

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

PROJECT APPRAISAL: IMPROVEMENTS TO BARNWELL BOWLING GREEN PAVILION

Decision of:	Councillor Joye Rosenstiel, Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure
Reference:	040122/cd&l/01
Date of decision:	22 January 2004 Recorded on: 2 February 2004
Decision Type:	Not a key decision.
Matter for Decision:	Over the past ten years the Community Development and Leisure Committee has carried out improvements to many sports pavilions on parks and recreation grounds. The capital programme has come to an end but Barnwell Bowling Green Pavilion is one of two bowls pavilions still requiring refurbishment and upgrading to meet the expectations of users and the DDA (Disability Discrimination Act). The work required includes kitchen, changing room and communal area refurbishment together with improved access and disabled person's facilities. Detailed consultation will take place with the home clubs to ensure that the refurbishment meets their requirements.
Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):	The funding for this project is from the under spend on the Sport England Lottery Grant awarded for the refurbishment of Parkside Pool. This project was selected in accordance with criteria laid down by Sport England for using the residue grant aid and there would need to be justification for seeking to redirect these resources.
The Executive Councillor's decision(s):	To carry out the work in accordance with the project appraisal.
Reasons for the decision:	These are described in the report.
Scrutiny consideration:	The Committee approved the recommendation set out in the report (by 6 votes to 0).
Report:	Agenda item 5 of the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee, 22 January 2004
Conflicts of interest:	No conflicts of interest were declared.
Comments:	

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

PROJECT APPRAISAL – IMPROVEMENTS TO LAMMAS LAND BOWLING GREEN PAVILION

Decision of:	Councillor Joye Rosenstiel, Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure
Reference:	040122/cd&l/02
Date of decision:	22 January 2004 Recorded on: 2 February 2004
Decision Type:	Not a key decision.
Matter for Decision:	Over the past ten years the Community Development and Leisure Committee has carried out improvements to many sports pavilions on parks and recreation grounds. This capital programme has come to an end but Lammas Land Bowling Green Pavilion is one of two remaining that require refurbishment and upgrading to meet the expectations of users and the Disability Discrimination Act. The work required includes general refurbishment of the kitchen, changing and communal areas of the pavilion together with improved access and disabled person's facilities. Detailed consultation will take place with the home clubs to ensure that the refurbishment meets their requirements.
Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):	To meet the expectations of users and the Disability Discrimination Act To carry out a full refurbishment of this facility including work to comply with the DDA and meet the expectations of users, or To carry out the basic work required to ensure that this building meets the requirement of the DDA at a cost of approximately £4,000.
The Executive Councillor's decision(s):	To carry out a full refurbishment of this facility including work to comply with the DDA and meet the expectations of users, subject to consultation with the Chair and spokesperson on the list of works included in the project. To consult disability groups in drawing up the list of works.
Reasons for the decision:	These are described in the report.
Scrutiny consideration:	The Committee approved the recommendation (by 8 votes to 0) set out as option 1 in the report, subject to consultation with the Chair and Spokesperson about the works included in the project.
Report:	Agenda item 6 of the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee, 22 January 2004

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest were declared.

Comments:

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

PROJECT APPRAISAL – ST ANDREWS HALL PROJECT
--

Decision of: Councillor Joye Rosenstiel,
Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure

Reference: 040122/cd&l/03

Date of decision: 22 January 2004 **Recorded on:** 2 February 2004

Decision Type: Not a Key Decision.

Matter for Decision: To support the provision of a community hall for the St Andrew's area of East Chesterton through a grant of £246000 from Section 106 resources earmarked for community development purposes in the Council's Planning Obligations Strategy.

Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options): There is a demonstrable need for a community facility in order to meet an existing shortage of facilities and to deal with the growth of population arising from new housing development.

The Executive Councillor's decision(s): That the Council indicates to the St Andrew's Hall Project that it is willing to make a grant of up to a maximum of £246000 as a contribution to the capital costs of providing a community hall for the area. The grant would be made from S106 resources provided through the Council's Planning Obligations Strategy for the improvement and development of community facilities and would be subject to the conditions set out in the report.

Reasons for the decision: These are described in the report.

Scrutiny consideration: The Committee unanimously approved the recommendation set out in the report.

Report: Agenda item 7 of the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee, 22 January 2004.

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest were declared.

