

**CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL**  
Record of Executive Decision

<b>Request For Additional Funding To Support Previously Approved Project To Refurbish Kings Hedges Learner Pool</b>
---

**Decision of:** Councillor J Rosenstiel Executive Councillor for Community Development & Leisure

**Reference:** 06/CS/11

**Date of decision:** 30 March 2006                      **Recorded on:** 5 April 2006

**Decision Type:** Key Decision

**Matter for Decision:** To deal with a request for additional section 106 Open Space and Recreation funds to be released to meet new costs in relation to this project.

**Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):** To secure the release of extra funding from section 106 resources to complete the project by July 2006. If the project is not completed by July 2006, the £50,000 Active England funding may be withdrawn by Sport England.

**The Executive Councillor's decision(s):** Approve the release of additional section 106 Open Space and Recreation funding of £101,500 to enable the refurbishment of the Learner Pool to be completed as described in the report.

**Reasons for the decision:** To ensure achievement of the project.

**Scrutiny consideration:** The Scrutiny Committee approved the recommendations by 6 votes to 0

**Report:** Agenda Item 5 of Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 30 March 2006..

**Conflicts of interest:** No conflicts of interest were declared by the Executive Councillor

**Comments:**

# CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL

## Record of Executive Decision

### Moorings Implementation Policy

<b>Decision of:</b>	Councillor J Rosenstiel Executive Councillor for Community Development & Leisure		
<b>Reference:</b>	06/CS/12		
<b>Date of decision:</b>	30 March 2006	<b>Recorded on:</b>	5 April 2006
<b>Decision Type:</b>	Key Decision		
<b>Matter for Decision:</b>	To consider the additional information on Council Tax relating to Section 13A of the Local Government Finance Act 1992 and the decision by the Executive Councillor for Customer Services and Resources on 28 <sup>th</sup> March 2006. and to consider the details of the proposed implementation and administration of the 48-hour moorings scheme.		
<b>Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):</b>	The Head of Active Communities has presented two implementation reports to Community Services Scrutiny Committee. The Executive Councillor has subsequently approved officers' recommendations, and agreed to consider a third implementation report in March 2006 to consider options for 48 hour moorings and respond to an anticipated report from the Inland Revenue's Valuation Officer.		
<b>The Executive Councillor's decision(s):</b>	To note the outcome of the decision of the Executive Councillor for Customer Services and Resources Committee relating to approval of a local Council Tax Discount under Section 13A of the Local Government Finance Act 1992. To approve the proposed outline for implementation of the 48-hour Mooring scheme. For a transitional period of one year, to retain the six places proposed for 48 hour mooring in the general mooring scheme, subject to assessment of applicants for mooring on appropriate criteria to be made available to existing applicants.		
<b>Reasons for the decision:</b>	To enable the implementation of the Mooring Scheme in the forthcoming year.		
<b>Scrutiny consideration:</b>	The Scrutiny Committee agreed the following further recommendation to the Executive Councillor by 6 votes to 0: For a transitional period of one year, to retain the six places proposed for 48 hour mooring in the general mooring scheme, subject to assessment of applicants for mooring on appropriate criteria to be made available to existing applicants. The Scrutiny Committee approved the recommendations by 6 votes to 0.		
<b>Report:</b>	Agenda Item 6 of Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 30 March 2006		
<b>Conflicts of interest:</b>	No conflicts of interest were declared by the Executive Councillor		

**Comments:**

# CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL

## Record of Executive Decision

### Review Of Skatepark Provision In Cambridge City

<b>Decision of:</b>	Councillor J Rosenstiel Executive Councillor for Community Development & Leisure		
<b>Reference:</b>	06/CS/13		
<b>Date of decision:</b>	30 March 2006	<b>Recorded on:</b>	5 April 2006
<b>Decision Type:</b>	Key Decision		
<b>Matter for Decision:</b>	To re-examine requirements for the provision of skatepark facilities in Cambridge City.		
<b>Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):</b>	Seven skatepark facilities are proposed in the Open Space and Recreation section 106 list and the report will highlight the views of Officers as to which of these projects remain viable in terms of meeting the needs of young people and skate facility users in light of the consultation that has taken place. The report also highlights requirements for improvement to existing provision, and suggestions for future provision, derived from the consultation.		
<b>The Executive Councillor's decision(s):</b>	<ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>a) Agree to support proposals to proceed with the reassessment of five skate facility projects from the Open Space and Recreation section 106 (OSR s106) list following consultation with local people and consideration by the relevant Area Committees.</li><li>b) Agree to the development of s106 OSR schemes to make improvements to existing facilities, following consideration by relevant Area Committees.</li><li>c) Instruct officers to consider improvements to existing skate facilities on Jesus Green and Donkeys Common and apply for permanent planning permission when the current temporary planning permission expires in two years time.</li><li>d) Instruct officers to undertake a feasibility study into the provision of a community skate facility to compliment and improve the existing BMX facility at Coldhams Common</li><li>e) Instruct officers to investigate options for additional sites for a community skate facility in the centre of Cambridge and the Southern Fringe growth area.</li></ol>		
<b>Reasons for the decision:</b>	As set out in the report		
<b>Scrutiny consideration:</b>	The Scrutiny Committee approved the recommendations by 5 votes to 0,		
<b>Report:</b>	Agenda Item 7 of the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 30 March 2006.		
<b>Conflicts of interest:</b>	No conflicts of interest were declared by the Executive Councillor		

