet:

To Note : (With reference to Condition 2) Although details of the rooms to be used for bed and breakfast purposes were indicated orally by the applicant on site, this information is not considered to be sufficiently precise for the purposes of future monitoring.

Amendments To Text : Condition 4 - amend reason to read: 'To ensure that there is no adverse impact on the residential amenity of neighbouring occupiers.' (Policy references unaltered.)

Resolved - (by 3 votes to 2)

To approve the application as set out in the report.

2. Application no. 06/0259/OUT

Site 3 Rayleigh Close Trumpington CB2 2AZ

Proposal Outline application for the erection of three residential units

Applicant – Mr and Mrs Nicolson, 3 Rayleigh Close

Public speakers - Mr Nicolson, Mr Orson (objector on behalf of Dr Oliver)

The Planning Officer referred to the following text from the amendment sheet:

Amendments To Text : Paragraph 1.2 should be omitted from the report.

Resolved - (by 5 votes to 0)

To approve the application as set out in the report.

3. **Application no.** 06/0185/FUL
Site 17 Fulbourn Road, Cherry Hinton CB1 9JL
Proposal Erection of two storey dwelling with integral car port and demolition of garage
Applicant – Mr Anstee and Mr Turner, apt. 1, 54 Coronation St. CB2 1HJ
Public speakers - Mr Koch (for owner of No. 21 Fulbourn Road)

In the light of the objections made, officers to report back on the issue of the side window the issue of it not being secondary and the implications for the study of the proposed flank wall.

Resolved -

That the application be deferred to 13 July meeting.

4. **Application no.** 06/0273/FUL
Site 75 Blinco Grove, Queen Edith's , CB1 7TX
Proposal Erection of part single storey, part two storey rear extension
Applicant – Mr Warburton, 75 Blinco Grove. CB1 7TX
Public speakers - Mr Morris (for applicant), Mrs Barrell (objector)

Resolved - (by 5 votes to 0)

That the application be approved (against the officer recommendation). Officers given delegated authority to deal with conditions.

1. C01A
2. C11
3. C81

STANDARD INFORMATIVES

1. A106
2. INFORMATIVE: For the avoidance of doubt the applicant is reminded that the grant of planning permission does not confer any rights to enter onto or pass over, build over or erect temporary or permanent structures on, land not in the applicants ownership

or control.

REASONS FOR APPROVAL

1. This development has been approved, conditionally, because subject to those requirements it is considered to generally conform to the Development Plan, particularly the following policies:

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003: P1/3

Cambridge Local Plan (1996): BE2, BE4, BE8

Cambridge Redeposit Draft Local Plan (2004 as amended): 3/4, 3/14

2. The decision has been made having had regard to all other material planning considerations, none of which was considered to have been of such significance as to justify doing other than grant planning permission.

These reasons for approval can be a summary of the reasons for grant of planning permission only. For further detail on the decision please see the officer report by visiting the Council Planning Department.

5. **Application no.** 06/0272/FUL
Site 77 Blinco Grove, Queen Edith's CB1 7TX
Proposal Erection of part single storey, part two storey rear extension
Applicant – Mr/Mrs Morris, 77 Blinco Grove, Queen Edith's CB1 7TX
Public speakers - Ms Elton-Hall (objector). Mr Morris (applicant – although registered to speak, did not speak as he had spoken on the previous application)

The Planning Officer referred to the following text from the amendment sheet:

To Note : It should be noted that a letter of objection to this application has been received from 79 Blinco Grove, and that no objection to this application has been received from or on behalf of No. 73 Blinco Grove. That error is not of the case officers making, but appears to have happened at the stage of finally converting the proposal to a Committee format.

The objection from no.79 seeks a revision to the scheme that would allow more light to the side of No.79 and prevent that property being so hemmed in. Advice received from an architect considers the proposal not to be well designed, but to be ugly and

intrusive. There is concern that the first floor element extends too far and an expectation that the first floor side window will be obscure glazed. There is also a hope that the matter can be resolved amicably.

The objection from No.71 is that reported in the report, regarding concerns about the impact of the development on an early 1900's soil drain which runs along the proposed site and is common to nos. 71 and 73.

Resolved - (by 5 votes to 0)

That the application be approved (against the officer recommendation). Officers given delegated authority to deal with conditions.

1. C01A
2. C11
3. C81

STANDARD INFORMATIVES

1. A106
2. INFORMATIVE: For the avoidance of doubt the applicant is reminded that the grant of planning permission does not confer any rights to enter onto or pass over, build over or erect temporary or permanent structures on, land not in the applicants ownership or control.

REASONS FOR APPROVAL

1. This development has been approved, conditionally, because subject to those requirements it is considered to generally conform to the Development Plan, particularly the following policies:

Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003: P1/3

Cambridge Local Plan (1996): BE2, BE4, BE8

Cambridge Redeposit Draft Local Plan (2004 as amended): 3/4, 3/14,

2. The decision has been made having had regard to all other material planning considerations, none of which was considered to have been of such significance as to justify doing other than grant planning permission.

These reasons for approval can be a summary of the reasons for grant of planning permission only. For further detail on the decision please see the officer report by visiting the Council

Planning Department.

The meeting ended at 10.30pm

Chair

Next meeting: 13 July at Fawcett School, Alpha Terrace,
Trumpington at 7.30pm