Comments:

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

SERVICE PLANS AND GENERAL FUND REVENUE & CAPITAL BUDGETS – REVISED 2003/04, DRAFT BUDGETS 2004/05 AND FORECAST 2005/06

Decision of: Councillor Joye Rosenstiel,
Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure

Reference: 040122/cd&l/04

Date of decision: 22 January 2004 **Recorded on:** 2 February 2004

Decision Type: Not a Key Decision

Matter for Decision: Parts One and Two of the Service Plans set out the performance over the 2002/03 financial year and performance to date for 2003/04. Part Three looked at the service proposals, objectives and budgets for 2004/05 and forecast for 2005/06. This information would enable the Scrutiny Committee to consider whether service priorities and resources may need to be refocused to enable objectives and targets for the current and future years to be met.

Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options): Service Plans and draft budgets are key elements of the Council's budgetary and policy framework.

The Executive Councillor's decision(s):

Performance Targets:

- a) To agree, for 2003/04, the performance against targets and objectives (set out in the table in Services Plans, Part 2).
- b) To agree, for 2004/05 and future years, the service objectives and proposals (set out in the table in Services Plans, Part 3) and the performance indicators and targets (set out in Appendix A of the report).

Review of Charges:

- c) To approve, the proposed charges, shown in Appendix D of each relevant Service Plan (see Plans with asterisk against them in the above list), subject to deletion of increased charges for cricket and football.

Revenue Budgets and Cash Limits:

- d) To approve the revised revenue budget for 2003/04, as shown in Appendix A of the report, for submission to Council.
- e) To agree proposals for revenue savings and bids, as set out in Appendix B and subsequently amended, which have been incorporated into the budgets presented to the committee.
- f) To agree bids above cash limit, as shown in Appendix B, for submission to Council. and to note the amendments to

the cash limit for 2004/05 as shown in Appendix C.

g) To agree, with any amendments, Priority Policy Fund (PPF) bids, as shown in Appendix B, and indicate their relative priority for submission to Council.

h) To approve, with any amendments, the budget for 2004/05 as shown in Appendix A, for submission to Council.

i) Agree any specific revenue recommendation

Capital:

j) To seek approval from Council to carry forward resources:

i) of £417,000 from 2003/04 into 2004/05, as detailed in Appendix D

ii) and, where appropriate, in future years as indicated in the reasons for the variances in Appendix D to fund rephased capital spending, subject to the final outturn position.

k) To agree the Capital Bids, as identified in Appendix B, for submission to Council for inclusion in the Capital Plan or addition to the Hold List, as indicated.

l) To approve the hold list at Appendix E, subject to the deletion of the Lammas Land Bowling Green Pavilion.

Reasons for the decision:

These are described in the report.

Scrutiny consideration:

The committee considered the recommendations and approved them as shown, subject to amendment of Appendix B in the report which would have a consequent impact on recommendations E and H as set out below:

- Revise revenue bid B8 'increase in costs and reduced income Midsummer Fair' from £5,000 to £3,000, ongoing.
- Include a new saving (community Services – Parks and Recreation) of £13,600 ongoing associated with a review of attendants at sports pavilions.

The PDF bids were prioritised in line with the priority set out in the Committee Report.

In addition that the last of the Key Objectives under Parks and Recreation be amended to read: Ensure that Parks and Recreation facilities ***and management practices*** comply with the Disability Discrimination Act.

Report:

Agenda item 8 of the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee, 22 January 2004

Conflicts of interest:

No conflicts of interest were declared.

Comments:

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO VOLUNTARY AND NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANISATIONS 2003-04
--

Decision of: Councillor Joye Rosenstiel,
Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure

Reference: 040122/cd&l/05

Date of decision: 22 January 2004 **Recorded on:** 2 February 2004

Decision Type: Not a Key Decision.

Matter for Decision: The response to applications for 2003-04 grants from voluntary and not-for-profit organisations working in Community Development, Economic Policy and Leisure.

Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options): The decisions are required as part of the Council's overall budgetary framework

The Executive Councillor's decision(s): To note officer action grants in Section 3. To approve recommendation in Section 5.1.

Reasons for the decision: These are described in the report.

Scrutiny consideration: The committee approved the recommendations set out in the report by 5 votes to 0.

Report: Agenda item 9 of the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee, 22 January 2004

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest were declared.