**Comments:**

**CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL**  
Record of Executive Decision

<b>Junction - Service Level Agreement 2006/07</b>
---

**Decision of:** Councillor J Rosenstiel Executive Councillor for Community Development & Leisure

**Reference:** 06/CS/14

**Date of decision:** 30 March 2006                      **Recorded on:** 5 April 2006

**Decision Type:** Non-Key Decision

**Matter for Decision:** To consider approving an SLA with the Junction.  
To consider the level of discretionary rate relief to be approved.

**Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):** In 2000, the City Council agreed to enter into a partnership agreement with the Junction CDC which replaced the former grant aid arrangement. The five-year agreement commenced in April 2002 and expires in March 2007. It gives guaranteed revenue funding of £97,400 per annum in return for an agreed level of activity which is determined through the annual SLA process.

**The Executive Councillor's decision(s):** To approve the Service Level Agreement attached in the Appendix.  
To approve 20% discretionary rate relief until 31 March 2007.

**Reasons for the decision:** As set out in the officers' report

**Scrutiny consideration:** The Scrutiny Committee considered and approved the recommendation by 6 votes to 0.

**Report:** Agenda Item 8 of the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 30 March 2006

**Conflicts of interest:** No conflicts of interest were declared by the Executive Councillor

**Comments:**

**CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL**  
Record of Executive Decision

<b>General Fund - 2005/06 Estimated Revenue and Capital Outturn, Carry Forwards and Significant Variances (CD&amp;L)</b>
--

<b>Decision of:</b>	Councillor J Rosenstiel Executive Councillor for Community Development & Leisure		
<b>Reference:</b>	06/CS/15		
<b>Date of decision:</b>	30 March 2006	<b>Recorded on:</b>	5 April 2006
<b>Decision Type:</b>	Non-Key Decision		
<b>Matter for Decision:</b>	This report presents a summary of the 2005/06 estimated outturn position (actual income and expenditure) for services within the Community Development & Leisure portfolio, compared to the current budget for the year. The position for revenue and capital is reported and anticipated variances from budgets are highlighted, together with explanations. Requests to carry forward funding arising from certain budget underspends into 2006/07 are identified, where they are anticipated at this stage, subject to final outturn.		
<b>Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):</b>	As part of the Council's budget setting process.		
<b>The Executive Councillor's decision(s):</b>	To agree the provisional carry forward requests, totaling £80,900 detailed in Appendix C, be recommended to Council for approval, subject to the final outturn position. To seek approval from Council to carry forward capital resources to fund rephased capital spending of £882,000 from 2005/06 into 2006/07, as detailed in Appendix D of the report.		
<b>Reasons for the decision:</b>	These are described in the report.		
<b>Scrutiny consideration:</b>	The Scrutiny Committee considered and approved the recommendations by 5 votes to 0.		
<b>Report:</b>	Agenda Item 9 of the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 30 March 2006.		
<b>Conflicts of interest:</b>	No conflicts of interest were declared by the Executive Councillor		
<b>Comments:</b>			

**CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL**  
Record of Executive Decision

<b>Vacant House: Property A - Compulsory Purchase Order.</b>
--

**Decision of:** Councillor Smart, Executive Councillor Housing and Health

**Reference:** 06/CS/16

**Date of decision:** 30 March 2006                      **Recorded on:** 5 April 2006

**Decision Type:** Key Decision

**Matter for Decision:** Property A had been vacant for over 5 years and its condition is deteriorating. Numerous efforts have been made to contact the owner, who is known currently to live and work in Spain. Accordingly a notice of intended entry was executed on 22<sup>nd</sup> December 2005 by a Building Surveyor and a Senior Estates Surveyor accompanied two Environmental Health Officers. The inspection revealed that the house was vacant and unfit for human habitation. The property in its current condition has been valued at £190,000 with total refurbishment costs of £19,000 (ex VAT).

**Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):** AS the house has been vacant for about 5 years and Cambridge City Council is seeking to ensure that it is brought back into residential use. As the owner has not made any contact, it is proposed to bring it back into use by using its powers of Compulsory Purchase under Section 17 Housing Act 1985.