Comments:

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO VOLUNTARY AND NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANISATIONS 2004-05
--

Decision of:	Councillor Joye Rosenstiel, Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure
Reference:	040122/cd&l/06
Date of decision:	22 January 2004 Recorded on: 2 February 2004
Decision Type:	Key Decision.
Matter for Decision:	The response to applications for 2004-05 funding from voluntary and not-for-profit organisations working in Community Development, Economic Policy and Leisure.
Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):	The decisions are required as part of the Council's overall budgetary framework
The Executive Councillor's decision(s):	<p>To approve the recommendations for 04-05 funding and discretionary rate relief listed in appendices A3, B3 and C4, subject to further the clarification of any individual group queries and to the confirmation of Council 2004-05 budget levels and the amendment to the grants allocated to the Cambridge Independent Advice Centre and Cambridge Citizens Advice Bureau as set out below.</p> <p>In relation to the grant application by CRUSE as the Executive Councillor (Community Development and Leisure) had declared a personal and prejudicial interest as an employee of that organisation, the decision relating to the grant would be made by the Executive Councillor (Environment)</p>
Reasons for the decision:	These are described in the report.
Scrutiny consideration:	<p>The committee considered the recommendations set out in the report and approved them by 6 votes to 0, subject to the amendments relating to grant applications by <i>Cambridge Independent Advice Centre</i>: in the light of the Review of the Council's Housing Aid and Advice Services recommends</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">i) the allocation of additional funding of the CIAC to enable that organisation to retain the current level of staffing until the outcome of the review is known.ii) Officers negotiate with CIAC in the spirit of the above commitment with a final decision on additional

funding being approved by the Executive Councillor in consultation with the Chair and Spokesperson.

Cambridge Citizens Advice Bureau: in the light of the difficulties being experienced with the lease of their current premises and the additional funding that may be required to enable that organisation to identify the future premises needs, the committee recommended that the officers meet the Directors and Officers of the Citizens Advice Bureau to discuss the issues involved and to identify an appropriate course of action at the earliest possible opportunity.

Report: Agenda item 10 of the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee, 22 January 2004

Conflicts of interest: In relation to the grant application by CRUSE, the Executive Councillor (Community Development and Leisure) declared a personal and prejudicial interest as an employee of that organisation, left the room, took no part in the discussion and did not vote on that application.

Comments:

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

FINANCIAL SUPPORT TO VOLUNTARY AND NOT-FOR-PROFIT ORGANISATIONS 2004-05: APPLICATION BY CAMBRIDGE CRUSE
--

Decision of:	Councillor Nichola Harrison - Executive Councillor Environment
Reference:	040122/cd&l/06 A
Date of decision:	22 January 2004 Recorded on: 2 February 2004
Decision Type:	Key Decision.
Matter for Decision:	The response to an application for 2004-05 funding from Cambridge Cruse.
Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):	The decisions on applications are required as part of the Council's overall budgetary framework
The Executive Councillor's decision(s):	To approve the recommendation in relation to an application by Cambridge Cruse for 2004-05 funding listed in appendix A4, subject to the confirmation of Council 2004-05 budget levels.
Reasons for the decision:	These are described in the report.
Scrutiny consideration:	The committee considered the recommendation set out in the report and approved it by 6 votes to 0.
Report:	Agenda item 10 of the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee, 22 January 2004
Conflicts of interest:	In relation to the grant application by CRUSE, the Executive Councillor (Community Development and Leisure) declared a personal and prejudicial interest as an employee of that organisation, left the room, took no part in the discussion and did not vote on that application.
Comments:	In relation to this grant application by Cambridge CRUSE as the Executive Councillor (Community Development and Leisure) had declared a personal and prejudicial interest as an employee of that organisation, the decision relating to the grant would be made by the Executive Councillor (Environment)

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

APPLICATIONS FOR DISCRETIONARY RATE RELIEF

Decision of: Councillor Joye Rosenstiel,
Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure

Reference: 040122/cd&l/07

Date of decision: 22 January 2004 **Recorded on:** 2 February 2004

Decision Type: Not a Key Decision

Matter for Decision: The response to applications for discretionary rate relief from voluntary and not-for-profit organisations working in Community Development, and Leisure

Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options): The decisions are required as part of the Council's overall budgetary framework

The Executive Councillor's decision(s): To approve:
20% rate relief on Parkside Pools, Kings Hedges Learner Pool, Abbey Pool and Cherry Hinton Village Centre for the period 29/09/2003 to 30/09/2010.
20% rate relief on the Junction, Clifton Road and the Cambridge Drama centre, Covent Garden for the period April 2004 to March 2005.

Reasons for the decision: These are described in the agenda item.

Scrutiny consideration: The Committee considered the item on the agenda and approved the recommendations by 6 votes to 0.

Report: Set out on the Agenda (Item 11) for the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee on 22 January 2004

Conflicts of interest:

Comments:

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

PARKS COMMONS AND OPENS SPACE & PLAY AREA STRATEGY

Decision of:	Councillor Joye Rosenstiel, Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure
Reference:	040122/cd&l/08
Date of decision:	22 January 2004 Recorded on: 2 February 2004
Decision Type:	Key decision.
Matter for Decision:	To comment on and approve the draft of the Parks, Commons, Open Space and Play Area Strategy (PCOPS) for public consultation.
Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):	This report is brought to committee to allow Councillors to comment on the first draft of the strategy prior to public consultation.
The Executive Councillor's decision(s):	To approve the draft of the Parks, Commons, Open Space and Play Area Strategy and instruct officers to carry out a public consultation, subject to consultation with the Chair and Spokesperson on additional wording to clarify the Council's wish to encourage increased usage as a key aim.
Reasons for the decision:	These are described in the report.
Scrutiny consideration:	The Committee considered the report and subject to the addition of appropriate wording to clarify the Council's wish to encourage increased usage as a key aim
Report:	Agenda Item 12 of Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee on 22 January 2004.
Conflicts of interest:	No conflicts of interest were declared.
Comments:	

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

RIVER MOORINGS

Decision of:	Councillor Joye Rosenstiel, Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure
Reference:	040122/cd&l/09
Date of decision:	22 January 2004 Recorded on: 2 February 2004
Decision Type:	Not a key decision.
Matter for Decision:	To consider the progress made on regulating the moorings on the River Cam and whether to proceed with the first phase of consultation.
Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options):	To meet the need to regulate increased demand for mooring in consultation with relevant stake holders.
The Executive Councillor's decision(s):	That a) the Head of Parks and Recreation carry out a public consultation in partnership with the Conservators of the River Cam on proposals to: i) Introduce a fee for 48 hour mooring on the river banks at Jesus Green and Jubilee Gardens down stream of Jesus Green lock. ii) Prohibit casual mooring on the river banks at Jesus Green and Jubilee Gardens up stream of Jesus Green lock. iii) License and charge for long term mooring on Midsummer Common, Riverside and Stourbridge Common. b) the officers investigate and report back on the Council's powers to deal with instances of anti-social behaviour in relation to moorings in the city. These are described in the report.
Scrutiny consideration:	The Committee considered the report and approved the recommendations set out subject to the addition of the 'Riverside' to the list of areas to be liable for charging for long term mooring (by 6 votes to 0) and requesting officers to investigate the Council's powers to deal with instances of anti-social behaviour in relation to moorings in the city (unanimously). Councillor Bradnack's proposal to defer consideration of the consultation was lost by 3 votes to 6.
Report:	Agenda Item 13 of the Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee on 22 January 2004.
Conflicts of interest:	No conflicts of interest were declared.

Comments:

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

COE FEN CYCLEWAY

Decision of: Councillor Joye Rosenstiel,
Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure

Reference: 040122/cd&l/10

Date of decision: 22 January 2004 **Recorded on:** 2 February 2004

Decision Type: Not a key decision.

Matter for Decision: To comment on and approve the installation of the Coe Fen Cycle Track.

Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options): To provide further linkage as part of the Sustrans project to implement the National Cycle Network.

The Executive Councillor's decision(s): To consent to the upgrading of the existing footpath from Trumpington Road to Granta Place across Coe Fen, New Bit Straights and New Bit to a hard surface cycleway along its whole length.
To include the sum of £500 for sweeping and cleansing of the footpath in the 2005/06 budget.

Reasons for the decision: These are described in the report.

Scrutiny consideration: The committee considered the recommendations and approved by 6 votes to 0.

Report: Agenda Item 14 of Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee on 22 January 2004.

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest were declared.

Comments:

CAMBRIDGE CITY COUNCIL
Record of Executive Decision

REVIEW OF THE ARTS PLAN

Decision of: Councillor Joye Rosenstiel,
Executive Councillor Community Development and Leisure

Reference: 040122/cd&l/11

Date of decision: 22 January 2004 **Recorded on:** 2 February 2004

Decision Type: Not a key decision.

Matter for Decision: To comment on the review of the Arts Plan.

Why the decision had to be made (and any alternative options): To progress strategic city-wide planning and participate as a key member of sub-regional partnership working arrangements with other local authorities in Cambridgeshire.

The Executive Councillor's decision(s): To agree the outline strategic priorities in line with the review as set out in the officers' report.

Reasons for the decision: To enable members to consider and endorse the most constructive approach to further strategic arts planning work.

Scrutiny consideration: The committee considered the recommendations and approved by 6 votes to 0.

Report: Agenda Item 15 of Community Development and Leisure Scrutiny Committee on 22 January 2004.

Conflicts of interest: No conflicts of interest were declared.

Comments:

N:\Committee\Forward Plan\Decision Sumaries\2004\040126CDL\ALL DECISIONS.doc