**The Executive Councillor's decision(s):** To authorise the Head of Environmental Services in consultation with the Head of Legal and Democratic Services and Director of Finance to use compulsory purchase powers in respect of 3 Arthur Street in order to bring it back it back into habitable use. It is recommended that the capital resources already approved and the revenue costs which will be a carry forward from 2005/2006 be used to progress the Compulsory

**Reasons for the decision:** Owing to the shortage of accommodation in the city, the Council has a policy of, where necessary, using compulsory purchase powers to ensure the effective use of all private residential property in the city.

**Scrutiny consideration:** The Scrutiny Committee approved the recommendations by 6 votes to 0.  
Exempt from publication by Category 2 as defined in the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006.

**Report:** Agenda Item 14 of Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 30 March 2006.

**Conflicts of interest:** No conflicts of interest were declared by the Executive Councillor

**Comments:**

# CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL

## RECORD OF EXECUTIVE DECISION

### Vacant House: Property B - Compulsory Purchase Order.

<b>Decision of:</b>	Councillor Smart, Executive Councillor Housing and Health		
<b>Reference:</b>	06/CS/17		
<b>Date of decision:</b>	30 March 2006	<b>Recorded on:</b>	5 April 2006
<b>Decision Type:</b>	Key Decision.		
<b>Matter for Decision:</b>	To authorise the taking of Compulsory Purchase action in respect of Property B.		
<b>Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):</b>	This property has been empty for approximately two and a half years and its condition is deteriorating. Numerous efforts have been made to contact the owner who has not followed up his intention to bring the property back into use within a reasonable time. Accordingly a notice of intended entry was executed on 6 <sup>th</sup> January 2006. A Building Surveyor and a Senior Estates Surveyor accompanied two Environmental Health Officers. The inspection revealed that the house was vacant and unfit for human habitation. The property in its current condition has been valued at £255,000 with total refurbishment costs of £750.00 (ex VAT)		
<b>The Executive Councillor's decision(s):</b>	To authorise the Head of Environmental Services in consultation with the Head of Legal and Democratic Services and Director of Finance to use compulsory purchase powers in respect of Property B in order to bring it back into habitable use. The capital resources already approved and the revenue costs which will be a carry forward from 2005/2006 be used to progress the Compulsory Purchase Order		
<b>Reasons for the decision:</b>	Owing to the shortage of accommodation in the city, the Council has a policy of, where necessary, using compulsory purchase powers to ensure the effective use of all private residential property in the city.		
<b>Scrutiny consideration:</b>	The Scrutiny Committee approved the recommendations by 6 votes to 0. Exempt from publication by Category 2 as defined in the Local Government (Access to Information) (Variation) Order 2006.		
<b>Report:</b>	Agenda Item 15 of the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 30 March 2006		
<b>Conflicts of interest:</b>	No conflicts of interest were declared.		
<b>Comments:</b>			

# CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL

## Record of Decisions

### General Fund - 2005/06 Estimated Revenue and Capital Outturn, Carry Forwards and Significant Variances (H&H)

<b>Decision of:</b>	Councillor Smart, Executive Councillor Housing and Health		
<b>Reference:</b>	06/CS/18		
<b>Date of decision:</b>	30 March 2006	<b>Recorded on:</b>	5 April 2006
<b>Decision Type:</b>	Non- Key Decision.		
<b>Matter for Decision:</b>	This report presents a summary of the 2005/06 estimated outturn position (actual income and expenditure) for services within the Community Development & Leisure portfolio, compared to the current budget for the year. The position for revenue and capital is reported and anticipated variances from budgets are highlighted, together with explanations. Requests to carry forward funding arising from certain budget underspends into 2006/07 are identified, where they are anticipated at this stage, subject to final outturn.		
<b>Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):</b>	As part of the Council's budget setting process		
<b>The Executive Councillor's decision(s):</b>	To agree the carry forward requests, totaling £89,300 detailed in Appendix C to be recommended to Council for approval, subject to the final outturn position. To seek approval from Council to carry forward capital resources to fund rephased capital spending of £59,000 from 2005/06 into 2006/07, as detailed in Appendix D. To seek approval from Council to note an anticipated capital underspend of £957,000 in 2005/06 and to carry forward capital resources to fund rephased capital spending of £508,000 from 2005/06 into 2006/07, as detailed in Appendix E.		
<b>Reasons for the decision:</b>	These are described in the report.		
<b>Scrutiny consideration:</b>	The Scrutiny Committee approved the recommendations by 6 votes to 0.		
<b>Report:</b>	Agenda Item 11 of the Community Services Scrutiny Committee on 30 March 2006		
<b>Conflicts of interest:</b>	No conflicts of interest were declared.		
<b>Comments:</b>			